

# Multiplicity of Positive Solutions for Fractional Differential Equations in Banach Spaces

Xingqiu ZHANG

*School of Mathematics, Liaocheng University, Shandong 252059, P. R. China*

**Abstract** In this paper, existence of multiple positive solutions for fractional differential equations in Banach spaces is obtained by utilizing the fixed point index theory of completely continuous operators.

**Keywords** fractional differential equations; multiple positive solutions; cone; fixed point index; measure of non-compactness; Banach space.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 26A33; 34B15; 34B16; 34G20

## 1. Introduction

Fractional calculus deals with the generalization of integrals and derivatives of noninteger order. It involves a wide area of applications by bringing into a broader paradigm concepts of physics, mathematics and engineering. This is the main advantage of fractional differential equations in comparison with classical integer-order models. For an extensive collection of such results, we refer the readers to the monographs by Samko et al. [1], Podlubny [2] and Kilbas et al. [3]. For the basic theory and recent developments on the subject, we refer to a text by Lakshmikantham et al. [4]. Recently, there are some papers dealing with the existence of solutions (or positive solutions) of nonlinear fractional differential equation by means of techniques of nonlinear analysis (fixed point theorems, Leray-Schauder theory, adomian decomposition method, lower and upper solution method, etc.), see [5–14].

In a recent paper, by utilizing Guo-Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem on cones, Zhang [13] investigated the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for the nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) + u'(0) = 0, & u(1) + u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$  is a real number,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$  is the Caputo fractional derivative, and  $f : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous. In [14], Zhao et al. studied the following fractional eigenvalue

---

Received May 9, 2012; Accepted November 22, 2012

Supported by the Foundation for Outstanding Middle-Aged and Young Scientists of Shandong Province (Grant No. BS2010SF004), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10971179), a Project of Shandong Province Higher Educational Science and Technology Program (Grant Nos. J10LA53; J11LA02) and the Natural Science Foundation of Liaocheng University (Grant No. X09008).

E-mail address: zhqx197508@163.com

problems

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = \lambda f(u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) + u'(0) = 0, & u(1) + u'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$  is a real number,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$  is the Caputo fractional derivative, and  $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous. The eigenvalue intervals of the nonlinear fractional differential equation boundary value problem are considered, some sufficient conditions for the nonexistence and existence of at least one or two positive solutions for the boundary value problem are established.

In recent years, the theory of ordinary differential equations in Banach space has become a new important branch of investigation (see, for example, [15–18] and references therein). Byszewski [19] initiated the study of nonlocal Cauchy problems for abstract evolution differential equations. Subsequently several authors discussed the problem for different kinds of nonlinear differential equations and integro-differential equations including functional differential equations in Banach spaces [20–25].

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $P$  be a cone in  $E$  which defines a partial ordering in  $E$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$ .  $P$  is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant  $N$  such that  $\theta \leq x \leq y$  implies  $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$ , where  $\theta$  denotes the zero element of  $E$ , and the smallest  $N$  is called the normal constant of  $P$  (it is clear,  $N \geq 1$ ).  $P$  is called solid if its interior  $\overset{\circ}{P}$  is nonempty. If  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , we write  $x < y$ . If  $P$  is solid and  $y - x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ , we write  $x \ll y$ . We refer to [16] for details on cone theory.

Motivated by above papers, we are concerned with the existence of multiple solutions for the following fractional differential equations with more general boundary conditions in a Banach space  $E$

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) - f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in J', \\ m_1 u(0) + m_2 u'(0) = 0, & n_1 u(1) + n_2 u'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$  is a real number,  $D_{0+}^{\alpha}$  is the Caputo fractional derivative,  $J = [0, 1]$ ,  $J' = (0, 1)$ ,  $f \in C[J' \times P, P]$ ,  $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$  with  $m_1 \leq m_2 < (1 + \frac{m_2}{n_1})m_1$  are nonnegative constants. As far as we know, there are fewer papers considering the multiplicity of positive solutions for BVP (1) in a Banach space. First in this paper, we get the Green function for BVP (1) and discuss its properties. Then, by utilizing the fixed point index theory of completely continuous operators, we obtain the existence results for multiple positive solutions for BVP (1).

## 2. Several lemmas

For the convenience of the reader, we give some background materials from fractional calculus theory to facilitate analysis of problem (1). These materials can be found in the recent literature [2, 3, 13].

