Hypergraphs with Spectral Radius at Most $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$
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Abstract
In this paper, we consider the $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H$ with spectral radius at most $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. We show that $H$ must have a quipus-structure, which is similar to the graphs with spectral radius at most $\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}$ [Woo-Neumaier, Graphs Combin. 2007].
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1. Introduction

The spectral radius $\rho(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. The (simple, undirected and connected) graphs with small spectral radius have been well-studied in the literature. In 1970 Smith classified all connected graphs with spectral radius at most 2. The graphs $G$ with $\rho(G) < 2$ are simple Dynkin Diagrams $A_n$, $D_n$, $E_6$, $E_7$, and $E_8$, while the graphs $G$ with $\rho(G) = 2$ simply extend Dynkin Diagrams $\tilde{A}_n$, $\tilde{D}_n$, $\tilde{E}_6$, $\tilde{E}_7$, and $\tilde{E}_8$. Cvetković et al. [1] gave a nearly complete description of all graphs $G$ with $2 < \rho(G) < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. Their description was completed by Brouwer and Neumaier [2]. Namely, $E(1, b, c)$ for $b = 2$, $c \geq 6$ or $b \geq 3$, $c \geq 4$, $E(2, 2, c)$ for $c \geq 3$, and $G_{1,a:b:1,c}$ for $a \geq 3$, $c \geq 2$, $b > a + c$.

![Graphs with spectral radius between 2 and $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$](image)

Figure 1 The graphs with spectral radius between 2 and $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$

Wang et al. [3] studied some graphs with spectral radius close to $\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}$. Woo and Neumaier [4] proved that any connected graph $G$ with $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} < \rho(G) < \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{2}$ is one of the following graphs.

(1) If $G$ has maximum degree at least 4, then $G$ is a dagger (i.e., a tree obtained by attaching a path to a leaf vertex of the star $S_5$).
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(2) If $G$ is a tree with maximum degree at most 3, then $G$ is an open quipu (i.e., all vertices of degree 3 lie on a path).

(3) If $G$ contains a cycle, then $G$ is a closed quipu (i.e., a unicyclic graph with maximum degree at most 3 such that all vertices of degree 3 lie on a cycle).

In this paper, we would like to study the $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H$ with small spectral radius. In our previous paper [5], we generalized Smith’s theorem to hypergraphs and classified all connected $r$-uniform hypergraphs with the spectral radius at most $\rho_r = \sqrt{\lambda}$. The main method is using $\alpha$-normal labeling. Roughly speaking, we can label all “corners of edges” by some numbers in $(0,1)$ such that for each vertex $v$ the product of these numbers at $v$ is always equal to 1 while for each edge $f$ the product of these numbers at $f$ is always equal to $\alpha$. The definition of $\alpha$-normal labeling can be found in Section 2. If $H$ has a “consistent” $\alpha$-normal labeling, then $\rho(H) = \alpha^{-1/r}$. As an important corollary, any $(r-1)$-uniform hypergraph $H'$ with $\rho(H') = \alpha^{-1/(r-1)}$ can be extended to an $r$-uniform hypergraph $H$ with spectral radius $\rho(H) = \alpha^{-1/r}$ by simply extending each edge by adding one new vertex. If $H$ is not extended from some $H'$, then $H$ is called irreducible. We use the following convention: if the notation $H(r')$ is an $r'$-uniform hypergraph, then for each $r > r'$, $H(r')$ means the unique $r$-uniform hypergraph extended from $H(r')$ by a sequence of extension described above.

From [5], we show all $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H$ with $\rho(H) = (r-1)!\sqrt{\lambda}$ listed as follows:

- Extended from 2-graphs: $C_n(r), B_n(r), E_n(r), E_6(r)$, and $E_8(r)$.
- Extended from 3-graphs: $B_n(r), BD_n(r), C_2(r), S_4(r), F_2, 2, 3, 4, F_2, 2, 7, F_2, 2, 6, 5, 6, F_1, 1, 4, 8, F_1, 1, 3, 14, G_1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 4, G_1, 1, 1, 6, 1, 3$.
- Similarly here are all $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H$ with $\rho(H) < (r-1)!\sqrt{\lambda}$:
  - Extended from 2-graphs: $A_n(r), B_n(r), E_n(r), E_6(r)$, and $E_8(r)$.
  - Extended from 3-graphs: $D_n(r), B_n(r), B_n(r), BD_n(r), F_3, 2, 3, 3, F_2, 2, 2, j$ (for $2 \leq j \leq 6$), $F_1, 3, j$ (for $3 \leq j \leq 13$), $F_1, 3, j$ (for $4 \leq j \leq 7$), $F_1, 3, 5, 7$ and $G_1, 1, j, 1, 3$ (for $0 \leq j \leq 5$).
  - Extended from 4-graphs: $H_1, 1, 1, 1, 1, H_1, 1, 1, 1, 2, H_1, 1, 1, 1, 3, H_1, 1, 1, 4$.

The details of these hypergraphs can be found in the paper [5].

It is natural to ask what structure the hypergraph with spectral radius slightly greater than $\rho_r$ can have. Since $(2, \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}})$ is the next interesting interval for the spectral radius of graphs, naturally we consider all connected $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H$ with $\rho(H) \in (\sqrt{\lambda}, \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}})$. When $r = 2$, these graphs are $E_{1,b,c}, E_{2,2,1}, E_{2,2,2}, E_{2,2,3}$, and $G_{1,a,b,1,c}$ with $b > a + c$ as shown by Cvetković et al. [1] and Brouwer-Neumaier [2]. The structures of these hypergraphs are slightly more complicated for $r \geq 3$. For $k \geq 3$, a vertex is called a degree-$k$ vertex if it is incident to exactly $k$ edges while an edge is called a $k$-branching edge if it contains no degree-$k$ vertex but it is incident to exactly $k$ edges (When $k = 3$, we simply say branching edge instead of $3$-branching edge). We have the following results.

**Theorem 1.1** Consider an irreducible connected 3-uniform hypergraph $H$. If the spectral radius of $H$ satisfies $\rho(H) \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$, then no vertex (of $H$) can have degree more than three, no edge
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can be incident to more than 3 other edges, and each degree-3 vertex is not incident to any
branching edges. Moreover, $H$ belongs to one of the following two categories:

1. Open 3-quipu (see Figure 2): $H$ is a hypertree with all branching vertices and all branching
edges lying on a path. Moreover, there are at most 2 branching vertices. A branching vertex
cannot lie between two branching edges, or between a branching edge and another branching
vertex.

2. Closed 3-quipu (see Figure 2): $H$ contains a cycle $C$ and no degree-3 vertex. All branching
edges lie on $C$, and the vertices of the branching edges not on the cycle can be only incident to
a path.

