

## 2-Local Superderivations on Basic Classical Lie Superalgebras

Ying WANG\*, Haixian CHEN, Jizhu NAN

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China*

**Abstract** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and  $L$  be a basic classical Lie superalgebra except  $A(n, n)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . In this paper, we prove that every 2-local superderivation on  $L$  is a superderivation. Furthermore, we give an example to show that a subalgebra of  $\text{spl}(2, 2)$  admits a 2-local superderivation which is not a superderivation.

**Keywords** basic classical Lie superalgebras; 2-local superderivation; superderivation

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 16W25; 17B20; 17B40

### 1. Introduction

The definition of 2-local derivation on the algebra was introduced by Šemerl [1]. In the reference [1], the author showed that each 2-local derivation on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is a derivation, where  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is the algebra of all linear bounded operators on  $H$ . Similarly, some authors started to describe 2-local derivations on the different associative algebras such as semi-finite von Neumann algebras, matrix algebras over commutative regular algebras [2-6]. In 2015, the authors of the reference [7] investigated the 2-local derivations on a finite-dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra  $L$  over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. They proved that every 2-local derivation on  $L$  is a derivation and that a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra  $L$  with  $\dim L > 1$  admits a 2-local derivation which is not a derivation. The reference [8] gave the definition of a 2-local superderivation on the associative superalgebra and proved that every 2-local superderivation on the associative superalgebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a superderivation. In this paper, we introduce the notion of 2-local superderivation on Lie superalgebra and prove that all 2-local superderivations on the basic classical Lie superalgebras are superderivation. Furthermore, we give an example to show that a subalgebra of  $\text{spl}(2, 2)$  admits a 2-local superderivation which is not a superderivation.

In this paper, the algebras and vector spaces are finite-dimensional over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  of characteristic zero. Let  $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$  be a Lie superalgebra. If  $H$  is a Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $L_{\bar{0}}$ , then we have the root space decomposition of  $L$  with respect to  $H$ . Let  $\lambda$  be any linear form on  $H$  and  $L^\lambda = \{x \in L | \text{ad}_L h(x) = \lambda(h)x, \forall h \in H\}$ . Then  $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H^*} L^\lambda$ . Let  $\Delta_{\bar{0}} = \{\lambda \in H^* | \lambda \neq 0, L_{\bar{0}}^\lambda \neq \{0\}\}$ ,  $\Delta_{\bar{1}} = \{\lambda \in H^* | L_{\bar{1}}^\lambda \neq \{0\}\}$ . Then  $\Delta = \Delta_{\bar{0}} \cup \Delta_{\bar{1}}$  is the set of roots of  $L$  with respect to  $H$ .

Received October 26, 2016; Accepted May 19, 2017

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11471090).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: wangying@dlut.edu.cn (Ying WANG); chenhx2012@mail.dlut.edu.cn (Haixian CHEN); jznan@163.com (Jizhu NAN)

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the integers set and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  the residue class modulo 2. The two elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  will be denoted by  $\bar{0}$  and  $\bar{1}$ . Let  $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$  be a Lie superalgebra. A map  $T : L \rightarrow L$  is called homogeneous of degree  $\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  if  $T(L_{\beta}) \subseteq L_{\alpha+\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Let  $D_{\alpha}(L), \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , be the subspace of all the homogeneous linear mapping  $\delta$  of degree  $\alpha$  of  $L$  such that

$$\delta([x, y]) = [\delta(x), y] + (-1)^{\alpha\beta}[x, \delta(y)], \quad \text{for all } x \in L_{\beta}, y \in L, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Define  $D(L) = D_{\bar{0}}(L) \oplus D_{\bar{1}}(L)$ . The elements of  $D(L)$  are called superderivations of  $L$ .  $D(L)$  is called the Lie superalgebra of superderivations of  $L$ . For  $a \in L$ , the linear mapping  $\text{ad}_a : L \rightarrow L$  such that  $\text{ad}_a(b) = [a, b]$  for all  $b \in L$  is a superderivation which is called inner.

