

# Complete Convergence of Weighted Sums for $\rho^*$ -Mixing Sequence of Random Variables

Mingle GUO\*, Dongjin ZHU

*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Anhui Normal University, Anhui 241003, P. R. China*

**Abstract** In this paper, the complete convergence of weighted sums for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables is investigated. By applying moment inequality and truncation methods, the equivalent conditions of complete convergence of weighted sums for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables are established. We not only promote and improve the results of Li et al. (J. Theoret. Probab., 1995, **8**(1): 49–76) from i.i.d. to  $\rho^*$ -mixing setting but also obtain their necessities and relax their conditions.

**Keywords**  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables; weighted sums; complete convergence; moment inequality.

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 60F15

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of random variables defined on probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Write  $\mathcal{F}_S = \sigma(X_k, k \in S) \subset \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\rho^*(k) = \sup_{S, T} \left( \sup_{X \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_S), Y \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)} \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X) \cdot \text{Var}(Y)}} \right)$$

where  $S, T$  are the finite subsets of positive integers such that  $\text{dist}(S, T) \geq k$ .

We call  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence if there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\rho^*(k) < 1$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  is such that  $\rho^*(1) < 1$  (see [1]). The  $\rho^*$ -mixing conception is similar to  $\rho$ -mixing, but they are quite different from each other. Bryc and Smolenski [1] and Peligrad [2] pointed out the importance of the condition  $\rho^*(1) < 1$  in estimating the moments of partial sums or maximum of partial sums. Various limit properties under the condition  $\rho^*(1) < 1$  were studied. We refer to Bradley [3] for the central limit theorem, Bryc and Smolenski [1] for moment inequalities and almost sure convergence, An and Yuan [4] for complete convergence of weighted sums for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables, and Peligrad and Gut [5] for the Rosenthal-type maximal inequality.

---

Received May 6, 2012; Accepted September 3, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11271020; 11201004), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (Grant No. 211077) and the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Province (Grant Nos. 10040606Q30; 1208085MA11).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: mlguo@mail.ahnu.edu.cn (Mingle GUO); zhudj@mail.ahnu.edu.cn (Dongjin ZHU)

When  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), Baum and Katz [6] proved the following remarkable result concerning the convergence rate of the tail probabilities  $P(|S_n| > \epsilon n^{1/p})$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

**Theorem A** *Let  $0 < p < 2$  and  $r \geq p$ . Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{r}{p}-2} P(|S_n| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) < \infty \text{ for all } \epsilon > 0,$$

*if and only if  $E|X_1|^r < \infty$ , where  $EX_1 = 0$  whenever  $1 \leq p < 2$ .*

There is an interesting and substantial literature of investigation apropos of extending the Baum-Katz Theorem along a variety of different paths. Since partial sums are a particular case of weighted sums and the weighted sums are often encountered in some actual questions, the complete convergence for the weighted sums seems more important. Li et al. [7] discussed the complete convergence for independent weighted sums and obtained the following results.

**Theorem B** *Let  $\{X, X_k, k \in Z\}$  be a sequence of zero mean i.i.d. real random variables and  $\{a_{ni}, i \in Z, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of real numbers.*

(i) *Let  $p > 2$ . If  $E|X|^p < \infty$ , and for some  $0 < \delta < \frac{2}{p}$ ,  $2 \leq q < p$ ,*

$$\sum_{k \in Z} |a_{nk}|^2 = O(n^\delta) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and } \sum_{k \in Z} |a_{nk}|^q = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1}$$

*then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i \in Z} a_{ni} X_i\right| > \epsilon n^{1/p}\right) < \infty. \tag{2}$$

(ii) *If*

$$\sum_{k \in Z} |a_{nk}|^2 = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3}$$

*and*

$$E|X|^2 \log(1 + |X|) < \infty, \tag{4}$$

*then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\sum_{i \in Z} a_{ni} X_i\right| > \epsilon n^{1/2}\right) < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Wang et al. [8] improved Theorem B and established the necessary and sufficient conditions of complete convergence for weighted sums of i.i.d. random variables. Liang et al. [9] obtained the equivalent conditions of complete convergence of weighted sums of negatively associated random variables.