![Figure 2](image-url) (Examples) Left: an open 3-quipu where the branching vertex/edges are filled in black.
Right: a closed quipu where the branching edges are filled in black.

![Figure 3](image-url) (Examples) Left: an open 4-quipu. Right: a 4-dagger $H_{i,j,k,l}^{(4)}$.

**Theorem 1.2** Suppose that $H$ is an irreducible 4-uniform hypergraphs with $\rho(H) \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. Then $H$ is a hypertree with no vertex (of $H$) having degree more than three and no edge incident to more than 4 other edges. The hypergraph $H$ belongs to one of the following two categories:

1. Open 4-quipu (see Figure 3): $H$ is a hypertree with all degree-3 vertices and all branching
edges lying on a path. Moreover, there are at most two degree-3 vertices (or two 4-branching
edges). A 4-branching edge (or a degree-3 vertex) cannot lie between two 3-branching edges, or
between a 3-branching edge and another 4-branching edge (or a degree-3 vertex). In addition, each
4-branching edge is attached by three paths of length 1, 1, and $k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$), respectively.
(2) 4-dagger (see Figure 3): \( H \) is obtained by attaching 4-paths of length \( i, j, k, l \) to a 4-branching edge. Denote this hypergraph by \( H_{i,j,k,l}^{(4)} \) with \( i \leq j \leq k \leq l \). Then \( H \) must be one of the following hypergraphs \( H_{1,2,2,2}^{(4)}, H_{1,2,2,3}^{(4)}, H_{1,1,4,4}^{(4)}, H_{1,1,4,5}^{(4)}, \) and \( H_{1,1,1,k,l}^{(4)} \) (1 \( \leq k \leq 3 \), and \( k \leq l \)).

**Theorem 1.3** For \( r = 5 \), there is only one irreducible 5-uniform hypergraph \( H \) with \( \rho(H) \leq \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{5}} \); namely the five edge-star as shown in Figure 4.

For \( r \geq 6 \), all \( r \)-uniform hypergraphs \( H \) with \( \rho(H) \leq \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{5}} \) are reducible.

![Figure 4 The five edge-star.](image)

2. Notation and lemmas

Let us review some basic notation about hypergraphs. An \( r \)-uniform hypergraph \( H \) is a pair \((V, E)\) where \( V \) is the set of vertices and \( E \subset \binom{V}{r} \) is the set of edges. The degree of vertex \( v \), denoted by \( d_v \), is the number of edges incident to \( v \). If \( d_v = 1 \), we say \( v \) is a leaf vertex. A walk on a hypergraph \( H \) is a sequence of vertices and edges: \( v_0e_1v_1e_2\ldots v_{l-1}e_lv_l \) satisfying that both \( v_{i-1} \) and \( v_i \) are incident to \( e_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq l \). The vertices \( v_0 \) and \( v_l \) are called the ends of the walk. The length of a walk is the number of edges on the walk. A walk is called a path if all vertices and edges on the walk are distinct. The walk is closed if \( v_l = v_0 \). A closed walk is called a cycle if all vertices and edges in the walk are distinct except for the first and last vertices. A hypergraph \( H \) is called connected if for any pair of vertices \((u, v)\), there is a path connecting \( u \) and \( v \). A hypergraph \( H \) is called a hypertree if it is connected and acyclic. A hypergraph \( H \) is called simple if every pair of edges intersects at most one vertex. In fact, any non-simple hypergraph contains at least a 2-cycle: \( v_1F_1v_2F_2v_1 \), i.e., \( v_1, v_2 \in F_1 \cap F_2 \). A hypertree is always simple.

The spectral radius \( \rho(H) \) of an \( r \)-uniform hypergraph \( H \) is defined as

\[
\rho(H) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}} \frac{\sum_{\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\} \in E(H)} x_{i_1}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_r}}{\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{r}} x_i^r}.
\]

(2.1)

Here \( \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \) denotes the set of points with nonnegative coordinates in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). This is a special case of \( p \)-spectral norm for \( p = r \). The general \( p \)-spectral norm has been considered by various authors [6–8]. The following lemma has been proved in several papers.

**Lemma 2.1** ([6–8]) If \( G \) is a connected \( r \)-uniform hypergraph, and \( H \) is a proper subgraph of \( G \), then \( \rho(H) < \rho(G) \).
In our previous paper [5], we discovered an efficient way to compute the spectral radius \( \rho(H) \), in particular when \( H \) is a hypertree. The idea is using the method of \( \alpha \)-normal labelling (or weighted matrix).

**Definition 2.2** ([5]) A weighted incidence matrix \( B \) of a hypergraph \( H \) is a \( |V| \times |E| \) matrix such that for any vertex \( v \) and any edge \( e \), the entry \( B(v, e) > 0 \) if \( v \in e \) and \( B(v, e) = 0 \) if \( v \notin e \).

**Definition 2.3** ([5]) A hypergraph \( H \) is called \( \alpha \)-normal if there exists a weighted incidence matrix \( B \) satisfying

1. \( \sum_{e \in E \colon v \in e} B(v, e) = 1 \), for any \( v \in V(H) \);
2. \( \prod_{v \in e} B(v, e) = \alpha \), for any \( e \in E(H) \).

Moreover, the incidence matrix \( B \) is called consistent if for any cycle \( v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 \cdots v_l \) (\( v_l = v_0 \))

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{B(v_i, e_i)}{B(v_{i-1}, e_i)} = 1.
\]

In this case, we call \( H \) consistently \( \alpha \)-normal.

The following important lemma was proved in [5].

**Lemma 2.4** ([5, Lemma 3]) Let \( H \) be a connected \( r \)-uniform hypergraph. Then the spectral radius of \( H \) is \( \rho(H) \) if and only if \( H \) is consistently \( \alpha \)-normal with \( \alpha = (\rho(H))^{-r} \).

Often we need to compare the spectral radius with a particular value.

**Definition 2.5** ([5]) A hypergraph \( H \) is called \( \alpha \)-subnormal if there exists a weighted incidence matrix \( B \) satisfying

1. \( \sum_{e \in E \colon v \in e} B(v, e) \leq 1 \), for any \( v \in V(H) \);
2. \( \prod_{v \in e} B(v, e) \geq \alpha \), for any \( e \in E(H) \).

Moreover, \( H \) is called strictly \( \alpha \)-subnormal if it is \( \alpha \)-subnormal but not \( \alpha \)-normal.

