

Majorization Properties for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions Involving a Generalized Differential Operator

Huo TANG^{1,2,*}, Guantie DENG¹, Shuhai LI²

1. *School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China;*
2. *School of Mathematics and Statistics, Chifeng University, Inner Mongolia 024000, P. R. China*

Abstract In this paper, we introduce new subclasses $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$ and $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$ of certain p -valent analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator. Majorization properties for functions belonging to the classes $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$ and $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$ are investigated. Also, we point out some new or known consequences of our main results.

Keywords analytic functions; starlike functions; β -spiral functions; subordination; majorization property; differential operator.

MR(2010) Subject Classification 30C45

1. Introduction and definitions

Let f and g be two analytic functions in the open unit disk

$$\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}. \quad (1.1)$$

We say that f is majorized by g in Δ (see [1]) and write

$$f(z) \ll g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta), \quad (1.2)$$

if there exists a function φ , analytic in Δ such that

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (1.3)$$

It may be noted here that (1.2) is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions.

For two functions f and g , analytic in Δ , we say that the function f is subordinate to g in Δ , if there exists a Schwarz function ω , which is analytic in Δ with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)) \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Received March 1, 2012; Accepted May 22, 2012

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271045), the Funds of Doctoral Programme of China (Grant No. 20100003110004) and the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Province (Grant No. 2010MS0117).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: thth2009@tom.com (Huo TANG); denggt@bnu.edu.cn (Guantie DENG); lishms66@sina.com (Shuhai LI)

We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in Δ , then

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \Delta) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.4)$$

that are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk Δ . Also, let $A_1 = A$.

For a function $f \in A_p$, let $f^{(q)}$ denote q th-order ordinary differential operator by

$$f^{(q)}(z) = \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} a_k z^{k-q}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $p > q$, $p \in N$, $q \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ and $z \in \Delta$.

Next, we define the generalized differential operator $I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)} : A_p \rightarrow A_p$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p,\lambda}^{0,l} f^{(q)}(z) &= f^{(q)}(z); \\ I_{p,\lambda}^{1,l} f^{(q)}(z) &= (1-\lambda)f^{(q)}(z) + \lambda z^{1-l}(z^l f^{(q)}(z))'; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z) = I_{p,\lambda}^{1,l}(I_{p,\lambda}^{m-1,l} f^{(q)}(z)). \quad (1.6)$$

If $f \in A_p$, then from (1.5) and (1.6), we can easily see that

$$I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z) = \frac{p![1+\lambda(p+l-q-1)]^m}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{k![1+\lambda(k+l-q-1)]^m}{(k-q)!} a_k z^{k-q}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $m \in N_0$; $\lambda, l \geq 0$; $p > q$; $p \in N$ and $q \in N_0$.

We note that for suitable choices of p , q , λ and l , we obtain the following operators studied by various authors.

- (i) $I_{p,1}^{m,l} f^{(0)}(z) = I_p(m, l)f(z)$ (see Kumar et al. [2]);
- (ii) $I_{1,1}^{m,l} f^{(0)}(z) = I_l^m f(z)$ (see Cho and Srivastava [3] and Cho and Kim [4]);
- (iii) $I_{1,\lambda}^{m,0} f^{(0)}(z) = D_\lambda^m f(z)$ (see Al-Oboudi [5]);
- (iv) $I_{p,1}^{m,0} f^{(q)}(z) = D_p^m f^{(q)}(z)$ (see Frasin [6] and Goswami and Aouf [19]);
- (v) $I_{p,1}^{m,0} f^{(0)}(z) = D_p^m f(z)$ (see Kamali and Orhan [7] and Aouf and Mostafa [8]);
- (vi) $I_{1,1}^{m,0} f^{(0)}(z) = D^m f(z)$ (see Salagean [9]).

Using the operator $I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z)$, we now define the following classes of p -valent analytic functions.