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss again the above results for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables. By applying moment inequality and truncation methods, the equivalent conditions of complete convergence of weighted sums for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables are established. We not only promote and improve the results of Li et al. [7] from i.i.d. to  $\rho^*$ -mixing setting but also obtain their necessities and relax their conditions.

For the proofs of the main results, we need to restate a few lemmas for easy reference. Throughout this paper,  $C$  will represent positive constants, the value of which may change from one place to another. The symbol  $I(A)$  denotes the indicator function of  $A$ ,  $[x]$  indicates the maximum integer not larger than  $x$ . For a finite set  $B$ , the symbol  $\#B$  denotes the number of elements in the set  $B$ . Let  $a_n \ll b_n$  denote that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $a_n \leq Cb_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , and let  $a_n \approx b_n$  mean  $a_n \ll b_n$  and  $b_n \ll a_n$ .

The following lemma will play an important role in the proof of our main results. The proof is due to Peligrad and Gut [5].

**Lemma 1** *Let  $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  be a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables,  $Y_i \in \sigma(X_i)$ ,  $EY_i = 0$ ,  $E|Y_i|^M < \infty$ ,  $i \geq 1$ ,  $M \geq 2$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$E \left| \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \right|^M \leq C \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E|Y_i|^M + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EY_i^2 \right)^{M/2} \right], \tag{6}$$

$$E \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j Y_i \right|^M \leq C \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E|Y_i|^M + (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EY_i^2 \right)^{M/2} \right]. \tag{7}$$

**Lemma 2** *Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables, and  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of real numbers. Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that, for any  $x \geq 0$  and all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\left( \frac{1}{2} - P\left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > x \right) \right) \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{C}{2} \right) P\left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > x \right). \tag{8}$$

**Proof** Since  $\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > x\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{ |a_{ni}X_i| > x, \max_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} |a_{nj}X_j| \leq x \}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x, \max_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} |a_{nj}X_j| \leq x) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x, \max_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} |a_{nj}X_j| > x) \\ &= P\left( \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > x \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x, \max_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} |a_{nj}X_j| > x). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x, \max_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} |a_{nj}X_j| > x) \\ & \leq E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (I(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) - EI(|a_{ni}X_i| > x)) \right) I\left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj}X_j| > x \right) + \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) P\left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj}X_j| > x \right). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Combining with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (6), we obtain

$$E \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (I(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) - EI(|a_{ni}X_i| > x)) \right) I\left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj}X_j| > x \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \sqrt{E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (I(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) - EI(|a_{ni}X_i| > x))\right)^2} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj}X_j| > x\right) \\
 &\leq \sqrt{C \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj}X_j| > x\right)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > x) + \frac{C}{2} P\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni}X_i| > x\right). \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute (11) into (10) and then into (9) and obtain (8).  $\square$

**Lemma 3** Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables, and  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of real numbers. Let  $\{b_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers. If for some  $M \geq 2, \alpha > 0$  the following conditions are fulfilled

- (a)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > n^\alpha) < \infty,$
- (b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-M\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^M I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^\alpha) < \infty,$
- (c)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n n^{-M\alpha} (\log_2 n)^M (\sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_{ni}|^2 I(|a_{ni}X_{ni}| \leq n^\alpha))^{M/2} < \infty,$

then for any  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (a_{ni}X_i - Ea_{ni}X_i I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^\alpha)) \right| > \epsilon n^\alpha\right) < \infty. \tag{12}$$

**Proof** Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [10], we assume  $X_{ni} = a_{ni}X_i I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^\alpha)$ . Using Lemma 1, Markov’s inequality and  $C_r$  inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right| > \epsilon n^\alpha\right) \\
 &\leq \epsilon^{-M} n^{-M\alpha} E \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right|^M \\
 &\leq C \epsilon^{-M} n^{-M\alpha} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni} - EX_{ni}|^M + (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_{ni} - EX_{ni})^2 \right)^{M/2} \right] \\
 &\leq C n^{-M\alpha} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni}|^M + (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n EX_{ni}^2 \right)^{M/2} \right]. \tag{13}
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (a_{ni}X_i - Ea_{ni}X_i I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^\alpha)) \right| > \epsilon n^\alpha\right) \\
 &\leq P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right| > \epsilon n^\alpha\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > n^\alpha). \tag{14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (13), (14), (a), (b) and (c) we see that (12) holds.  $\square$