We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6** ([5, Lemma 4]) Let \( H \) be an \( r \)-uniform hypergraph. If \( H \) is \( \alpha \)-subnormal, then the spectral radius of \( H \) satisfies \( \rho(H) \leq \alpha^{-\frac{1}{r}} \). Moreover, if \( H \) is strictly \( \alpha \)-subnormal then \( \rho(H) < \alpha^{-\frac{1}{r}} \).

**Definition 2.7** ([5]) A hypergraph \( H \) is called \( \alpha \)-supernormal if there exists a weighted incidence matrix \( B \) satisfying

1. \( \sum_{e \in E \colon v \in e} B(v, e) \geq 1 \), for any \( v \in V(H) \);
2. \( \prod_{v \in e} B(v, e) \leq \alpha \), for any \( e \in E(H) \).

Moreover, the incidence matrix \( B \) is called consistent if for any cycle \( v_0 e_1 v_1 e_2 \cdots v_l \) (\( v_l = v_0 \))

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{B(v_i, e_i)}{B(v_{i-1}, e_i)} = 1.
\]

In this case, we call \( H \) consistently \( \alpha \)-supernormal. In addition, \( H \) is called strictly \( \alpha \)-supernormal if it is \( \alpha \)-supernormal but not \( \alpha \)-normal.
Lemma 2.8 ([5]) Let $H$ be an $r$-uniform hypergraph. If $H$ is strictly and consistently $\alpha$-normal, then the spectral radius of $H$ satisfies $\rho(H) > \alpha^{-\frac{1}{r}}$.

Because leaf vertices can be assigned the weight 1, we can note that if $H$ is consistently $\alpha$-normal and $H$ is extended from $H'$, then so is $H'$. This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.9 For any $r \geq 3$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, if $H$ extends $H'$, then $\rho(H) = \alpha^{-1/r}$ (or $\rho(H) < \alpha^{-1/r}$) if and only if $\rho(H') = \alpha^{-1/(r-1)}$ (or $\rho(H') < \alpha^{-1/(r-1)}$, respectively).

Example 2.10 We give an example regarding the labeling method and consider the following hypergraph $H$

![Hypergraph H and the labellings.](image)

Starting from the first edge on the left, for the two leaf vertices can be assigned weight 1, we omit the label, and label $x_1$ on the left corner of the third vertex. We do like this for the other edges. If we want to check if this hypergraph is $\beta$-normal, we can set $x_1 = \beta$, and by Definition 2.3 we set $x_2 = 1 - \beta$, and thus this edge satisfies item 1 and 2 of Definition 2.3. For the same reason, we set $x_3 = \frac{x_2}{x_2} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$, and $x_4 = 1 - x_3 = 1 - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$. On the right side, we do this by the same way, and we can get $y_1 = 1 - x_3 = 1 - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$. Therefore, by Definition 2.3, if $x_4 \cdot y_4 = \beta$, we can get $H$ is $\beta$-normal. If $x_4 \cdot y_4 < \beta$, by Definition 2.7 we can get $H$ is $\beta$-supernormal. If $x_4 \cdot y_4 > \beta$, by Definition 2.5, we can get $H$ is $\beta$-supernormal.

Definition 2.11 Given two $r$-uniform hypergraphs $H_1$ and $H_2$, a homomorphism from $H_1$ to $H_2$ is a map $f : V(H_1) \rightarrow V(H_2)$ which preserves the edges. If the natural map from $E(H_1)$ to $E(H_2)$ induced by $f$ is injective, then is called a sub-homomorphism. In this case, we also say $H_1$ is a sub-homomorphic type of $H_2$.

Every subhypergraph is a subhomomorphic type. The reverse statement is not true. Consider the following example. Suppose that $v_1$ and $v_2$ are two vertices of $H$ which are not contained in any common edge. We can form a new hypergraph $H'$ from $H$ by fusing $v_1$ and $v_2$ as one vertex that we call a fat vertex denoted by $x$. Now we define $f : V(H) \rightarrow V(H')$ to be the identity map everywhere but $v_1$ and $v_2$, and $f(v_1) = f(v_2) = x$. Then $f$ is a sub-homomorphism. The following lemma generalizes Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.12 Suppose $H_1$ and $H_2$ are two connected $r$-uniform hypergraphs. If $H_1$ is a sub-homomorphic type of $H_2$, then we have $\rho(H_1) \leq \rho(H_2)$ and the equality holds if and only if $H_1$ is isomorphic to $H_2$.

Proof Let $f : V(H_1) \rightarrow V(H_2)$ be the sub-homomorphism. Setting $\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{\rho(H_2)}\right)^r$, by Lemma 2.4, $H_2$ is consistently $\alpha$-normal and let $B_2$ be the incidence matrix. We can define an incidence matrix $B_1$ of $H_1$ as follows:

$$B_1(v,e) = B_2(f(v), f(e))$$

for any $v \in V(H_1)$ and $e \in E(H_1)$. 
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For any fixed \( e \in E(H_1) \), we have
\[
\prod_{v \in e} B_1(v, e) = \prod_{v' \in f(e)} B_2(v', f(e)) = \alpha.
\]
For any fixed \( v \in E(H_1) \), the set \( \{ e \in E(H_1) : v \in e \} \) is a subset of \( \{ e \in E(H_1) : f(v) \in f(e) \} \). This observation implies
\[
\sum_{e : v \in e} B_1(v, e) \leq \sum_{e' : f(v) \in e'} B_2(f(v), e') = 1.
\]
Therefore, \( H_1 \) is \( \alpha \)-subnormal. This implies \( \rho(H_1) \leq \rho(H_2) \). When the inequality holds, \( f(H_1) = H_2 \) (Otherwise \( \rho(H_1) \leq \rho(f(H_1)) < \rho(H_2), \rho(H_1) < \rho(H_2) \). Contradiction), and for any \( v \in V(H_1) \) and \( e \in E(H_1) \), \( v \in e \) if and only if \( f(v) \in f(e) \). This implies that \( f \) must be an injective map (Otherwise, we have \( f(v_1) = f(v_2) \), then we can find an edge \( e_1 \) containing \( v_1 \). Since \( f \) is a homomorphism, \( v_2 \) is not in \( e_1 \), but \( f(v_2) = f(v_1) \in f(e_1) \). Contradiction). Hence, \( f \) is an isomorphism. \( \square \)

Often, we need to calculate the limit of the spectral radius of a sequence of hypergraphs. The following lemma is helpful.

**Lemma 2.13** For any fixed \( \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{4}) \), let \( f_\beta(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-x} \) and \( f_\beta^n(x) = f(f_\beta^{n-1}(x)) \) for \( n \geq 2 \).