Definition 1.1 A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be in the class $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$ of p -valent functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in Δ if and only if

$$\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} - p + j + m \right) \right] \prec \frac{1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} + Az}{1 + Bz}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $z \in \Delta$; $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$; $p > q$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $m, j, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $\lambda, l \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in C^* = C \setminus \{0\}$ with

$$|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| \geq |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B|.$$

Clearly, we have the following relationships:

- (i) $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[1, -1; \gamma] = S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\gamma)$;
- (ii) $S_{p,0,1}^{m,j,l}[1, -1; \gamma] = S_{p,j}^{m,l}(\gamma)$;
- (iii) $S_{p,0,1}^{m,j,0}[1, -1; \gamma] = S_{p,j}^m(\gamma)$;
- (iv) $S_{p,0,1}^{m,0,0}[1, -1; \gamma] = S_p^m(\gamma)$;
- (v) $S_{p,0,1}^{0,j,0}[1, -1; \gamma] = S_{p,j}(\gamma)$;
- (vi) $S_{1,0,1}^{0,0,0}[1, -1; \gamma] = S(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in C^*$);
- (vii) $S_{1,0,1}^{0,1,0}[1, -1; \gamma] = K(\gamma)$ ($\gamma \in C^*$);
- (viii) $S_{1,0,1}^{0,0,0}[1, -1; 1 - \alpha] = S^*(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$).

The classes $S_{p,j}^{m,l}(\gamma)$ and $S_{p,j}(\gamma)$ were introduced by Goswami et al. [10] and Altintas and Srivastava [11], respectively. The classes $S(\gamma)$ and $K(\gamma)$ are said to be the classes of starlike and convex functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ in Δ which were considered by Nasr and Aouf [12] and Wiatrowski [13], while $S^*(\alpha)$ denotes the class of starlike functions of order α in Δ .

Definition 1.2 A function $f(z) \in A_p$ is said to be in the class $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$, if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ e^{i\beta} \frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{j+1}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^j} \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta, \tag{1.9}$$

where $z \in \Delta$; $p > q$; $p \in \mathbb{N}$; $m, j, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$; $\lambda, l \geq 0$; $0 \leq \alpha < 1$; $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

It can be seen that, by specializing the parameters the class $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$ reduces to many known subclasses of analytic functions.

- (i) $H_{1,0,\lambda}^{0,0,l}(\alpha, \beta) = S_\beta^*(\alpha)$;
- (ii) $H_{1,0,\lambda}^{0,0,l}(\alpha, 0) = S^*(\alpha)$;
- (iii) $H_{1,0,\lambda}^{0,0,l}(0, \beta) = S_\beta^*$.

The classes $S_\beta^*(\alpha)$ and $S^*(\alpha)$ are said to be the classes of β -spiral-like and starlike functions of order α in Δ , which were studied by Libera [14] and Robertson [15], while S_β^* denotes the class of β -spiral-like functions in Δ considered by Spacek [16].

A majorization problem for the class $S^* = S^*(0)$ has been investigated by MacGregor [1]. Also, majorization problems for starlike functions of complex order $\gamma \neq 0$ and β -spiral-like of order α in Δ have recently been investigated by Altintas et al. [17], Goyal and Goswami [18], Goswami et al. [10, 19] and Abubaker et al. [20].

The main object of this paper is to investigate the problems of majorization of the classes $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$ and $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$ defined by a generalized differential operator.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 ([21]) Let $\varphi(z)$ be analytic in Δ satisfying $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ for $z \in \Delta$. Then,

$$|\varphi'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}. \tag{1.10}$$

2. Majorization problem for the class $S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$

We begin by proving the following result.

Theorem 2.1 Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$. If $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in N_0$, then

$$|(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \quad (|z| \leq r_1), \quad (2.1)$$

where $r_1 = r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B|r^3 - [1 + \lambda(p + l - 1) + 2\lambda|B|]r^2 - \\ & [|\lambda\gamma(A - B) + (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B| + 2\lambda]r + |1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; p \in N; m \in N_0; \lambda, l \geq 0; \gamma \in C^*).$$

Proof Since $g \in S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}[A, B; \gamma]$, we find from (1.8) that

$$\left[1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} - p + j + m \right)\right] = \frac{1 + \frac{m}{\gamma} + A\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$, $\omega \in P$, P denotes the well-known class of the bounded analytic functions in Δ and satisfies the conditions (see, for details, Goodman [22])