## 2. Main results

Now we state our main results. The proofs will be given in Section 3.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of identically distributed random variables and  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of real numbers. Let  $r > 1, p > 2$ . If, for some  $2 \leq q < p$ ,

$$N(n, m+1) \triangleq \#\{k \geq 1, |a_{nk}| \geq (m+1)^{-1/p}\} \approx m^{q(r-1)/p}, \quad n, m \geq 1; \quad (15)$$

$$EX = 0, \quad \text{when } q(r-1) \geq 1; \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^2 \ll n^\delta \quad \text{when } q(r-1) \geq 2, \quad \text{where } 0 < \delta < \frac{2}{p}, \quad (17)$$

then, for  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$E|X|^{p(r-1)} < \infty \quad (18)$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni} X_i \right| > \epsilon n^{1/p}\right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (19)$$

For  $1 < r < 2$ , (18) implies (19). Conversely, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) = 0$ , then (19) implies (18).

For  $p = 2, q = 2$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\{X, X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of identically distributed random variables and  $\{a_{ni}, 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$  be an array of real numbers, and let  $r > 1$ . If

$$N(n, m+1) \triangleq \#\{k \geq 1, |a_{nk}| \geq (m+1)^{-1/2}\} \approx m^{r-1}, \quad n, m \geq 1; \quad (20)$$

$$EX = 0, \quad \text{when } 2(r-1) \geq 1; \quad (21)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^{2(r-1)} = O(1), \quad (22)$$

then, for  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$E|X|^{2(r-1)} \log(1 + |X|) < \infty \quad (23)$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni} X_i \right| > \epsilon n^{1/2}\right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \quad (24)$$

For  $1 < r < 2$ , (23) implies (24). Conversely, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/2}) = 0$ , then (24) implies (23).

**Remark 1** Since independent random variables are a special case of  $\rho^*$ -mixing random variables, Theorems 1 and 2 extend the results of Wang et al. [8].

**Remark 2** Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^n |a_{nk}|^{q(r-1)} \ll 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty, 2 \leq q < p$  implies

$$\#\{k, |a_{nk}| \geq (m+1)^{-1/p}\} \ll m^{q(r-1)/p} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking  $r = 2$ , then conditions (15) and (20) are weaker than conditions (1) and (3) in Li et al. [7]. Therefore, Theorems 1 and 2 not only promote and improve the results of Li et al. [7] from i.i.d. to  $\rho^*$ -mixing setting but also obtain their necessities and relax the range of  $r$ .

### 3. Proofs of the main results

**Proof of Theorem 1** We firstly prove (18)  $\Rightarrow$  (19). Put  $b_n = n^{r-2}$ ,  $\alpha = 1/p$  in Lemma 3. For any  $q' > q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^{q'(r-1)} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(m+1)^{-1} \leq |a_{ni}|^p < m^{-1}} |a_{ni}|^{q'(r-1)} \\ &\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (N(n, m+1) - N(n, m)) m^{-q'(r-1)/p} \\ &\ll \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{q(r-1)/p - q'(r-1)/p - 1} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Let  $Y = X/\epsilon$ . By exchanging sum order and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}Y| > n^{1/p}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(j+1)^{-1} \leq |a_{ni}|^p < j^{-1}} P(|a_{ni}Y| > n^{1/p}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (N(n, j) - N(n, j-1)) P(|Y| > (nj)^{1/p}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (N(n, j) - N(n, j-1)) \sum_{k=nj}^{\infty} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1) \sum_{j=1}^{[k/n]} (N(n, j) - N(n, j-1)) \\ &\approx \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k/n)^{q(r-1)/p} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Noting that  $r - 2 - q(r - 1)/p > -1$ , by (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k/n)^{q(r-1)/p} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{q(r-1)/p} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1) \sum_{n=1}^k n^{r-2-q(r-1)/p} \\ &\approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{r-1} P(k < |Y|^p \leq k+1) \approx E|Y|^{p(r-1)} \approx E|X|^{p(r-1)} < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Choosing sufficiently large  $M > \max\{2, p(r - 1)\}$  such that  $r - 2 - M/p < -1$ ,  $q(r - 1)/p - 1 - M/p < -1$ . By exchanging sum order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^M I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \\ \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (N(n, j) - N(n, j-1)) j^{-M/p} E|X|^M I(|X| \leq (n(j+1))^{1/p}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{q(r-1)/p-1-M/p} E|X|^M I(|X|^p \leq 2n-1) + \\
 &\quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{q(r-1)/p-1-M/p} \sum_{k=2n}^{n(j+1)} E|X|^M I(k-1 < |X|^p \leq k) \\
 &=: I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Noting that  $r-2-M/p < -1$ ,  $q(r-1)/p-1-M/p < -1$ , we have