1. If \( 0 < x < \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), then \( f_\beta^n(x) \) is increasing with respect to \( n \), \( 0 < f_\beta^n(x) \leq \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_\beta^n(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). Moreover, when \( x = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), \( f_\beta^n(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \) \( \forall n \geq 1 \).

2. If \( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), then \( f_\beta^n(x) \) is decreasing with respect to \( n \), and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_\beta^n(x) = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \).

**Proof** We first prove item 1. Since \( 0 < x < \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), the function \( f_\beta(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-x} \) attains its maximum when \( x = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). So, \( 0 < f_\beta(x) \leq \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). Similarly, \( f_\beta^n(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-x} \) attains its maximum when \( f_\beta(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), so we get \( 0 < f_\beta^n(x) \leq \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). Again, we get \( 0 < f_\beta^n(x) \leq \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), for all \( n \geq 3 \). On the other hand, if \( 0 < f_\beta^n(x) < \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \), we can easily check that
\[
f_\beta^n(x) - f_\beta^{n-1}(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-f_\beta^{n-1}(x)} - f_\beta^{n-1}(x) = \frac{\beta - f_\beta^{n-1}(x) + (f_\beta^{n-1}(x))^2}{1-f_\beta^{n-1}(x)} > 0
\]
for all \( n \geq 2 \). So, \( f_\beta^{n-1}(x) < f_\beta^n(x) \) for all \( n \geq 2 \). Thus, the limit of \( 0 < f_\beta^n(x) \) exists and we let \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_\beta^n(x) = f_0(x) \). By \( f_\beta^n(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-f_0^n(x)} \), we get \( f_0(x) = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). The proof of item 2 is very similar to the proof of item 1, so we omit the proof here. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.14** Let \( f_\beta(x) = \frac{\beta}{1-x} \) and \( f_\beta^n(x) = f(f_\beta^{n-1}(x)) \) for \( n \geq 2 \). Then for any positive integer \( n \), and any real \( \beta \in (0, \frac{1}{4}) \), there exists a unique \( x \in \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \right) \) such that \( f_\beta^n(x) = 1 - x \).

**Proof** Consider the set \( F \) of functions \( f \) satisfying
1. \( f \) is an increasing continuous function in \( \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \right) \).
2. Both \( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \) and \( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \) are fixed points of \( f \).

We claim that for any \( f \in F \) there exists a unique \( x \in \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \right) \) such that \( f(x) = \)
1 - x. This is because \( g(x) := f(x) + x \) is a strictly increasing and continuous function in \((\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})\) and 
\[
g\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}\right) = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta} < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad g\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}\right) = 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta} > 1.
\]
It suffices to show \( f^{m}_\beta(x) \in F \) for any positive integer \( m \). This can be proved by induction on \( m \). For \( m = 1 \), \( f^{1}_\beta(x) = f_\beta(x) \in F \) can be easily verified. Now we assume \( f^{m}_\beta \in F \). Note both \( f_\beta \) and \( f^{m}_\beta \) map \((\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})\) to \((\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})\) increasingly and continuously to itself.

So is their composition, \( f_\beta \circ f^{m}_\beta = f^{m+1}_\beta \). We complete the proof.

**Lemma 2.15** Let the following graph be denoted by \( F^{(3)}_{m,n,k} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 6 } \ F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}
\end{align*}
\]

and the spectral radius of \( F^{(3)}_{m,n,k} \) by \( \rho(F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}) \). Then, when \( m, n, k \to \infty \),
\[
\lim_{m,n,k \to \infty} \rho(F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}) = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}.
\]

**Proof** We label this graph as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 7 } \ \text{The labellings of } F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( \beta \) be a real number in \((0, \frac{1}{4})\), chosen later. Set \( z_1 = 1 - f^{n-1}_\beta(\beta) \), \( z_2 = 1 - f^{k-1}_\beta(\beta) \), and \( z_3 = 1 - f^{m-1}_\beta(\beta) \). Note that \((\sqrt{5} - 2)^3 = \sqrt{5} - 2\). By setting \( \beta = \sqrt{5} - 2 \), we get \((\sqrt{5} - 2)\)-subnormal labeling of \( F^{(3)}_{m,n,k} \). Thus, for all \( m, n, k \),
\[
\rho(F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}) < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}.
\]
Now let \( \beta_{m,n,k} \) be the solution of \( z_1 z_2 z_3 = \beta \). We get a \( \beta_{m,n,k} \)-normal labeling. Thus \( \rho(F^{(3)}_{m,n,k}) = \beta^{-1/3}_{m,n,k} \) and \( \beta < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \). By the first item of Lemma 2.13, for a fixed \( \beta \), note that all \( z_1, z_2 \) are strictly increasingly approaching to \( \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \). We conclude that \( \beta_{m,n,k} \) are increasing functions
of each $m$, $n$, and $k$. The limit $\lim_{m,n,k \to \infty} \beta_{m,n,k}$ must exist and is the solution of

$$(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\beta})^3 = \beta.$$ 

By simple calculus, we get this limit $\beta = \sqrt{5} - 2$. By Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\lim_{m,n,k \to \infty} \rho(F_{m,n,k}^{(3)}) = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}. \quad \Box$$

Taking $\rho'_r = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.16** For $r \geq 3$, let $H$ be an $r$-uniform hypergraph with spectral radius $\rho(H) \leq \rho'_r$. If $H$ is not simple, then $H = C_2^{(r)}$ (i.e., the hypergraph consists of two edges sharing two common vertices).

**Proof** In [5], we have shown that $\rho_r(C_2^{(r)}) = \sqrt{3} < \rho'_r$.

Since $H$ is not simple, $H$ contains two edges $F_1$ and $F_2$ sharing $s$ vertices for some $s \geq 2$.

If $s \geq 3$, denote by $C_{s+}^{(r)}$ the subgraph consisting of the two edges $F_1, F_2$. Define a weighted incidence matrix $B$ of $C_{s+}^{(r)}$ as follows: for any vertex $v$ and edge $e$ (called the other edge $e'$),

$$B(v,e) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \in e \cap e', \\ 1, & \text{if } v \in e \setminus e', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that when $s \geq 3$ we have $(\frac{1}{2})^s < 0.1251 < \beta$, so $C_{s+}^{(r)}$ is consistently $\beta$-supernormal (The choice of labeling guarantees the labeling is consistent) and thus $\rho(H) \geq \rho(C_{s+}^{(r)}) > \rho'_r$. Contradiction.