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| \leq |z| \quad (z \in \Delta). \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from (2.3) that

$$\frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{p - j + [\gamma(A - B) + B(p - j - m)]\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Now, using the following, easily verified from (1.7), identity

$$\lambda z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)} = (I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} - [1 + \lambda(j + l - 1)](I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} \quad (2.6)$$

in (2.5) and making simple calculations, we get

$$\frac{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{[1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)] + [\lambda\gamma(A - B) + (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B]\omega(z)}{1 + B\omega(z)}, \quad (2.7)$$

which, in view of (2.4), immediately yields the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \\ & \leq \frac{1 + |B||z|}{|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + [1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1)]B||z|} |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Next, since $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ , we have from (1.3)

$$(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} = \varphi(z)(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}. \quad (2.9)$$

Differentiating the equality (2.9) with respect to z and multiplying by z , we obtain

$$z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)} = z\varphi'(z)(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} + z\varphi(z)(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Also, by using (2.6) in (2.10), we get

$$(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} = \lambda z\varphi'(z)(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)} + \varphi(z)(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, noting that $\varphi \in P$ satisfies the inequality (1.10) and using (2.8) in (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \\ & \leq (|\varphi(z)| + \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2}) \cdot \frac{\lambda|z|(1 + |B||z|)}{|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + [1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1)]B||z|}}{|(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|}, \end{aligned}$$

which, upon setting

$$|z| = r \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(z)| = \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq 1)$$

leads us to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi(\rho)}{(1 - r^2)[|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + [1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1)]B|r]} \cdot |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\rho) = & -\lambda r(1 + |B|r)\rho^2 + (1 - r^2)[|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + \\ & (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B|r]\rho + \lambda r(1 + |B|r) \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r_1 = r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)$, where $r_1 = r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation (2.2). Furthermore, if $0 \leq \delta \leq r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)$, then the function $\Psi(\rho)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\rho) = & -\lambda\delta(1 + |B|\delta)\rho^2 + (1 - \delta^2)[|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + \\ & (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B|\delta]\rho + \lambda\delta(1 + |B|\delta) \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

is an increasing function on the interval $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\rho) \leq \Psi(1) = & (1 - \delta^2)[|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| - |\lambda\gamma(A - B) + (1 + \lambda(p + l - m - 1))B|\delta] \\ & (0 \leq \rho \leq 1; \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence upon setting $\rho = 1$ in (2.14), we conclude that (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds true for $|z| \leq r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m, A, B)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

As a special case of Theorem 2.1, when $A = 1$ and $B = -1$, we have

Corollary 2.1 *Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\gamma)$. If $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in N_0$, then*

$$|(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \quad (|z| \leq r_2), \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$r_2 = r_2(p, \gamma, \lambda, l, m) = \frac{\eta - \sqrt{\eta^2 - 4|1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)||1 - \lambda(2\gamma + m - p - l + 1)|}}{2|1 - \lambda(2\gamma + m - p - l + 1)|} \tag{2.16}$$

$$(\eta = 2\lambda + |1 + \lambda(p + l - 1)| + |1 - \lambda(2\gamma + m - p - l + 1)|; \quad \lambda, l \geq 0; \quad p \in N; \quad m \in N_0; \quad \gamma \in C^*).$$

Setting $\lambda = 1$ and $l = 0$ in Corollary 2.1, we get

Corollary 2.2 Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in S_{p,q,1}^{m,j,0}(\gamma)$. If $(D^m f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(D^m g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in N_0$, then

$$|(D^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(D^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \quad (|z| \leq r_3),$$

where

$$r_3 = r_3(p, \gamma, m) = \frac{\eta_1 - \sqrt{\eta_1^2 - 4p|2\gamma - p + m|}}{2|2\gamma - p + m|}$$

$$(\eta_1 = 2 + p + |2\gamma - p + m|; p \in N; m \in N_0; \gamma \in C^*).$$

Further putting $m = q = j = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Corollary 2.2, we obtain the result of Altintas et al. [17].

Corollary 2.3 Let the function $f \in A$ be analytic and univalent in the open unit disk Δ and suppose that $g \in S(\gamma)$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ , then

$$|f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \quad (|z| \leq r_4),$$

where

$$r_4 = r_4(\gamma) = \frac{3 + |2\gamma - 1| - \sqrt{9 + 2|2\gamma - 1| + |2\gamma - 1|^2}}{2|2\gamma - 1|} \quad (\gamma \in C^*).$$

Also, for $\gamma = 1$, Corollary 2.3 reduces to the result of MacGregor [1].