**Definition 1.1** A homogeneous map  $T : L \rightarrow L$  of degree  $\alpha$  is called a 2-local homogeneous superderivation of degree  $\alpha$  if for any two elements  $x, y \in L$  there exists a superderivation  $\delta_{x,y} : L \rightarrow L$  (depending on  $x, y$ ) such that  $T(x) = \delta_{x,y}(x)$  and  $T(y) = \delta_{x,y}(y)$ .

Let  $\text{TD}_{\alpha}$  be the set of all 2-local homogeneous superderivations of degree  $\alpha$ . The elements of  $\text{TD} = \text{TD}_{\bar{0}} \oplus \text{TD}_{\bar{1}}$  are called 2-local superderivations on  $L$ .

Obviously,  $\text{ad}_a$  for all  $a \in L$  is a 2-local superderivations on  $L$  and the sum of two 2-local superderivations is also a 2-local superderivations on  $L$ .

In this paper, we will prove that 2-local superderivations on basic classical Lie superalgebras are superderivation.

## 2. Some results on basic classical Lie superalgebras

In 1977, Kac gave the classification of simple Lie superalgebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

**Definition 2.1** ([9]) The simple Lie superalgebra  $L = L_{\bar{0}} \oplus L_{\bar{1}}$  is called classical if the representation of  $L_{\bar{0}}$  on  $L_{\bar{1}}$  is completely reducible; otherwise, Cartan type.

**Theorem 2.2** ([9]) The classical Lie superalgebras consist of basic classical Lie superalgebras and two series  $P(n)$  and  $Q(n)$ .

The basic classical Lie superalgebras include:

- (a) simple Lie algebras;
- (b) simple Lie superalgebras of type

$A(m, n)$	$n, m \geq 0; m + n \geq 1$
$B(m, n)$	$m \geq 0, n \geq 1$
$C(n)$	$n \geq 3$
$D(m, , n)$	$m \geq 2, n \geq 1$
$D(2, 1, a)$	$a \neq 0, -1$
$G(3)$	
$F(4)$	

Table 1 The basic classical simple Lie superalgebras

**Definition 2.3** ([10]) For a bilinear form  $f : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  we say that

- (1)  $f$  is even if  $(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,
- (2)  $f$  is supersymmetric if  $(x, y) = (-1)^{\alpha\beta}(y, x)$ ,
- (3)  $f$  is invariant if  $([x, y], z) = (x, [y, z])$ .

If  $L$  is a basic classical Lie superalgebra, then there exists a non-degenerate even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on  $L$ .

**Proposition 2.4** ([11]) Let  $L$  be one of the basic classical Lie superalgebras listed above. Suppose that  $L$  is not equal to the algebras  $\mathfrak{spl}(2, 2)/\mathbb{F} \cdot I_4$ . We consider the roots and the root space decomposition of  $L$  with respect to some Cartan subalgebra  $H$  of  $L_{\bar{0}}$ .

- (1)  $\dim L^\lambda = 1$  for every  $\lambda \in \Delta$ .
- (2)  $0 \notin \Delta_{\bar{1}}$  and  $\Delta_{\bar{0}} \cap \Delta_{\bar{1}} = \emptyset$ .
- (3) Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . If  $\lambda \in \Delta_\alpha, \mu \in \Delta_\beta$ , and  $\lambda + \mu \in \Delta_{\alpha+\beta}$ , then

$$[L_\alpha^\lambda, L_\beta^\mu] = L_{\alpha+\beta}^{\lambda+\mu}.$$

- (4)  $-\Delta_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .
- (5) We consider two roots  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  of  $L$  which are proportional:

$$\mu = r\lambda \text{ with some } r \in \mathbb{F}.$$

If  $\lambda, \mu$  are both even or both odd, then  $r = \pm 1$ ; if  $\lambda$  is odd and  $\mu$  is even, then  $r = \pm 2$ .

- (6) There exists a simple root system  $\mathbf{B}$  such that any root is a linear combination of simple roots with integer coefficients.

**Proposition 2.5** ([10]) The basic classical Lie superalgebras except for  $A(n, n)$  do not have any outer superderivations.

In next section, we use the notation  $\mathfrak{R}$  to represent the Lie superalgebras in Proposition 2.5.

### 3. 2-Local superderivations on Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{R}$

The main result of this section is given as follows.