Thus, $F_1$ and $F_2$ can only share 2-common vertices. Since $H$ is connected and $H \neq C_2^{(r)}$, there is a third edge $F_3$ having non-empty intersection with $F_1 \cup F_2$. Since identifying the vertices will not change the sub-homomorphi type, we can only consider the two sub-homomorphic types: $C_{2+}^{(r)}$ and $C_{2+}^{(r)}$. Here both the hypergraphs $C_{2+}^{(r)}$ and $C_{2+}^{(r)}$ consist of three edges $F_1, F_2, F_3$ where $|F_1 \cap F_2| = 2$ and $|F_3 \cap (F_1 \cup F_2)| = 1$. The difference is that in $C_{2+}^{(r)}$, $F_3 \cap (F_1 \cup F_2) \in F_1 \cap F_2$ while in $C_{2+}^{(r)}$, $F_3 \cap (F_1 \cup F_2) \in F_1 \Delta F_2$ the symmetric difference of $F_1$ and $F_2$. The below are the drawings of $C_{2+}^{(3)}$ and $C_{2+}^{(3)}$.

![Figure 8](image)

To draw the contradiction, it is sufficient to show $\rho_r(C_{2+}^{(r)}) > \rho'_r$ and $\rho_r(C_{2+}^{(r)}) > \rho'_r$ (This implies $\rho(H) > \rho'_r$ by Lemma 2.12). Observe that $C_{2+}^{(r)}$ is extended from $C_{2+}^{(3)}$ and $C_{2+}^{(r)}$ is extended from $C_{2+}^{(3)}$. We only need to show that both $C_{2+}^{(3)}$ and $C_{2+}^{(3)}$ are consistently strict $\beta$-supernormal. We label the two hypergraphs as follows:
In $C_{2^+}^{(3)}$, we set the labels $y_1 = \beta$, $y_2 = y_3 = \frac{1-\beta}{2}$, and $y_4 = y_5 = \frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}$. Since $y_4 + y_5 \approx 1.2361 > 1$, this is a consistently $\beta$-supernormal labelling.

In $C'_{2^+}^{(3)}$, we set $x_1 = \beta$, $x_2 = 1 - \beta$, $x_3 = x_6 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}$, and $x_4 = x_5 = \sqrt{\beta}$. Since $x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 \approx 1.0418 > 1$, this is a consistently $\beta$-supernormal labelling.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By the lemmas in Section 2, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to consider irreducible hypergraphs. We consider 3 cases for the proof.

**Proof** Assume that $H$ is an irreducible 3-uniform hypergraph with $\rho(H) \leq \sqrt[3]{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. We need to show that $H$ has certain forbidden structures. The idea is to show these forbidden subgraphs have some (consistently, if not a hypertree) $(\sqrt[3]{5} - 2)$-supernormal labelings. To simplify our notation, we write $\beta = \sqrt{5} - 2$ in this proof. By Lemma 2.16, when $r = 3$, we only need to consider $H$ is simple.

**Case 1** If $\exists v \in V(H)$, such that $d_v \geq 5$, then $H$ contains $S_5^{(3)}$ that has been labeled as follows.

For the status of each edge is the same, we only label one edge. We can check $5\beta \approx 1.1803 > 1$, so, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8, we get $\rho(H) > \rho_3$. Thus we can assume that every vertex in $H$ has degree at most 4. If $\exists v \in V(H)$, such that $d_v = 4$, and $H$ contains graph $S_4^{(3)}$ that has been labeled as follows.

where $x_1 = \beta$, $x_2 = 1 - \beta$, $x_3 = x_6 = \frac{2}{1-\beta}$, $x_4 = x_5 = \beta$. We can check that $x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 \approx 1.0172 > 1$, so, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8, we get $\rho(H) > \rho(S_4^{(3)}) > \rho_3$. Thus, since $\rho(S_4^{(3)}) = \rho_3$ and $\rho(S_4^{(3)}) > \rho_3$, so if $H$ is irreducible, we can assume that every vertex in $H$ has degree at
most 3.

**Case 2** The hypergraph $H$ contains a cycle denoted by $C^{(3)}_n$. Since $\rho(C^{(3)}_n) = \sqrt{4}$ (see [5]), we may assume $H$ contains at least one edge $F$ not on the cycle $C^{(3)}_n$ (But attached to $C^{(3)}_n$). First we prove that $F$ can be only attached to the cycle through a branching edge, not a branching vertex, otherwise, $H$ contains a sub-homomorphic type $C^{(3)}_{n+1}$ shown as follows:

![Figure 12](image12.png)

Figure 12 Hypergraph $C^{(3)}_{n+1}$

This graph is reducible and can be extended from the following 2-graph $C^{(2)}_{n+1}$:

![Figure 13](image13.png)

Figure 13 Hypergraph $C^{(2)}_{n+1}$

The graph $C^{(2)}_{n+1}$ is not in the list of Brouwer and Neumaier [2]. Thus, $\rho(C^{(2)}_{n+1}) > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. Applying Corollary 2.9, we get $\rho(C^{(3)}_{n+1}) > 3\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. Contradiction.

Thus, $F$ must be attached to the cycle through a branching edge. Considering that we walk away from the cycle through this edge $F$, we have the following subcases.

1. Eventually, the path at $F$ reaches a degree-3 vertex. In this subcase, $H$ contains the following sub-homomorphic type $C^{(3)}_{n+1}$:

![Figure 14](image14.png)

Figure 14 Hypergraph $C^{(3)}_{n+1}$ and the labelings

By Lemma 2.14, there exists $x_1 \in \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}\right)$ satisfying $f_{\beta}^n(x_1) = 1 - x_1$. Now $x_n = f_{\beta}^n(x_1) = 1 - x_1$ (This labeling guarantees the consistency). So, $z_1 = 1 - x_n = x_1$. We set $y_i = y_2 = \beta$, $y_i = f_{\beta}^{i-2}(2\beta)$ for $3 \leq i \leq m$. Since $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} < 2\beta < \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}$, by Lemma 2.13, we get that $y_i$ is decreasing and $\lim_{i \to \infty} y_i = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}$. In particular, $y_m \geq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}$. This implies $z_2 = 1 - y_m \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}$. Therefore, we have

$$x_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_2 < \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}\right)^3 = \beta.$$
Thus, $C_{n+}^{(3)}$ is consistently $\beta$-supernormal. So, we have $\rho(H) \geq \rho(C_{n+}^{(3)}) > \rho'_3$. Contradiction!