**Definition 1** ([3]) *The Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a continuous function  $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$  is given by*

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{f^{(n)}(s)}{(t - s)^{\alpha - n + 1}} ds,$$

where  $n$  is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ , provided that the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

**Definition 2** ([3]) The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$  of a continuous function  $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow R$  is given by

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

provided that the right side is pointwise defined on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

**Remark 1** ([2]) By Definition 1, under natural conditions on the function  $f(t)$ , for  $\alpha \rightarrow n$  Caputo's derivative becomes a conventional  $n$ -th derivative of the function  $f(t)$ .

**Lemma 1** ([13]) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the fractional differential equation

$$D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = 0$$

has solutions  $u(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}$ ,  $c_i \in R$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , where  $n$  is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 2** ([13]) Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Assume that  $u \in C^n[0, 1]$ . Then

$$I_{0+}^{\alpha} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = u(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}, \text{ for some } c_i \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

where  $n$  is the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 3** Suppose that  $y \in C[0, 1]$ . Then the following linear boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) = y(t), & t \in J', \\ m_1 u(0) + m_2 u'(0) = 0, & n_1 u(1) + n_2 u'(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) y(s) ds, \tag{3}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}], & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} (m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta = m_1(n_1 + n_2) - n_1 m_2. \tag{5}$$

**Proof** By Lemma 2, we can deduce the equation of problem (2) to an equivalent integral equation

$$u(t) = I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) - c_0 - c_1 t = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds - c_0 - c_1 t. \tag{6}$$

According to the properties of the Caputo derivative, we have

$$u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds - c_1. \tag{7}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(0) &= -c_0, \quad u'(0) = -c_1, \\
 u(1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + u(0) + u'(0), \\
 u'(1) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds + u'(0),
 \end{aligned}$$

which together with the boundary conditions imply that

$$\begin{cases} m_1 c_0 + m_2 c_1 = 0, \\ n_1 c_0 + n_1 c_1 + n_2 c_1 = n_1 I_{0+}^\alpha y(1) + n_2 I_{0+}^{\alpha-1} y(1). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0 &= \frac{-m_2(n_1 I_{0+}^\alpha y(1) + n_2 I_{0+}^{\alpha-1} y(1))}{m_1(n_1 + n_2) - n_1 m_2}, \\
 c_1 &= \frac{m_1(n_1 I_{0+}^\alpha y(1) + n_2 I_{0+}^{\alpha-1} y(1))}{m_1(n_1 + n_2) - n_1 m_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We can easily get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + \frac{m_2 - m_1 t}{\Delta} \left[ \frac{n_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{n_2}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t [(m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}] y(s) ds + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^1 (m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} y(s) ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 G(t,s) y(s) ds \quad \square \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4**  $G(t, s)$  defined in (4) satisfies the following conditions

- (i)  $G(t, s) \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  and  $G(t, s) > 0$  for  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ ,
- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{t \in [0,1]} G(t, s) &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} [m_2(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(1-s)^{\alpha-1}] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2 n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2 n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}].
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** It follows from the expression of  $G(t, s)$  that  $G(t, s) \in C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ . Notice that  $m_1 \leq m_2 < (1 + \frac{n_2}{n_1})m_1$ , we can easily get that  $G(t, s) \geq 0$  for  $t, s \in (0, 1)$ . In the following, we are in position to show (ii). Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(t-s)^{\alpha-1}], \quad s \leq t, \\
 g_2(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Delta \Gamma(\alpha)} (m_2 - m_1 t)(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2}, \quad s \geq t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in [0,1]} g_1(t, s) &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [m_2(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(1-s)^{\alpha-1}], \quad s \in [0, 1], \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}]. \\ \max_{t \in [0,1]} g_2(t, s) &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} m_2(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [m_2(n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1))(1-s)^{\alpha-2} + \Delta(1-s)^{\alpha-1}] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C[J, E] = \{u|u : J \rightarrow E \text{ is continuous on } J\}$ . Then  $C[J, E]$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_c$ , where  $\|u\|_c = \max_{t \in J} \{\|u(t)\|\}$ . Let

$$C[J, P] = \{u \in C[J, E] : u(t) \geq \theta, \forall t \in J\}.$$

It is clear,  $C[J, P]$  is a cone in space  $C[J, E]$ . A map  $u \in C[J, E] \cap C^\alpha[J', E]$  is called a nonnegative solution of BVP (1) if  $u(t) \geq \theta$  for  $t \in J$  and  $u(t)$  satisfies (1). A map  $u \in C[J, E] \cap C^\alpha[J', E]$  is called a positive solution of BVP (1) if it is a nonnegative solution and  $u(t) \not\equiv \theta$ .