**Theorem 3.1** All 2-local superderivations on  $\mathfrak{R}$  are superderivations.

Since any superderivation of  $\mathfrak{R}$  is inner, it follows that for such algebras the definition of 2-local homogeneous superderivation is reformulated as follows. A homogeneous map  $T : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$  of degree  $\alpha$  is called a 2-local homogeneous superderivation of degree  $\alpha$  if for any two elements  $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$  there exists an element  $a_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{R}$  (depending on  $x, y$ ) such that  $T(x) = [a_{x,y}, x]$  and  $T(y) = [a_{x,y}, y]$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are all homogeneous elements of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , then we can choose a homogeneous element  $a_{x,y}$  of degree  $\alpha$ . In the case,  $a_{x,y}$  will be denoted by  $t_{x,y}$ .

Let  $H$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then the root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with respect to  $H$  is  $\mathfrak{R} = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta} \mathfrak{R}^\lambda$ . By Proposition 2.4 for each  $\lambda \in \Delta, \dim \mathfrak{R}^\lambda = 1$ . Thus we can take a non zero element  $E^\lambda \in \mathfrak{R}^\lambda$ . Note that every element  $x \in \mathfrak{R}$  has a unique decomposition of the

form:

$$x = h + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $h \in H, k^\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ .

By the definition of the root subspaces it follows that

$$[h, E^\lambda] = \lambda(h)E^\lambda \text{ for all } h \in H, \lambda \in \Delta,$$

and  $\Delta_{\bar{0}} \cap \Delta_{\bar{1}} = \emptyset$  implies that  $E^\lambda \in L_\alpha^\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Proposition 3.2** *If  $T$  is a 2-local superderivation on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , then  $T$  is linear.*

**Proof** We proceed in steps. Let  $T \in \text{TD}_\alpha, x \in \mathfrak{R}_\beta, y \in \mathfrak{R}_\gamma, z \in \mathfrak{R}_\mu, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Suppose that  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a non-degenerate even supersymmetric invariant bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

(i)  $b(T(x), y) = -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}b(x, T(y))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} b(T(x), y) &= b([t_{x,y}, x], y) = -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}b([x, t_{x,y}], y) \\ &= -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}b(x, [t_{x,y}, y]) = -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}b(x, T(y)) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $\beta = \gamma$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b(T(x+y), z) &= -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}b(x+y, T(z)) = -(-1)^{\alpha\beta}[b(x, T(z)) + b(y, T(z))] \\ &= b(T(x), z) + b(T(y), z) = b(T(x) + T(y), z) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If  $\beta = \mu = \bar{0}, \gamma = \bar{1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b(T(x+y), z) &= b([a_0 + a_1, x+y], z) = b([a_0, x], z) + b([a_1, y], z) \\ &= -b([x, a_0], z) + b([y, a_1], z) = -b(x, [a_0, z]) + b(y, [a_1, z]) \\ &= -b(x, [a_0 + a_1, z]) + b(y, [a_1 + a_0, z]) = -b(x, T(z)) + b(y, T(z)) \\ &= b(T(x), z) - (-1)^\alpha b(T(y), z) = b(T(x) + T(y), z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{x+y,z} = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in R_{\bar{0}}, a_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_{\bar{1}}$ .

(iv) If  $\beta = \bar{0}, \gamma = \mu = \bar{1}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} b(T(x+y), z) &= b([a_0 + a_1, x+y], z) = b([a_1, x], z) + b([a_0, y], z) \\ &= -b([x, a_1], z) - b([y, a_0], z) = -b(x, [a_1, z]) - b(y, [a_0, z]) \\ &= -b(x, [a_0 + a_1, z]) - b(y, [a_0 + a_1, z]) = -b(x, T(z)) - b(y, T(z)) \\ &= b(T(x), z) + (-1)^\alpha b(T(y), z) = b(T(x) + T(y), z) \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{x+y,z} = a_0 + a_1, a_0 \in \mathfrak{R}_{\bar{0}}, a_1 \in \mathfrak{R}_{\bar{1}}$ .