(2) If the path leaving at $F$ reaches a branching edge, in this subcase, $H$ contains the following sub-homomorphic type $C_{n+}^{(3)}$:

This is similar to the previous subcase. By Lemma 2.14, there exists $x_1 \in (\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})$ satisfying $f^x_\beta(x_1) = 1 - x_1$. Now $x_n = f^x_\beta(x_1) = 1 - x_1$ (The choice of labeling guarantees the labeling is consistent). So, $z_1 = 1 - x_n - x_1$. We set $k_1 = k_2 = \beta$, $y_1 = \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2}$, and $y_i = f^{x_{i-1}}_\beta(y_1)$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$. Since $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2} \leq \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^2} \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$, by Lemma 2.13, we get that $y_i$ is decreasing and the limit goes to $\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$. In particular, $y_m \geq \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$. This implies $z_2 = 1 - y_m \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$. Therefore, we have

$$x_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_2 < \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}\right)^3 = \beta.$$ 

Thus, $C_{n+}^{(3)}$ is consistently $\beta$-supernormal. So, we have $\rho(H) \geq \rho(C_{n+}^{(3)}) > \rho'_3$. Contradiction.

(3) Eventually, the path leaving at $F$ returns to the cycle. In this subcase, $H$ contains subgraph $\Theta(m_1, m_2, m_3)$, which can be obtained by connecting three pairs of vertices between two branching edges using three paths of lengths $m_1$, $m_2$, and $m_3$, respectively.

By Lemma 2.14, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, there exists $x_i \in (\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})$ satisfying $f^{m_i}_\beta(x_i) = 1 - x_i$. We label $x_1$, $x_2$, and $x_3$ on the $\Theta(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ and extend these labels on path $P_m$, naturally. The choice of $x_i$ guarantees the labeling is consistent. Note

$$x_1x_2x_3 < \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}\right)^3 = \beta.$$ 

The labeling is therefore consistently $\beta$-supernormal and this implies

$$\rho(H) \geq \rho(\Theta(m_1, m_2, m_3)) > \rho'_3.$$ Contradiction.
(4) This is the remaining subcase: \( H \) contains a cycle \( C \) with several paths attached to \( C \). So \( H \) is a closed quipu as stated in the theorem.

**Case 3** We assume that \( H \) is a hypertree, and let the following partial hypergraphs (that can be glued together to form a hypergraph) be denoted by \( H^{(3)}_1 \) and \( H^{(3)}_2 \) that correspond to the degree-3 vertex and the branching edge structure, respectively.

In graph \( H^{(3)}_1(n) \), we set \( x_1 = x_2 = \beta \), \( y_1 = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} = f_\beta(2\beta) \), \( y_n = f^n_\beta(2\beta) \). Since \( 2\beta \in \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} \right) \), by Lemma 2.13, we get that \( y_n = f^n_\beta > \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} + \beta = 1 \).

In graph \( H^{(3)}_2(n) \), we set \( x_1 = x_2 = \beta \), \( h_1 = h_2 = 1 - \beta \), \( h_3 = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta^2} \). We can check that \( h_3 \in \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} \right) \). Since \( q_n = f^n_\beta(h_3) \), by Lemma 2.13 we get \( q_n > \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} \).

To show \( H \) must be an open quipu as stated in the theorem, we need exclude the following structures. First, suppose that there is a degree-3 vertex with black color in \( H \), and \( H \) contains the following subgraph,

\[
G_1 \to z_1 \to G_2
\]

where \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are chosen from \( H^{(3)}_1(n) \) and \( H^{(3)}_2(n) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \)) and pieces are united together by gluing the black nodes together. We can get \( z_1 = y_n \) or \( z_1 = q_n \), and so is \( z_2 \). Just as before, we can get

\[
z_1 + z_2 + \beta > \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4\beta^2}}{2} + 1 - \sqrt{1-4\beta^2} + \beta = 1.
\]

This is a supernormal labeling of this subgraph. Thus, \( \rho(H) > \rho'_3 \). Contradiction.

If \( H \) contains one branching edge, whose all three branches are not paths, then \( H \) contains the following subgraph.

Where \( K_1 \), \( K_2 \) and \( K_3 \) are chosen from \( H^{(3)}_1(n) \) and \( H^{(3)}_2(n) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \)) and pieces are glued through black nodes. Similar to the previous case, for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), by Lemma 2.13, we can
get $z_i < \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$. Thus, $z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 < (\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})^3 = \beta$. This is a supernormal labeling of this subgraph. So, we have $\rho(H) > \rho'$. Contradiction. Therefore, $H$ must be an open quipu as stated in the theorem. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We also only need to consider irreducible hypergraphs. 

Proof Let $H$ be an irreducible 4-uniform hypergraph with $\rho(H) \leq \rho'_r = \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{5}}$. If $H$ is not simple, then it must be $C^{(4)}_{n+}$ by Lemma 2.16. Now we suppose $H$ is simple.

Case 1 $H$ contains a cycle $C$. Since $H$ is irreducible, it also has an edge $F$ which contains no leaf vertex. We consider the following two subcases.

(1) The edge $F$ is on the cycle $C$. The $H$ contains the following sub-isomorphic type:

![Figure 21 Hypergraph $C^{(4)}_{n+}$ and its labeling](image)

By Lemma 2.14, there exists a $x_1 \in (\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})$ satisfying $f_\beta^{x_1}(x_1) = 1 - x_1$. Now $x_n = f_\beta^{x_1}(x_1) = 1 - x_1$ (This labeling guarantees the consistency). So, $z_1 = 1 - x_n = x_1$. We set $y_1 = y_2 = \beta, z_2 = z_3 = 1 - \beta, and we can check that $x_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 < (\frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})^3 \cdot (1 - \beta)^2 \approx 0.2229 < \beta$, and thus $C^{(4)}_{n+}$ is $\beta$-supernormal. So we have $\rho(H) \geq \rho(C^{(4)}_{n+}) > \rho'_r$.

(2) If $F$ is not on $C$, there is a path connecting $F$ to $C$. Thus, $H$ has the following sub-homomorphic type:

![Figure 22 Hypergraph $C^{(4)}_{n+}$ and its labeling](image)

As above, there exists a $x_1 \in (\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})$ and $z_1 = x_1$. We set $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \beta, q_1 = \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)^t}$, and we can check $q_1 \in (\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2})$. We set $q_m = f_{\beta}^{m-1}(q_1)$, and thus by Lemma 2.13, we get $q_m$ decreases with $m$, and when $m \to \infty$, we get $q_m > \frac{1-\sqrt{1-4\beta}}{2}$. So
$z_2 = 1 - q_n < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$. We can check that $x_1 \cdot z_1 \cdot z_2 < \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2} \right)^3 = \beta$, and thus $C^β_{n+}$ is $\beta$-supernormal (The labeling guarantees the consistency). So we have $\rho(H) \geq \rho(C^β_{n+}) > \rho_\ast$.

**Case 2** $H$ is a hypertree but not a 4-dagger. To get the open quipu structures, we need to forbid certain subhypergraphs.