$$I_1 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} E|X|^M I(|X|^p \leq 2n-1) \approx E|X|^{p(r-1)} < \infty. \tag{29}$$

By exchanging sum order, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} E|X|^M I(k-1 < |X|^p \leq k) \sum_{j=[k/n]-1}^{\infty} j^{q(r-1)/p-1-M/p} \\
 &\approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{k=2n}^{\infty} (k/n)^{q(r-1)/p-M/p} E|X|^M I(k-1 < |X|^p \leq k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{q(r-1)/p-M/p} E|X|^M I(k-1 < |X|^p \leq k) \sum_{n=1}^{[k/2]} n^{r-2-q(r-1)/p} \\
 &\approx \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{r-1-M/p} E|X|^M I(k-1 < |X|^p \leq k) \approx E|X|^{p(r-1)} < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Combining with (28), (29) and (30), we see

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^M I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) < \infty. \tag{31}$$

When  $q(r-1) < 2$ , take  $q < q' < p$  such that  $q'(r-1) < 2$ . Taking sufficiently large  $M$  such that  $r-2-Mq'(r-1)/(2p) < -1$ , by (25) and  $E|X|^{q'(r-1)} < \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^2 I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} n^{M/p-Mq'(r-1)/(2p)} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^{q'(r-1)} I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-Mq'(r-1)/(2p)} (\log_2 n)^M < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

For  $q(r-1) \geq 2$ , since  $\delta < 2/p$ , we can take sufficiently large  $M$  such that  $r-2-M/p+M\delta/2 < -1$ . Therefore, by (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^2 I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^2 \right)^{M/2} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/p+M\delta/2} (\log_2 n)^M < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Thus we have established that all assumptions from Lemma 3 are fulfilled. Therefore, to prove

(19), it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{34}$$

For  $q(r - 1) < 1$ , taking  $q < q' < p$  such that  $q'(r - 1) < 1$ , by (25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{i=1}^n E |a_{ni} X_i| I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} n^{1/p - q'(r-1)/p} \sum_{i=1}^n E |a_{ni} X_i|^{q'(r-1)} I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \ll n^{-q'(r-1)/p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For  $q(r - 1) \geq 1$ , noting that  $EX = 0$ , by (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| \leq n^{1/p}) \right| &= \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{1/p}) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/p}} n^{1/p - r + 1} \sum_{i=1}^n E |a_{ni} X_i|^{p(r-1)} I(|a_{ni} X_i| > n^{1/p}) \ll n^{-r+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we proceed to prove (19)  $\Rightarrow$  (18). Since  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_{nk} X_k| \leq 2 \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ni} X_i \right|$ , then from (19) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} P\left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_{nk} X_k| > \epsilon n^{1/p} \right) < \infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0. \tag{35}$$

When  $r \geq 2$ , it is obvious that  $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_{nk} X_k| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Combining with the hypotheses of Theorem, for  $r > 1$ , we have  $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_{nk} X_k| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) \ll P\left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |a_{nk} X_k| > \epsilon n^{1/p} \right). \tag{36}$$

Substituting (36) into (35), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}) < \infty. \tag{37}$$

By (27), we have

$$E|X|^{p(r-1)} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/p}). \tag{38}$$