(v) By (ii), (iii) and (iv), we get  $b(T(x+y), z) = b(T(x) + T(y), z)$  for all  $z \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Because the form  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  is non-degenerate, we have

$$T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

(vi) Finally,

$$T(\lambda x) = [a_{\lambda x, x}, \lambda x] = \lambda[a_{\lambda x, x}, x] = \lambda T(x).$$

Hence  $T$  is linear.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3** *There exists an element  $h_0 \in H$  such that  $\lambda(h_0) \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ .*

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{B} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l\}$  be the simple roots system of  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_l\}$  a basis in  $H$  which is dual to  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l\}$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ . Set  $h_0 = \sum_{k=1}^l t_0^k h_k$ , where  $t_0$  is a fixed algebraic number from  $\mathbb{F}$  of degree bigger than  $l = \dim H$ . Let us take an arbitrary  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . There exist integers  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l$  such that  $\lambda = \sum_{k=1}^l r_k \lambda_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(h_0) &= \sum_{k=1}^l r_k \lambda_k(h_0) = \sum_{k=1}^l r_k \lambda_k\left(\sum_{s=1}^l t_0^s h_s\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^l \sum_{s=1}^l r_k t_0^s \lambda_k(h_s) = \sum_{k=1}^l r_k t_0^k \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conclusion is right.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $a \in \mathfrak{R}$  be an element such that  $[h_0, a] = 0$ . Then  $a \in H$ .*

**Proof** We represent the element  $a$  in the form of (3.1):

$$a = h + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [h_0, a] = [h_0, h + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda] \\ &= [h_0, h] + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda [h_0, E^\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda \lambda(h_0) E^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $k^\lambda \lambda(h_0) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Since  $\lambda(h_0) \neq 0$ , we get  $k^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ . Therefore  $a = h \in H$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5** *Since  $h_0$  is a homogeneous element of degree  $\bar{0}$ ,  $[h_0, a] = 0$  implies that  $[a, h_0] = 0$ .*

**Lemma 3.6** *Let  $T$  be a 2-local superderivation on  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $T(h_0) = 0$ . Then  $T$  annihilates the Cartan subalgebra  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_{\bar{0}}$ , i.e.,  $T|_H \equiv 0$ .*

**Proof** Let  $h$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . Since  $\mathfrak{R}$  has no any outer superderivations, by definition of  $T$  there exists an element  $a_{h, h_0} \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that

$$T(h) = [a_{h, h_0}, h], T(h_0) = [a_{h, h_0}, h_0].$$

Since  $0 = T(h_0) = [a_{h, h_0}, h_0]$ , Lemma 3.4 implies that  $a_{h, h_0} \in H$ . Therefore,  $T(h) = [a_{h, h_0}, h] = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7** *Let  $T$  be a 2-local superderivation on  $\mathfrak{R}$  such that  $T(h_0) = 0$ . Then*

- (1) *There exists  $k^\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $T(E^\lambda) = k^\lambda E^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ ;*
- (2) *There exists  $\mathfrak{h} \in H$  such that  $T(E^\lambda) = \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ ;*
- (3)  *$T = \text{adh}$ .*

**Proof** (1) Let  $h$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . Take an element  $a_{h,E^\lambda} \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that

$$T(h) = [a_{h,E^\lambda}, h], \quad T(E^\lambda) = [a_{h,E^\lambda}, E^\lambda].$$

According to Lemma 3.6 we get  $T(h) = 0$ , i.e.,  $[a_{h,E^\lambda}, h] = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [h, T(E^\lambda)] &= [h, [a_{h,E^\lambda}, E^\lambda]] = [[h, a_{h,E^\lambda}], E^\lambda] + [a_{h,E^\lambda}, [h, E^\lambda]] \\ &= \lambda(h)[a_{h,E^\lambda}, E^\lambda] = \lambda(h)T(E^\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$[h, T(E^\lambda)] = \lambda(h)T(E^\lambda) \text{ for all } h \in H, \lambda \in \Delta.$$

This means that  $T(E^\lambda) \in \mathfrak{R}^\lambda$ . Since  $\dim \mathfrak{R}^\lambda = 1$ , there exists  $k^\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ , such that  $T(E^\lambda) = k^\lambda E^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ .

(2) Now put  $x = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} E^\lambda$ . Take an element  $a_{h_0,x} \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that

$$T(h_0) = [a_{h_0,x}, h_0], T(x) = [a_{h_0,x}, x].$$

Since  $0 = T(h_0) = [a_{h_0,x}, h_0]$ , Lemma 3.4 implies that  $a_{h_0,x} \in H$ . Write  $a_{h_0,x} = \mathfrak{h}$ . Then

$$T(x) = [a_{h_0,x}, x] = [\mathfrak{h}, x] = [\mathfrak{h}, \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} E^\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} [\mathfrak{h}, E^\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda,$$

i.e.,

$$T(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda. \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, taking into account the linearity of  $T$  we obtain

$$T(x) = T\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} E^\lambda\right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} T(E^\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda,$$

i.e.,

$$T(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda. \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda.$$

Thus  $k^\lambda = \lambda(\mathfrak{h})$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ , i.e.,  $T(E^\lambda) = \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Delta$ .

(3) Finally, let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We represent  $x$  in the form of (3.1):

$$x = h + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda E^\lambda.$$

Then  $T(x) = T(h) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda$ . Due to Lemma 3.6 we get  $T(h) = 0$ , i.e.,  $T(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda$ . On the other hand,

$$\text{adh}(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda [\mathfrak{h}, E^\lambda] = \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta} k^\lambda \lambda(\mathfrak{h})E^\lambda.$$

Thus  $T = \text{adh}$ .  $\square$

**The Proof of Theorem 3.1** Let  $T$  be a 2-local superderivation. Take an element  $a \in \mathfrak{R}$  such

that  $T(h_0) = [a, h_0]$ . Set  $T_0 = T - \text{ada}$ . Then  $T_0(h_0) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.7 there exists  $\mathfrak{h} \in H$  such that  $T_0 = \text{adh}$ . Therefore,  $T = \text{adh} + \text{ada}$  is a superderivation.  $\square$

#### 4. 2-Local superderivation on a subalgebra of Lie superalgebra $\text{spl}(2, 2)$

In this section, we give an example of 2-local superderivation on a Lie superalgebra which is not superderivation.

Suppose that  $L$  is a Lie superalgebra over  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $Z(L)$  and  $[L, L]$  denote the center and derived algebra of  $L$ , respectively. Let  $\delta : L \rightarrow L$  be a linear map which is homogeneous of degree  $\alpha$  such that  $\delta|_{[L, L]} \equiv 0$  and  $\delta(L) \subseteq Z(L)$ . Then  $\delta$  is a superderivation. Indeed, for every  $x \in L_\beta, y \in L, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  we have

$$\delta([x, y]) = 0 = [\delta(x), y] + (-1)^{\alpha\beta}[x, \delta(y)].$$

Let  $S$  be a subalgebra of Lie superalgebra  $\text{spl}(2, 2)$ .  $S$  consists of the elements as follows:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & 0 & d_1 & d_2 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 & b_2 \\ c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $b_i, c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}, i = 1, 2$ . If

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b_1 & 0 & d_1 & d_2 \\ c_1 & 0 & 0 & b_2 \\ c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b'_1 & 0 & d'_1 & d'_2 \\ c'_1 & 0 & 0 & b'_2 \\ c'_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$[X, X'] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ d_1c'_1 + d_2c'_2 + d'_1c_1 + d'_2c_2 & 0 & 0 & d_1b'_2 - d'_1b_2 \\ b_2c'_2 - b'_2c_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to prove that  $Z(S) = \mathbb{F}E_{21}, [S, S] = \mathbb{F}E_{21} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{31} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{24}$ , where  $E_{ij}$  is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix with 1 in the  $(i, j)$  position and 0 elsewhere. We can give the decomposition of  $S$  in the following form

$$S = [S, S] \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{41} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{23} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{34}.$$

Let us define a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{F}^2$  as follows

$$f(k_1, k_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{k_1^2}{k_2}, & \text{if } k_2 \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } k_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Define a map  $T$  on  $S$  by

$$T(x) = f(k_1, k_2)E_{21}, \text{ for } x = x_1 + k_1E_{41} + k_2E_{23} + k_3E_{34} \in S,$$

where  $x_1 \in [S, S], k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ . The map is not a superderivation since it is not linear.