The following partial hypergraphs $H_1^β(n)$ and $H_2^β(n,j)$ (for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) correspond to the branching vertex and the branching edge structure, respectively.

![Figure 23 $H_1^β(n)$ and its labeling](image)

![Figure 24 $H_2^β(n,j)$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$.](image)

**Claim (a)** Both $H_1^β(n)$ and $H_2^β(n,j)$ (for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) admit a $\beta$-supernormal labeling such that the label at the corner of the black vertex is greater than $\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$.

**Proof of Claim (a)** We will label the partial graphs so that the $\beta$-normal properties hold except at the corner of the black vertex. In graph $H_1^β(n)$, we set $x_1 = x_2 = \beta$, $y_1 = \frac{\beta}{1 - 2\beta} = f_\beta(2\beta)$, $y_i = f_\beta(2\beta)$, $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. Since $2\beta \in (\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2})$, by Lemma 2.13, we get that $y_n = f_\beta^n > \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$.

In graph $H_2^β(n,j)$, we set $x_1 = x_2 = c_1 = \beta$, $h_1 = h_2 = 1 - \beta$, $c_j = f_j^{-1}(\beta)$. When $j = 0$, we have $h_3 = 1$ and $h_4 = \frac{\beta}{h_1h_2h_3} = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta)^2}$. When $j = 1$, we have $h_3 = 1 - \beta$ and $h_4 = \frac{\beta}{h_1h_2h_3} = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta)^2}$. When $j = 2$, we have $h_3 = 1 - c_2 = \frac{1 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta}$ and $h_4 = \frac{\beta}{h_1h_2h_3} = \frac{\beta}{(1 - \beta)(1 - 2\beta)}$. When $j = 3$, we set $h_3 = 1 - c_3 = \frac{1 - 3\beta + \beta^2}{1 - 3\beta + 3\beta^2}$ and $h_4 = \frac{\beta}{h_1h_2h_3} = \frac{\beta}{(1 - 3\beta + 3\beta^2)(1 - \beta)}$. We can check directly that for all $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, the value $h_4 \in (\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2})$. Since $q_n = f_\beta^n(h_4)$, and thus by Lemma 2.13, we get $q_n > \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$.

To show $H$ must be an open quipu as stated in the theorem, we need exclude the following structures.

1. We first show that all branching vertices and branching edges lie on the same path denoted by $P$. Otherwise, $H$ contains the following subhypergraph.

![Figure 25 Subhypergraph 1 and its labeling](image)
Where \( U_1, U_2 \) and \( U_3 \) are chosen from \( H_4^1(n) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \)) and \( H_4^2(n, j) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \) and \( j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) and pieces are glued through black nodes.

From Claim (a), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 4\beta^2}} < \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta^2}}{2} \cdot 1 = \beta.
\]

So, this subhypergraph is \( \beta \)-supernormal. This implies \( \rho(H) > \rho_4'. \)

(2) Now we show that any branch vertex must lie at the end of that path \( P \). Otherwise, \( H \) contains the following subhypergraph.

![Figure 26 Subhypergraph 2 and its labeling](image)

Where \( U_4 \) and \( U_5 \) are chosen from \( H_4^1(n) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \)) and \( H_4^2(n, j) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \) and \( j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) and pieces are glued through black nodes. From Claim (a), we have

\[
z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 \cdot 1 < \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta^2}}{2} \right)^3 \cdot 1 = \beta.
\]

So, this subhypergraph is \( \beta \)-supernormal. This implies \( \rho(H) > \rho_4'. \)

(3) Now we show that any branch edge must also lie at the end of that path \( P \). Otherwise, \( H \) contains the following subhypergraph.

![Figure 27 Subhypergraph 3 and its labeling](image)

Where \( U_6 \) and \( U_7 \) are chosen from \( H_4^1(n) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \)) and \( H_4^2(n, j) \) (for some \( n \geq 0 \) and \( j = 0, 1, 2, 3 \)) and pieces are glued through black nodes. We have

\[
z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 \cdot z_4 < \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta^2}}{2} \right)^2 \cdot (1 - \beta)^2 \approx 0.2229 < \beta.
\]

This subhypergraph is \( \beta \)-supernormal. Thus we have \( \rho(H) > \rho_4'. \) Contradiction.

(4) It remains to show that each 4-branching edge is attached by three paths of length 1, 1, and \( k \) (\( k = 1, 2, 3 \)), respectively, if it is not a 4-dagger. Otherwise, it contains one of the following two hypergraphs as a subhypergraph.
Let the Proof

5. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof Let the edge-star $S_r^{(r)}$ be the $r$-uniform hypergraph consisting of $r + 1$ edges: $F_0 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r\}$, $F_1, \ldots, F_r$, where each $F_i \cap F_0 = \{v_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$. (See the picture of $S_5^{(5)}$ at Theorem 1.3.)
We first show that $\rho_r(S(r)) > \rho'_r$ for $r \geq 6$. This can be done by assigning $B(v_i, F_i) = \beta$ and $B(v_i, F_0) = 1 - \beta$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that the product of labels on $F_0$ is $(1 - \beta)^r < \beta$ for all $r \geq 6$. Thus, $S_r^{(r)}$ is $\beta$-supernormal. If there is an irreducible $r$-uniform hypergraph $H$ with $\rho(H) \leq \rho'_r$ for $r \geq 6$, then $H$ contains a sub-homomorphic type $S_r^{(r)}$. By Lemma 2.12, we have $\rho(H) \geq \rho(S_r^{(r)}) > \rho'_r$. Contradiction.

The same argument shows that $S_5^{(5)}$ is $\beta$-subnormal. Let $H$ be an irreducible 5-uniform hypergraph with $\rho(H) \leq \rho'_5$. If $H$ is not $S_5^{(5)}$, $H$ contains one of the following sub-homomorphic types $S'_5^{(5)}$ and $S_5^{(+)}$.