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_c$  denote the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness in  $E$  and  $C[J, E]$ , respectively. For details on the definition and properties of the measure of non-compactness, the reader is referred to references [15, 18].

**Lemma 5** ([15]) *Let  $H \subset C[J, E]$  be bounded and equicontinuous. Then*

$$\alpha_c(H) = \max_{t \in J} \alpha(H(t)).$$

**Lemma 6** ([16]) *Let  $H$  be a countable set of strongly measurable function  $u : J \rightarrow E$  such that there exists a  $M \in L[J, R_+]$  such that  $\|u(t)\| \leq M(t)$  a.e.  $t \in J$  for all  $u \in H$ . Then  $\alpha(H(t)) \in L[J, R_+]$  and*

$$\alpha\left(\left\{\int_J u(t)dt : u \in H\right\}\right) \leq 2 \int_J \alpha(H(t))dt.$$

Let us list some conditions for convenience.

(H<sub>1</sub>) There exist  $a, b \in L[J', R_+]$  and  $g \in C[R_+ \times R_+, R_+]$  such that

$$\|f(t, u_0)\| \leq a(t) + b(t)g(\|u_0\|), \quad \forall t \in R_+, u_0 \in P,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a^* &= \int_0^1 a(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-1}ds < +\infty, \quad \bar{a}^* = \int_0^1 a(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}ds < +\infty, \\ b^* &= \int_0^1 b(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-1}ds < +\infty, \quad \bar{b}^* = \int_0^1 b(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}ds < +\infty; \end{aligned}$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) There exists  $c \in L[J', R_+]$  such that

$$\frac{\|f(t, u_0)\|}{c(t)\|u_0\|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } u_0 \in P, \|u_0\| \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for  $t \in J'$ , and

$$c^* = \int_0^1 c(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds < +\infty, \quad \bar{c}^* = \int_0^1 c(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-2} ds < +\infty;$$

(H<sub>3</sub>) There exists  $d \in L[J', R_+]$  such that

$$\frac{\|f(t, u_0)\|}{d(t)\|u_0\|} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } u_0 \in P, \|u_0\| \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for  $t \in J'$ , and

$$d^* = \int_0^1 d(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} ds < +\infty, \quad \bar{d}^* = \int_0^1 d(s)(1-s)^{\alpha-2} ds < +\infty;$$

(H<sub>4</sub>) For any  $t \in J'$  and  $r > 0$ ,  $f(t, P_r) = \{f(t, u_0) : u_0 \in P_r\}$  are relatively compact in  $E$ , where  $P_r = \{u \in P : \|u\| \leq r\}$ .

**Remark 2** It is clear, (H<sub>4</sub>) holds automatically when  $E$  is finite dimensional.

Define an operator  $A$  as follows

$$(Au)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds. \tag{9}$$

**Lemma 7** If (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) are satisfied, then the operator  $A$  defined by (9) is a continuous operator from  $C[J, P]$  into  $C[J, P]$ . If in addition, condition (H<sub>4</sub>) is satisfied, then  $A$  is also compact.

**Proof** Let

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\alpha}_1^{-1}, \tag{10}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}[c^*(m_2n_1 + \Delta) + m_2n_2(\alpha - 1)\bar{c}^*].$$

By virtue of conditions (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>), for  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\|f(t, u_0)\| \leq \varepsilon_0 c(t)\|u_0\|, \quad \forall t \in J', u_0 \in P, \|u_0\| > r,$$

and

$$\|f(t, u_0)\| \leq a(t) + Mb(t), \quad \forall t \in J', u_0 \in P, \|u_0\| \leq r,$$

where

$$M = \max\{g(x_0) : 0 \leq x_0 \leq r\}. \tag{11}$$

Hence

$$\|f(t, u_0)\| \leq \varepsilon_0 c(t)\|u_0\| + a(t) + Mb(t), \quad \forall t \in J', u_0 \in P. \tag{12}$$