In the following, we will show that  $T$  is a 2-local superderivation of degree  $\bar{1}$  on  $S$ . Obviously,

$$S_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{F}E_{21} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{34}, \quad S_{\bar{1}} = \mathbb{F}E_{31} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{24} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{41} \oplus \mathbb{F}E_{23}.$$

Thus  $T(S_{\bar{0}}) = 0 \in S_{\bar{1}}$ ,  $T(S_{\bar{1}}) \subseteq S_{\bar{0}}$ , i.e.,  $T$  is homogeneous map of degree  $\bar{1}$ .

Define a linear map  $\delta$  on  $S$  by

$$\delta(x) = (ak_1 + bk_2)E_{21}, \quad \text{for } x = x_1 + k_1E_{41} + k_2E_{23} + k_3E_{34} \in S,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since  $\delta|_{[L,L]} \equiv 0$  and  $\delta(L) \subseteq Z(L)$ ,  $\delta$  is a superderivation.  $\delta(S_{\bar{0}}) = 0 \in S_{\bar{1}}$  and  $\delta(S_{\bar{1}}) \subseteq S_{\bar{0}}$  imply that  $\delta$  is a superderivation of degree  $\bar{1}$ .

Let  $x = x_1 + k_1E_{41} + k_2E_{23} + k_3E_{34}$  and  $y = y_1 + l_1E_{41} + l_2E_{23} + l_3E_{34}$  be elements of  $S$ . We are going to choose the elements  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$T(x) = \delta(x), \quad T(y) = \delta(y).$$

Let us rewrite the above equalities as a system equations with respect to unknowns  $a, b$  as follows

$$\begin{cases} k_1a + k_2b = f(k_1, k_2), \\ l_1a + l_2b = f(l_1, l_2). \end{cases}$$

According to the definition of  $f$ , we know that the rank of matrix of coefficients equals to the rank of augmented matrix. Therefore the system of equations has a solution. As a result,  $T$  is a 2-local superderivation of degree  $\bar{1}$ . Thus we have the following conclusion:

**Proposition 4.1** *There exists a 2-local superderivation on  $S$  which is not a superderivation.*

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments, suggestions and corrections.

## References

- [1] P. ŠEMERL. *Local automorphisms and derivations on  $B(H)$* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1997, **125**(9): 2677–2680.
- [2] SH. A. AYUPOV, K. K. KUDAYBERGENOV, I. RAKHIMOV. *2-Local derivations on finite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2015, **474**: 1–11.
- [3] SH. A. AYUPOV, K. K. KUDAYBERGENOV. *2-Local derivations on von Neumann algebras*. Positivity, 2015, **19**(3): 445–455.
- [4] SH. A. AYUPOV, K. K. KUDAYBERGENOV, B. O. NURJANOV, et al. *Local and 2-local derivations on noncommutative Arens algebras*. Math. Slovaca, 2014, **64**(2): 423–432.
- [5] SH. A. AYUPOV, F. N. ARZIKULOV. *2-Local derivations on semi-finite von Neumann algebras*. Glasg. Math. J., 2014, **56**(1): 9–12.
- [6] SH. A. AYUPOV, K. K. KUDAYBERGENOV, A. ALAUADINOV. *2-Local derivations on matrix algebras over commutative regular algebras*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2013, **439**(5): 1294–1311.
- [7] SH. A. AYUPOV, K. K. KUDAYBERGENOV, A. ALAUADINOV. *2-Local derivations on algebras of locally measurable operators*. Ann. Funct. Anal., 2013, **4**(2): 110–117.
- [8] A. FOŠNER, M. FOŠNER. *2-Local superderivations on a superalgebra  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$* . Monatsh. Math., 2009, **156**(4): 307–311.
- [9] V. G. KAC. *Lie superalgebras*. Adv. Math., 1997, **26**: 8–96.
- [10] M. SCHEUNERT. *The Theory of Lie Superalgebras*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979.
- [11] Hongzhou SUN, Qizhi HAN. *Lie Algebras and Lie Superalgebras and Their Applications in Physics*. Peking University Press, Beijing, 1999. (in Chinese)