![Figure 30 $S_5^{(5)}$ and its labeling](image)

For $S'_5^{(5)}$, we can label the corner of the only black vertex not on the branching edge by $\frac{1}{2}$, and set $x_1 = x_2 = 1 - 2\beta$, $x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = 1 - \beta$. We can check that the product of labels on the branching edge is

$$x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 = (1 - 2\beta)^2(1 - \beta)^3 \approx 0.1242 < \beta.$$  

For $S_5^{(+)}$, we can set $y_1 = 1 - f_\beta(\beta) = \frac{1 - 2\beta}{1 - \beta}$, $y_2 = y_3 = y_4 = y_5 = 1 - \beta$. We can check that the product of labels on the branching edge is

$$y_1y_2y_3y_4y_5 = (1 - 2\beta)(1 - \beta)^4 \approx 0.1798 < \beta.$$  

Thus, both $S'_5^{(5)}$ and $S_5^{(+)}$ are consistently $\beta$-supernormal. This implies that $\rho(H) > \rho'_5$, a contradiction. Thus $H$ must be the five edge-star. □

6. Constructing open quipus and closed quipus with $\rho(H) \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$

In this section, we give a description of the connected $r$-uniform hypergraphs with spectral radius at most $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$: they are extended from the irreducible ones listed in Theorems 1.1-1.3 and the 2-graphs listed by Cvetković et al [1] and Brouwer-Neumaier [2]. This is not a complete description for $r \geq 3$, but rather a coarse description. The scenario is similar to the results of Woo and Neumaier on the graphs with spectral radius at most $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ (see [4]). Our method is very different from the linear algebra method used by Woo and Neumaier. In fact, it is possible to make the proof of Woo-Neumaier’s result simply using our new method but we will omit it here.
In the rest of this section, we will construct many examples with \( \rho(H) \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \). This shows that the descriptions in Theorems 1.1–1.3 are somewhat tight.

The 4-daggers are completely classified so no construction is needed. We only need to construct closed 3-quipus, open 3-quipus and open 4-quipus first. The idea is to present some partial hypergraphs, which can be glued together to form a hypergraph with \( \rho(H) \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}} \). A partial \( r \)-uniform hypergraph is an \( r \)-uniform hypergraph together with (one or two) designated vertex/vertices. A partial hypergraph \( H \) is called \( \alpha \)-subnormal if there exists a weighted incidence matrix \( B \) satisfying

1. \( \prod_{v \in e} B(v, e) \geq \alpha \), for any \( e \in E(H) \);
2. \( \sum_{e : v \in e} B(v, e) \leq \frac{1}{2} \), for any designated vertex \( v \);
3. \( \sum_{e : v \in e} B(v, e) \leq 1 \), for any non-designated vertex.

**Lemma 6.1** Consider the following partial hypergraphs \( G_1^{(3)}(m, k_1, k_2) \), \( G_2^{(2)}(m, k) \), and \( G_3^{(4)}(t, k) \) (with designated vertices colored in black). We have

1. For any \( m \geq 1 \), there exists a \( k_0 \) such that for any \( k_1, k_2 \geq k_0 \), \( G_1^{(3)}(m, k_1, k_2) \) is \((\sqrt{5} - 2)\)-subnormal.
2. For any \( m \geq 1 \), there exists a \( k_0 \) such that for any \( k \geq k_0 \), \( G_2^{(2)}(m, k) \) is \((\sqrt{5} - 2)\)-subnormal.
3. For any \( t = 1, 2, 3 \), there exists a \( k_t \) such that for any \( k \geq k_t \), \( G_3^{(4)}(t, k) \) is \((\sqrt{5} - 2)\)-subnormal.

**Proof** We label the corner of the designated vertices by \( \frac{1}{2} \) and the corner of other leaf-vertices by 1. We try to maintain the properties that the product of all labels in one edge is \( \beta \) where \( \beta = \sqrt{5} - 2 \) and the sum of all labels at one vertex is 1 except at the branching vertex or at the branching edge. We get the labels of the three partial graphs as follows
By Lemma 2.13, 1−branching edges, denoted by $t$

For each $k$

Note that the limit of this sum as $k \to \infty$

The sum of the labels at the branching vertex is $\beta$

Now we consider the first partial hypergraph $G_1^{(3)}$. Using the function $f_\beta$, we have $x_1 = 1 - f_\beta^{m-1}(\beta)$, $x_2 = 1 - f_\beta^{k_1-1}(2\beta)$, and $x_3 = 1 - f_\beta^{k_2-1}(2\beta)$. The product of the labels on the central branching edge is $g(m, k_1, k_2)$, satisfies

By Lemma 2.13, $1 - f_\beta^{m-1}(\beta) > \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$, and $\lim_{k_1 \to \infty} (1 - f_\beta^{k_1-1}(2\beta)) = \lim_{k_2 \to \infty} (1 - f_\beta^{k_2-1}(2\beta)) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}$ since $2\beta \in (\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2})$. Thus,

There exists a $k_0$ such that for $k_1, k_2 \geq k_0$, $g(m, k_1, k_2) > \beta$. i.e., $G_1^{(3)}$ is $\beta$-subnormal.

A similar argument works for the graph $G_2^{(2)}$. We have $y_1 = f_\beta^{m-1}(\beta)$ and $y_2 = f_\beta^{k-1}(2\beta)$.

The sum of the labels at the branching vertex is $\beta + y_1 + y_2 = \beta + f_\beta^{m-1}(\beta) + f_\beta^{k-1}(2\beta)$.

Note that the limit of this sum as $k$ goes to infinity satisfies

Thus, there exists a $k_0 = k_0(m)$ such that for any $k \geq k_0$, we get $y_1 + y_2 + \beta < 1$. So $G_2^{(2)}$ is $\beta$-subnormal.

In graph $G_3^{(4)}(t, k)$, we have $z_1 = z_2 = 1 - \beta$, $z_3 = 1 - f_\beta^{t-1}(\beta)$, $z_4 = 1 - f_\beta^{k-1}(2\beta)$. The product of the labels at the branching edge is $z_1 z_2 z_3 z_4 = (1 - \beta)^2 (1 - f_\beta^{t-1}(\beta))(1 - f_\beta^{k-1}(2\beta))$.

For each $t = 1, 2, 3$, it is easy to check

$$(1 - \beta)^2 (1 - f_\beta^{t-1}(\beta)) \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\beta}}{2} < \beta.$$
Hypergraphs with spectral radius at most $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{5}}$

There exists a $k_t$ such that for any $k \geq k_t$, $G^{(4)}_3$ is $\beta$-subnormal. □

Extension also works for partial hypergraphs: add one vertex to each edge while keep the designated vertices. Observe that if a partial hypergraph $H$ is $\alpha$-subnormal then so is the extension of $H$. For any $r \geq 4$, we can extend $G^{(3)}_1(m, k_1, k_2)$ to $G^{(r)}_1(m, k_1, k_2)$, $G^{(2)}_2(m, k)$ to $G^{(r)}_2(m, k)$, and $G^{(4)}_3(t, k)$ to $G^{(r)}_3(t, k)$, glue $G^{(r)}_1$, $G^{(r)}_2$ and $G^{(r)}_3$ together via the designated vertices, and get a new graph $H$ that is still $(\sqrt{5} - 2)$-subnormal. We can get many examples of $H$ with $\rho(H) < \rho'_r$ as follows:

Figure 38 Examples of $H$ with $\rho(H) < \rho'_r$
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