Let  $u \in C[J, P]$ . We have by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, u(t))\| &\leq \varepsilon_0 c(t)\|u(t)\| + a(t) + Mb(t) \\ &\leq \varepsilon_0 c(t)\|u\|_c + a(t) + Mb(t), \quad \forall t \in J', \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which together with (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) shows the convergence of the integrals  $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, u(s))ds$  and  $\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, u(s))ds$ . We can easily know from Lemma 4 that  $A$  is well defined. It

follows from (9) that  $Au \in C[J, E]$  and  $(Au)(t) \geq \theta$  for  $t \in J$ . To summarize,  $A$  maps  $C[J, P]$  into  $C[J, P]$ . By (9) and Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Au)(t)\| &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}] \\ &\quad \|f(s, u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}] \\ &\quad (\varepsilon_0c(s)\|u\|_c + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [c^*(m_2n_1 + \Delta) + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)\bar{c}^*]\|u\|_c + \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2n_1 + \Delta) \\ &\quad (a^* + Mb^*) + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(\bar{a}^* + M\bar{b}^*)] \\ &= \varepsilon_0\tilde{\alpha}_1\|u\|_c + \tilde{\beta}_1, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_1 &= \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [c^*(m_2n_1 + \Delta) + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)\bar{c}^*], \\ \tilde{\beta}_1 &= \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(a^* + Mb^*) + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(\bar{a}^* + M\bar{b}^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (14) that  $Au \in C[J, P]$  and

$$\|Au\|_c \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_c + \tilde{\beta}_1, \quad \forall u \in C[J, P]. \tag{15}$$

Next, we are in position to show that  $A$  is continuous. Let  $u_m, \bar{u} \in C[J, P], \|u_m - \bar{u}\|_c \rightarrow 0$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then  $r = \sup_m \|u_m\|_c < +\infty$  and  $\|\bar{u}\|_c \leq r$ . By (9), we get

$$(Au_m)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u_m(s))ds. \tag{16}$$

It is clear,

$$f(t, u_m(t)) \rightarrow f(t, u(t)) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall t \in J', \tag{17}$$

and by (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, u_m(t)) - f(t, u(t))\| &\leq 2\varepsilon_0c(t)r + 2a(t) + 2Mb(t) = \lambda(t), \\ \forall t \in J' \ (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \lambda &\in L[J, R_+], \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1-t)^{\alpha-2}\|f(t, u_m(t)) - f(t, u(t))\| &\leq (1-t)^{\alpha-2}[2\varepsilon_0c(t)r + 2a(t) + 2Mb(t)] = \sigma(t), \\ \forall t \in J' \ (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \sigma &\in L[J, R_+]. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

It follows from (18), (19), (H<sub>1</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>) and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \|f(t, u_m(t)) - f(t, u(t))\| dt = 0, \tag{20}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{\alpha-2} \|f(t, u_m(t)) - f(t, u(t))\| dt = 0. \tag{21}$$

By (9), (16), (20), (21) and Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Au_m)(t) - (Au)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^1 G(t, s) \|f(s, u_m(s)) - f(s, u(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [(m_2n_1 + \Delta)(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_2n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2}] \\ &\quad \|f(s, u_m(s)) - f(s, u(s))\| ds \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which means that  $\|Au_m - Au\|_c \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the continuity of  $A$  is proved.

Finally, assume that condition  $(H_4)$  is satisfied, and we are going to show that  $A$  is compact. Let  $V = \{u_m : m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \subset C[J, P]$  be bounded and  $\|u_m\|_c \leq \gamma$  ( $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). It is easy to see from  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1n_1(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2})(\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds < +\infty, \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds < +\infty, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1})(\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds < +\infty. \tag{25}$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get that

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1})(\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds = 0. \tag{26}$$

It follows from the absolute continuity of Lebesgue's integral and (24), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that for  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2, t_2 - t_1 < \delta_1$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \tag{27}$$

By (26), we know that there there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that for  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2, t_2 - t_1 < \delta_2$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1-s)^{\alpha-1})(\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \tag{28}$$

Let

$$\delta_3 = \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \cdot \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1n_1(1-s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1n_2(\alpha-1)(1-s)^{\alpha-2})(\varepsilon_0\gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds \right)^{-1}.$$