Therefore (18) holds.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2** Let  $p = 2, q = 2$ . Applying the same notations and method as in Theorem 1, we need only to give the different parts. Similarly to the proof of (26) and (27), noting that  $E|X|^{2(r-1)} \log(1 + |X|) < \infty$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni} X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/2}) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k/n)^{r-1} P(k < |Y|^2 \leq (k + 1))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{r-1} P(k < |Y|^2 \leq (k+1)) \sum_{n=1}^k n^{-1} \approx \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{r-1} \log(1+k) P(k < |Y|^2 \leq (k+1)) \\
 &\approx E|Y|^{2(r-1)} \log(1+|Y|) \approx E|X|^{2(r-1)} \log(1+|X|) < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Choose  $M > \max\{2, 2(r-1)\}$ . Since  $E|X|^{2(r-1)} \log(1+|X|) < \infty$  implies  $E|X|^{2(r-1)} < \infty$ , for  $p = 2$ , by (28), (29) and (30), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^M I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/2}) < \infty. \tag{40}$$

For  $r-1 \leq 1$ , noting that  $r-2-M(r-1)/2 < -1$ , by (22) and Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^2 I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/2}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} n^{M/2-M(r-1)/2} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^{2(r-1)} I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/2}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M(r-1)/2} (\log_2 n)^M < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

For  $r-1 > 1$ , choosing sufficiently large  $M$  such that  $r-2-\frac{M}{2(r-1)} < -1$ , by Hölder's inequality and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E|a_{ni}X_i|^2 I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/2}) \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ni}|^2 \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-M/2} (\log_2 n)^M \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ni}^{2(r-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right)^{\frac{r-2}{r-1}} \right)^{M/2} \\
 &\ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2-\frac{M}{2(r-1)}} (\log_2 n)^M < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Let (22) take the place of (25). Similarly to the proof of (34), we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/2}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k E a_{ni} X_i I(|a_{ni}X_i| \leq n^{1/2}) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{43}$$

Thus, we have proved (23)  $\Rightarrow$  (24). Now we proceed to prove (24)  $\Rightarrow$  (23). Using the same arguments as those in the necessary part of Theorem 1, by (39), we can easily prove

$$E|X|^{2(r-1)} \log(1+|X|) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|a_{ni}X_i| > \epsilon n^{1/2}). \tag{44}$$

Therefore (23) holds.  $\square$

### References

[1] W. BRYC, W. SMOLEŃSKI. *Moment conditions for almost sure convergence of weakly correlated random variables*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1993, **119**(2): 629–635.

- [2] M. PELIGRAD. *Maximum of partial sums and an invariance principle for a class of weak dependent random variables*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, **126**(4): 1181–1189.
- [3] R. C. BRADLEY. *On the spectral density and asymptotic normality of weakly dependent random fields*. J. Theoret. Probab., 1992, **5**(2): 355–374.
- [4] Jun AN, Demei YUAN. *Complete convergence of weighted sums for  $\rho^*$ -mixing sequence of random variables*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2008, **78**(12): 1466–1472.
- [5] M. PELIGRAD, A. GUT. *Almost-sure results for a class of dependent random variables*. J. Theoret. Probab., 1999, **12**(1): 87–104.
- [6] L. E. BAUM, M. KATZ. *Convergence rates in the law of large numbers*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1965, **120**(1): 108–123.
- [7] Deli LI, M. B. RAO, Tiefeng JIANG, et al. *Complete convergence and almost sure convergence of weighted sums of random variables*. J. Theoret. Probab., 1995, **8**(1): 49–76.
- [8] Yuebao WANG, Xuguo LIU, Chun SU. *Equivalent conditions of complete convergence for independent weighted sums*. Sci. China Ser. A, 1998, **41**(9): 939–949.
- [9] Hanying LIANG, Deli LI, A. ROSALSKY. *Complete moment and integral convergence for sums of negatively associated random variables*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2010, **26**(3): 419–432.
- [10] A. KUCZMASZEWSKA. *On complete convergence for arrays of rowwise dependent random variables*. Statist. Probab. Lett., 2007, **77**(11): 1050–1060.