Corollary 2.4 Let the function $f \in A$ be analytic and univalent in the open unit disk Δ and suppose that $g \in S^*(0) = S^*$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ , then

$$|f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \quad (|z| \leq 2 - \sqrt{3}).$$

Remark 2.1 (i) Taking $\lambda = 1$ and $q = 0$ in Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we obtain the results of Goswami et al. [10, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, respectively];

(ii) Taking $q = 0$ in Corollary 2.2, we get the result of Goswami et al. [10, Corollary 2.2].

3. Majorization problem for the class $H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$

Next, we state and prove

Theorem 3.1 Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$. If $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in N_0$, then

$$|(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \quad (|z| \leq r_1), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$r_1 = r_1(\lambda, l, j, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\eta - \sqrt{\eta^2 - 4|1 + \lambda(j+l)||2\lambda(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - [1 + \lambda(j+l)]e^{i\beta}|}}{2|2\lambda(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - [1 + \lambda(j+l)]e^{i\beta}|} \quad (3.2)$$

with $\eta = 2\lambda + |1 + \lambda(j + l)| + |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - [1 + \lambda(j + l)]e^{i\beta}|$ and $|1 + \lambda(j + l)| \geq |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - [1 + \lambda(j + l)]e^{i\beta}|$,

$$(j \in N_0; \lambda, l \geq 0; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

Proof Since $g \in H_{p,q,\lambda}^{m,j,l}(\alpha, \beta)$, we find from (1.9) that

$$e^{i\beta} \frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \cos \beta + i \sin \beta, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is defined as (2.4).

From (3.3), we get

$$\frac{z(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j+1)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{e^{i\beta} + [2(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - e^{i\beta}]\omega(z)}{e^{i\beta}[1 - \omega(z)]}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, using the identity (2.6) in (3.4) and making simple calculations, we obtain

$$\frac{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}}{(I_{p,\lambda}^{m,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{[1 + \lambda(j + l)]e^{i\beta} + [2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}]\omega(z)}{e^{i\beta}[1 - \omega(z)]}, \tag{3.5}$$

which, in view of (2.4), immediately yields the following inequality

$$|(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq \frac{1 + |z|}{|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - [1 + \lambda(j + l)]e^{i\beta}||z|} |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|. \tag{3.6}$$

Next, making use of (1.10) and (3.6) in (2.11), and just as the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\lambda|z|(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)}{(1 - |z|)[|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}||z|]} + |\varphi(z)| \right) \\ & \quad |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which upon setting $|z| = r$ and $|\varphi(z)| = \rho$ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$) leads us to the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \\ & \leq \frac{\Phi_1(\rho)}{(1 - r)[|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}|r]} |(I_{p,\lambda}^{m+1,l}g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where the function $\Phi_1(\rho)$ defined by

$$\Phi_1(\rho) = -\lambda r \rho^2 + (1 - r)[|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}|r] \rho + \lambda r \tag{3.9}$$

takes its maximum value at $\rho = 1$ with $r_1 = r_1(\lambda, l, j, \alpha, \beta)$ given by (3.2). Moreover, if $0 \leq \sigma \leq r_1(\lambda, l, j, \alpha, \beta)$, then the function

$$\Psi_1(\rho) = -\lambda \sigma \rho^2 + (1 - \sigma)[|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}|\sigma] \rho + \lambda \sigma \tag{3.10}$$

increases on the interval $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, so that $\Psi_1(\rho)$ does not exceed

$$\Psi_1(1) = (1 - \sigma)[|1 + \lambda(j + l)| - |2\lambda(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta - (1 + \lambda(j + l))e^{i\beta}|\sigma] \quad (0 \leq \sigma \leq r_1(\lambda, l, j, \alpha, \beta)).$$

Therefore, from this fact, (3.8) gives the inequality (3.1). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Taking $\lambda = 1$ and $l = 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1 *Let the function $f \in A_p$ and suppose that $g \in H_{p,q,1}^{m,j,0}(\alpha, \beta)$. If $(D^m f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by $(D^m g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}$ in Δ for $j \in N_0$, then*

$$|(D^{m+1} f^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \leq |(D^{m+1} g^{(q)}(z))^{(j)}| \quad (|z| \leq r_2), \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$r_2 = r_2(j, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\eta_1 - \sqrt{\eta_1^2 - 4|1+j||2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - (1+j)e^{i\beta}|}}{2|2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - (1+j)e^{i\beta}|} \quad (3.12)$$

with $\eta_1 = 2 + |1+j| + |2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - (1+j)e^{i\beta}|$ and $|1+j| \geq |2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - (1+j)e^{i\beta}|$,

$$(j \in N_0; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}).$$

Further, putting $m = q = j = 0$ and $p = 1$ in Corollary 3.1, we also obtain the result of Altintas et al. [17].