Take  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$ . Then, for any  $u_m \in V, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2, t_2 - t_1 < \delta$ , we have by (13), (23), (27) and (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(Au_m)(t_2) - (Au_m)(t_1)\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^1 G(t_2, s) f(s, u_m(s)) ds - \int_0^1 G(t_1, s) f(s, u_m(s)) ds \right\| \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_0^{t_1} |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds + \int_{t_2}^1 |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds + \\
 &\quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds \\
 &= \int_0^{t_1} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}) \right] \cdot \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds + \\
 &\quad \int_{t_2}^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) \cdot \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds + \\
 &\quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (t_2 - t_1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}) \right] \cdot \|f(s, u_m(s))\| ds \\
 &\leq \delta \int_0^{t_1} \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \\
 &\quad \delta \int_{t_2}^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \\
 &\quad \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \int_0^{t_1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}) \\
 &\quad (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds \\
 &\leq 3\delta \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_1 n_1 (1 - s)^{\alpha-1} + m_1 n_2 (\alpha - 1) (1 - s)^{\alpha-2}) (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} \cdot (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ((t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}) \\
 &\quad (\varepsilon_0 \gamma c(s) + a(s) + Mb(s)) ds \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{5}\varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{5} + \frac{\varepsilon}{5} = \varepsilon, \tag{29}
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\{(Au_m)(t)\}$  ( $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) is equicontinuous on  $J$ . Hence, by Lemma 5

$$\alpha_c(AV) = \max_{t \in J} \{\alpha((AV)(t))\}, \tag{30}$$

where  $AV = \{Au_m : m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and  $(AV)(t) = \{(Au_m)(t) : m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . It follows from Lemma 6 that

$$\alpha((AV)(t)) \leq 2 \int_0^1 G(t, s) \alpha(f(s, V(s))) ds, \quad \forall t \in J. \tag{31}$$

For fixed  $s \in J, V(s) \subset P_\gamma$ , we have, by condition  $(H_4)$ ,

$$\alpha(f(s, V(s))) = 0, \quad \forall s \in J'. \tag{32}$$

Hence, it follows from (31) and (32) that  $\alpha((AV)(t))$  for  $t \in J$ . Therefore, by (30),  $\alpha_c(AV) = 0$ , and the compactness of  $A$  is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 8** ([26]) *A bounded set  $W$  of  $c_0$  is relatively compact if and only if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sup_{w \in W} [\max\{|w_m| : m \geq n\}] \right\} = 0,$$

where  $c_0 = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) : u_n \rightarrow 0\}$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|u\| = \sup_n |u_n|$ .

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 1** *Let conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  be satisfied. Assume that  $P$  is normal and solid, and there exist  $v \gg \theta$ ,  $0 < t_* < t^* < 1$  and  $\rho \in C[I, R_+]$  ( $I = [t_*, t^*]$ ) such that*

$$f(t, u_0) \geq \rho(t)v, \quad \forall t_* \leq t \leq t^*, \quad u_0 \geq v, \tag{33}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}(m_2 - m_1 t^*) \int_{t_*}^{t^*} (n_1(1 - s) + n_2(\alpha - 1)(1 - s)^{\alpha-2})\rho(s)ds > 1. \tag{34}$$

Then, BVP (1) has at least two positive solutions  $u^*, u^{**} \in C[J, P] \cap C^2[J' E]$  such that  $u^*(t) \gg v$  for  $t_* \leq t \leq t^*$ .

**Proof** By Lemma 7, operator  $A$  defined by (9) is completely continuous from  $C[J, P] \rightarrow C[J, P]$ , and we now need only to show that  $A$  has two positive fixed points  $u^*$  and  $u^{**}$  in  $C[J, P]$  such that  $u^*(t) \gg v$  for  $t_* \leq t \leq t^*$ . Choose

$$R > \max \left\{ 2\tilde{\beta}_1, \frac{2\|v\|}{\cos t^*} \right\}. \tag{35}$$

Let  $U_1 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c < R\}$ . Then  $\bar{U}_1 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c \leq R\}$  and, by (14) and (27), we get that

$$A(\bar{U}_1) \subset U_1. \tag{36}$$

Let

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta\Gamma(\alpha) [d^*(m_2 n_1 + \Delta) + m_2 n_2 (\alpha - 1) \bar{d}^*]^{-1}.$$

By condition  $(H_3)$ , for  $\varepsilon_1$ , there exists a  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|f(t, u_0)\| \leq \varepsilon_1 d(t) \|u_0\|, \quad \forall t \in J', u_0 \in P, \|u_0\| \leq r_1. \tag{37}$$

Then for  $u \in C[J, P]$  with  $\|u\|_c \leq r_1$ , we have by (37) that

$$\|f(t, u(t))\| \leq \varepsilon_1 d(t) \|u\|_c, \quad \forall t \in J'. \tag{38}$$

It follows from (9) and (38) that  $Au \in C[J, P]$  and

$$\|(Au)(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} [d^*(m_2 n_1 + \Delta) + m_2 n_2 (\alpha - 1) \bar{d}^*] \|u\|_c. \tag{39}$$

Therefore,

$$\|Au\|_c \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_c, \quad \forall u \in C[J, P], \|u\|_c \leq r_1. \tag{40}$$

Choose

$$0 < r < \min \left\{ r_1, R, \frac{\|v\|}{N} \right\}, \tag{41}$$

where  $N$  denotes the normal constant of  $P$  and let  $U_2 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c < r\}$ . Then  $\bar{U}_2 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c \leq r\}$ , and by (39) and (40),

$$A(\bar{U}_2) \subset U_2. \tag{42}$$

Let  $U_3 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c < R, u(t) \gg v, \forall t \in I\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, in [27, p244], we can show that  $U_3$  is open in  $C[J, P]$ . Let

$$w(t) = 2 \frac{\cos t}{\cos t^*} v. \tag{43}$$

It is easy to see that  $w \in C[J, P], \|w\| \leq \frac{2}{\cos t^*} \|v\|, w(t) \geq 2v \gg v, \forall t \in I$ . Hence,  $w \in U_3$  and so,  $U_3 \neq \emptyset$ . Obviously,

$$\bar{U}_3 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c \leq R, u(t) \geq v, \forall t \in I\}.$$

Let  $u \in U_3$ . By (36), we know that  $\|Au\|_c \leq R$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \geq \int_{t_*}^{t^*} G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \int_{t_*}^{t^*} \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_2 - m_1 t^*) (n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1)) (1-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, u(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)} (m_2 - m_1 t^*) \int_{t_*}^{t^*} (n_1(1-s) + n_2(\alpha-1)) (1-s)^{\alpha-2} \rho(s) ds \cdot v \\ &\gg v. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Hence,

$$A(\bar{U}_3) \subset U_3. \tag{45}$$

It follows from (36), (42), (45) and Lemma 17 that

$$i(A, U_i, C[J, P]) = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{46}$$

On the other hand, for any  $u \in U_3$ , we have  $u(t_*) \gg v$ , and so,

$$\|u\|_c \geq \|u(t_*)\| \geq \frac{1}{N} \|v\|.$$

As a consequence,

$$U_2 \subset U_1 \subset C[J, P], U_3 \subset U_1 \subset C[J, P], U_3 \cap U_2 = \emptyset. \tag{47}$$

By (46) and (47), we can obtain

$$i(A, U_1 \setminus (\bar{U}_2 \cup \bar{U}_3), C[J, P]) = 1 - 1 - 1 = -1. \tag{48}$$

Therefore, the operator  $A$  has at least two fixed points  $u^* \in U_3$  and  $u^{**} \in U_1 \setminus (\bar{U}_2 \cup \bar{U}_3)$ , and  $\|u^*\|_c > r, \|u^{**}\|_c > r$ . Hence,  $u^*(t) \not\equiv 0, u^{**}(t) \not\equiv 0$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 3** Condition  $(H_3)$  and the continuity of  $f$  imply that  $f(t, \theta) = \theta$  for  $t \in J'$ . Hence, under the conditions of Theorem 1, BVP (1) has the trivial solution  $u(t) \equiv 0$  besides two positive solutions  $u^*$  and  $u^{**}$ .