Corollary 3.2 *Let the function $f \in A$ and suppose that $g \in S^*((\alpha-1)e^{i\beta}) = S_\beta^*(\alpha)$, where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. If $f(z)$ is majorized by $g(z)$ in Δ , then*

$$|f'(z)| \leq |g'(z)| \quad (|z| \leq r_3),$$

where

$$r_3 = r_3(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\eta_2 - \sqrt{\eta_2^2 - 4|2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - e^{i\theta}|}}{2|2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - e^{i\beta}|}$$

$$(\eta_2 = 3 + |2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta - e^{i\beta}|; 0 \leq \alpha < 1; -\frac{\pi}{2} < \beta < \frac{\pi}{2}),$$

which contains the well-known result of MacGregor [1] for $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

References

- [1] T. H. MACGREGOR. *Majorization by univalent functions*. Duke Math. J., 1967, **34**: 95–102.
- [2] S. S. KUMAR, H. C. TANEJA, V. RAVICHANDRAN. *Classes multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformations*. Kyungpook Math. J., 2006, **46**: 97–109.
- [3] N. E. CHO, H. M. SRIVASTAVA. *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*. Math. Comput. Modelling., 2003, **37**(1-2): 39–49.
- [4] N. E. CHO, T. H. KIM. *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2003, **40**(3): 399–410.
- [5] F. M. AL-OBOUDI. *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*. Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2004, **27**: 1429–1436.
- [6] B. A. FRASIN. *Neighborhoods of certain multivalent functions with negative coefficients*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2007, **193**(1): 1–6.
- [7] M. KAMALI, H. ORHAN. *On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients*. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 2004, **41**(1): 53–71.
- [8] M. K. AOUF, A. O. MOSTAFA. *On a subclass of n - p -valent prestarlike functions*. Comput. Math. Appl., 2008, **55**: 851–861.
- [9] G. S. SALADEAN. *Subclasses of Univalent Functions*. Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [10] P. GOSWAMI, B. SHARMA, T. BULBOACA. *Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions using multiplier transformation*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2010, **23**(12): 633–637.
- [11] O. ALTINTAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA. *Some majorization problems associated with p -valently starlike and convex functions of complex order*. East Asian Math J., 2001, **17**(2): 207–218.

- [12] M. A. NASR, M. K. AOUF. *Starlike function of complex order*. J. Natur. Sci. Math., 1985, **25**(1): 1–12.
- [13] P. WIATROWSKI. *On the coefficients of some family of holomorphic functions*. Zeszyry Nauk. Univ. Lodz. Nauk. Mat.-Przyrod. Ser. II, 1970, **39**: 75–85.
- [14] R. J. LIBERA. *Univalent α -spiral functions*. Canadian. J. Math., 1967, **19**: 449–456.
- [15] M. I. S. ROBERSTON. *On the theory of univalent functions*. Ann. Math., 1936, **37**(2): 374–408.
- [16] L. SPACEK. *Contribution a la the orie des fonctions univalentes*. Casopis Pest Mathematics, 1932, **662**: 12–19.
- [17] O. ALTINTAS, O. OZKAN, H. M. SRIVASTAVA. *Majorization by starlike functions of complex order*. Complex Variables Theory Appl., 2001, **46**(3): 207–218.
- [18] S. P. GOYAL, P. GOSWAMI. *Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by fractional derivatives*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2009, **22**(12): 1855–1858.
- [19] P. GOSWAMI, M. K. AOUF. *Majorization properties for certain classes of analytic functions using the Salagean operator*. Appl. Math. Lett., 2010, **23**(11): 1351–1354.
- [20] A. A. ABOUBAKER, M. DARUS, D. BREAZ. *Majorization for a subclass of β -spiral functions of order α involving a generalized linear operator*. Adv. Decis. Sci. 2011, 1–9.
- [21] Z. NEHARI. *Conformal mapping*. MacGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto, London, 1955.
- [22] A. W. GOODMAN. *Univalent Functions*. Mariner Publishing Company, Tampa, Florida, 1983.