**Theorem 2** Let conditions  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_2)$  and  $(H_4)$  be satisfied. Assume that there exist  $v > \theta, 0 < t_* < t^* < 1$  and  $\rho \in C[I, R_+](I = [t_*, t^*])$  such that (33) and (34) hold. Then, BVP (1) has at least one positive solution  $u^* \in C[J, P] \cap C^2[J'E]$  such that  $u^*(t) \geq v$  for  $t_* \leq t \leq t^*$ .

**Proof** As in the proof of Theorem 1, we need only to show that  $A$  has one positive fixed point  $u^* \in C[J, P]$  such that  $u^*(t) \geq v$  for  $t_* \leq t \leq t^*$ . Choose  $R$  satisfying (35) and let

$$U_4 = \{u \in C[J, P] : \|u\|_c \leq R, u(t) \geq v, \forall t \in I\}.$$

Clearly,  $U_4$  is bounded closed convex set in  $C[J, P]$  and it is nonempty since  $w \in U_4$ , where  $w$  is defined as in (43). Let  $u \in U_4$ . By (36), we have that  $\|Au\|_c < R$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1, we can show that

$$(Au)(t) \geq v, \quad \forall t \in I, u \in U_4. \tag{49}$$

Thus,  $Au \in U_4$ , and therefore

$$A(U_4) \subset U_4. \tag{50}$$

Then, the Schauder fixed point theorem implies that  $A$  has at least one fixed point  $u^* \in U_4 \subset C[J, P]$  and  $u^*(t) \geq v$  for  $t \in I$ .  $\square$

### 4. An example

Consider the infinite system of scalar fractional singular differential equations

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\frac{3}{2}} u_n(t) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{nt}}(1 + 10u_n(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}, & t \in J', \\ u_n(0) + u'_n(0) = 0, \quad u_n(1) + 2u'_n(1) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

**Conclusion** Infinite system (51) has at least one positive solution  $u_n(t)$  satisfying  $u_n(t) \geq \frac{1}{n}$  for  $t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ).

**Proof** Let  $E = c_0 = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) : u_n \rightarrow 0\}$  with norm  $\|u\| = \sup_n |u_n|$  and  $P = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) \in c_0 : u_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Then  $P$  is a normal cone in  $E$  (but  $P$  is not solid), and infinite system (51) can be regarded as a BVP of form (1) in  $E$ . In this situation,  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$ ,  $m_1 = m_2 = n_1 = 1, n_2 = 2, \alpha = \frac{3}{2}, \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}, \Delta = 2$  and

$$f_n(t, u) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{nt}}(1 + 10u_n(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in J', u \in P. \tag{52}$$

It is clear,  $f \in C[J' \times P, P]$  and

$$\|f(t, u)\| \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}(1 + 10\|u\|)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in J', u \in P. \tag{53}$$

By direct computation, we have

$$b^* = c^* = \int_0^1 \frac{3}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \sqrt{1-t} dt = \frac{3}{2}\pi, \quad \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1-t}{\sqrt{t}} dt = \frac{5}{6}\sqrt{2} - \frac{11}{12}, \tag{54}$$

and

$$\bar{b}^* = \bar{c}^* = \int_0^1 \frac{3}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt = 3\pi, \quad \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} dt = \frac{\pi}{6}. \tag{55}$$

It follows from (53)–(55) that (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold for  $a(t) = 0, b(t) = c(t) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{t}}, g(x_0) = (1 + 10x_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Let  $t \in J'$  and  $r > 0$ . For any  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots) \in f(t \times P_r, P_r)$ , we have by (52)

$$0 \leq w_n \leq \frac{3}{\sqrt{nt}}(1 + 10r)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

So, the relative compactness of  $f(t, P_r)$  in  $c_0$  follows directly from Lemma 8. Hence, condition (H<sub>4</sub>) is satisfied.

Let  $v = (1, 1/2, \dots, 1/n, \dots)$ . Then,  $v > \theta$ . For  $t \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $u > v$ , we have by (52)

$$f_n(t, u) \geq \frac{3}{\sqrt{nt}}(1 + 10\frac{1}{n})^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{nt}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{t}},$$

which implies that (33) holds for  $\rho(t) = \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{t}}$ . Thus, by (54) and (55), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Delta\Gamma(\alpha)}(m_2 - m_1 t^*) \int_{t_*}^{t^*} (n_1(1 - s) + n_2(\alpha - 1)(1 - s)^{\alpha-2})\rho(s)ds \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{2}} [(1 - s) + (1 - s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] \cdot \frac{3\sqrt{10}}{\sqrt{s}} ds \\ &= 3\sqrt{10} \times \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi} (\frac{5}{6}\sqrt{2} - \frac{11}{12} + \frac{\pi}{6}) \approx 2.1019927969 > 1, \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

which means that (34) holds. Hence, our conclusion follows from Theorem 2.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, O. I. MARICHEV. *Fractional Integral and Derivative, in: Theory and Applications*. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Yverdon, 1993.
- [2] I. PODLUBNY. *Fractional Differential Equations, in: Mathematics in science and Engineering*. Academic Press, NewYork, London, Toronto, 1999.
- [3] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, J. J. TRUJILLO. *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2006.
- [4] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA, J. VASUNDHARA DEVI. *Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems*. Cambridge Academic, Cambridge, 2009.
- [5] D. DELBOSCO, L. RODINO. *Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1996, **204**(2): 609–625.
- [6] Shuqin ZHANG. *The existence of a positive solution for a nonlinear fractional differential equation*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2000, **252**(2): 804–812.
- [7] Shuqin ZHANG. *Existence of positive solution for some class of nonlinear fractional differential equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2003, **278**(1): 136–148.
- [8] H. JAFARI, V. DAF'TARDAR-GEJJI. *Positive solutions of nonlinear fractional boundary value problems using adomian decomposition method*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2006, **180**(2): 700–706.
- [9] Zhongli WEI, Qingdong LI, Junling CHE. *Initial value problems for fractional differential equations involving Riemann-Liouville sequential fractional derivative*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2010, **367**(1): 260–272.
- [10] Sihua LIANG, Jihui ZHANG. *Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equation*. Nonlinear Anal., 2009, **71**(11): 5545–5550.
- [11] Sihua LIANG, Jihui ZHANG. *Existence of multiple positive solutions for m-point fractional boundary value problems on an infinite interval*. Math. Comput. Modelling, 2011, **54**(5-6): 1334001346.
- [12] Sihua LIANG, Jihui ZHANG. *Existence and uniqueness of strictly nondecreasing and positive solution for a fractional three-point boundary value problem*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, **62**(3): 1333–1340.
- [13] Shuqin ZHANG. *Positive solutions for boundary-value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*. Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2006, **36**: 1–12.
- [14] Yige ZHAO, Shurong SUN, Zhenlai HAN, et al. *Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2011, **217**(16): 6950–6958.

- [15] Dajun GUO, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, Xinzhi LIU. *Nonlinear Integral Equation in Abstract Spaces*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [16] K. DEMLING. *Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [17] V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, S. LEELA. *Nonlinear Differential Equation in Abstract Spaces*. Pergamon, Oxford, 1981.
- [18] Dajun GUO, V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM. *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1988.
- [19] L. BYSZEWSKI. *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1991, **162**(2): 494–505.
- [20] K. BALACHANDRAN, M. CHANDRASEKARAN. *The non-local Cauchy problem for semilinear integrodifferential equations with deviating argument*. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2), 2001, **44**(1): 63–70.
- [21] Zhiwei LV, Jin LIANG, Tijun XIAO. *Solutions to the Cauchy problem for differential equations in Banach spaces with fractional order*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, **62**(3): 1303–1311.
- [22] G. M. N'GUÉRÉKATA. *A Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with non local conditions*. Nonlinear Anal., 2009, **70**(5): 1873–1876.
- [23] K. BALACHANDRAN, S. KIRUTHIKA. *Existence of solutions of abstract fractional impulsive semilinear evolution equations*. Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2010, **4**: 1–12.
- [24] K. BALACHANDRANA, J. J. TRUJILLO. *The nonlocal Cauchy problem for nonlinear fractional integrodifferential equations in Banach spaces*. Nonlinear Anal., 2010, **72**(12): 4587–4593.
- [25] Xinwei SU. *Solutions to boundary value problem of fractional order on unbounded domains in a Banach space*. Nonlinear Anal., 2011, **74**(8): 2844–2852.
- [26] J. BANAS, K. GOEBEL. *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*. Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 1980.
- [27] Dajun GUO. *Multiple positive solutions for first order nonlinear impulsive integro-differential equations in a Banach space*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2003, **143**(2-3): 233–249.