

## Algebraic Properties of Toeplitz Operators on Cutoff Harmonic Bergman Space

Jingyu YANG<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Yufeng LU<sup>2</sup>, Huo TANG<sup>1</sup>

1. College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Chifeng University,  
Inner Mongolia 024000, P. R. China;
2. School of Mathematical Sciences, Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning 116024, P. R. China

**Abstract** In this paper, we first investigate the finite-rank product problems of several Toeplitz operators with quasihomogeneous symbols on the cutoff harmonic Bergman space  $b_n^2$ . Next, we characterize finite rank commutators and semi-commutators of two Toeplitz operators with quasihomogeneous symbols on  $b_n^2$ .

**Keywords** Toeplitz operator; Cutoff Harmonic Bergman space; quasihomogeneous; finite rank

**MR(2010) Subject Classification** 47B35; 47B20

### 1. Introduction

Let  $D$  be the open unit disk in the complex plane  $C$  and  $dA = \frac{r}{\pi}drd\theta$  be the normalized area measure on  $D$ .  $L^2(D, dA)$  is the Hilbert space of Lebesgue square integrable functions on  $D$  with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f(z)\overline{g(z)}dA(z).$$

The Bergman space  $L_a^2(D)$  is the closed subspace of all analytic functions in  $L^2(D, dA)$ . Harmonic Bergman space  $L_h^2(D)$  is the closed subspace of  $L^2(D, dA)$  consisting of the harmonic functions on  $D$ . It is clear that

$$L_h^2(D) = L_a^2(D) + \overline{zL_a^2(D)}.$$

For a fixed positive integer  $n$ ,  $\{\bar{z}, \bar{z}^2, \dots, \bar{z}^n\} \subset \overline{zL_a^2}$ , we define

$$W_n = \{\bar{z}, \bar{z}^2, \dots, \bar{z}^n\}^\vee,$$

in which  $\{\cdot\}^\vee$  denotes the linear closed space spanned by  $\{\cdot\}$ . Denote by  $b_n^2$  the cutoff harmonic Bergman space, we have

$$b_n^2 = L_a^2 \bigoplus W_n. \quad (1.1)$$

---

Received December 30, 2019; Accepted February 20, 2020

Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11761006), the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China (Grant Nos. 2017MS0113; 2018MS01026) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Higher School of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region of China (Grant No. NJZY17300).

\* Corresponding author

E-mail address: yjy923@163.com (Jingyu YANG); lyfdlut@dlut.edu.cn (Yufeng LU); thth2009@163.com (Huo TANG)

It is well known that  $K_z(w) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{z}w)^2}$  is the reproducing kernel for  $L_a^2$ ,  $R_z(w) = K_z(w) + \overline{K_z(w)} - 1$  is the reproducing kernel for  $L_h^2$ . From the relationship of (1.1), we know that  $b_n^2$  is a reproducing Hilbert space, its kernel is denoted by  $R_z^{(n)}$ , and given by

$$R_z^{(n)} = K_z(w) + \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1)(z\bar{w})^i.$$

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(D)$  onto  $L_a^2(D)$ ,  $Q$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(D)$  onto  $L_h^2(D)$ ,  $P_n$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(D)$  onto  $W_n$ , and  $Q_n = P \oplus P_n$  be the projection from  $L^2(D)$  onto  $b_n^2$ . It is clear that  $Q_n$  converges to  $Q$  by strong operator topology. Since  $L_a^2(D)$ ,  $L_h^2(D)$  and  $b_n^2$  are reproducing Hilbert space, we have

$$Pf(z) = \langle f, K_z \rangle, \quad Qf(z) = \langle f, R_z \rangle, \quad Q_n f(z) = \langle f, R_z^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \forall f \in L^2(D).$$

For  $\varphi \in L^\infty(D)$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T_\varphi : L^2(D) \rightarrow b_n^2$  with symbol  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$T_\varphi f(z) = Q_n(\varphi f) = \int_D f(w)\varphi(w)\overline{R_z^{(n)}(w)}dA(w).$$

In 1964, Brown and Halmos [1] proved that if  $T_f T_g = 0$  on the Hardy space  $H^2(T)$ , then either  $f$  or  $g$  must be identically zero. In [2], Ahern and Čučković showed that the result is analogous to that in [1] for two Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols on the Bergman space of unit disk. Moreover in [3] they proved that if  $T_f T_g = 0$ , where  $f$  is arbitrary bounded and  $g$  is radial, then either  $f \equiv 0$  or  $g \equiv 0$ . Those zero product results have been generalized to finite rank product result in [4]. Čučković and Louhichi [5] studied finite rank product of several quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space of the unit disk. Furthermore, Yang and Lu [6] studied finite rank product of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space of unit disk.

For two Toeplitz operators  $T_\varphi$  and  $T_\psi$  the commutator and semi-commutator are defined by

$$[T_\varphi, T_\psi] = T_\varphi T_\psi - T_\psi T_\varphi, \quad (T_\varphi, T_\psi] = T_{\varphi\psi} - T_\varphi T_\psi.$$

For the problem of finite-rank commutator or semi-commutator, Axler [7] and Ding [8] have characterized it on the Hardy space completely. On the Bergman space the problem seems to be far from solution. Guo, Sun and Zheng [9] completely characterized the finite rank commutator and semi-commutator of two Toeplitz operators with bounded harmonic symbols on the Bergman space of the unit disk. Čučković and Louhichi [5] investigated the finite rank semi-commutators and commutators of Toeplitz operators with quasihomogeneous symbols on the Bergman space of the unit disk. Yang and Lu [6] have studied the finite rank commutators and semi-commutators of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space. Ding [10] solved the finite rank commutators of Toeplitz operator with bounded harmonic symbols on the cutoff harmonic Bergman space.

Motivated by Čučković and Louhichi [5], Ding [10] and Choe [11], we will discuss the finite rank (semi)commutators of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators on the cutoff harmonic Bergman space.

## 2. Preliminaries

Before we state our results, we need to introduce the Mellin transform.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $f \in L^1([0, 1], rdr)$ . The Mellin transform  $\hat{f}$  of a function  $f$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(z) = \int_0^1 f(r)r^{z-1}dr.$$

It is clear that  $\hat{f}$  is well defined on the right half-plane  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z \geq 2\}$  and analytic on  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 2\}$ . It is important and helpful to know that the Mellin transform  $\hat{f}$  is uniquely determined by its value on an arithmetic sequence of integers. In fact, we have the following classical theorem [12, p.102].

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose that  $f$  is a bounded analytic function on  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$  which vanishes at the pairwise distinct points  $z_1, z_2, \dots$ , where

- (1)  $\inf\{|z_n|\} > 0$ ,
- (2)  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{z_n}) = \infty$ .

Then  $f$  vanishes identically on  $\{z : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$ .

**Remark 2.3** We shall often use this theorem to show that if  $f \in L^1([0, 1], rdr)$  and if there exists a sequence  $(n_k)_{k \geq 0} \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\hat{f}(n_k) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{n_k} = \infty,$$

then  $\hat{f}(z) = 0$  for all  $z \in \{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 2\}$  and so  $f = 0$ .

Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A function  $\varphi \in L^1(D, dA)$  is called a quasihomogeneous function of degree  $p$  if  $\varphi$  is of the form  $e^{ip\theta}f$ , where  $f$  is a radial function, i.e.,

$$\varphi(re^{i\theta}) = e^{ip\theta}f(r).$$

The main reason for many researchers to study Toeplitz operators with quasimonogeneous symbols is that any function  $f$  in  $L^2(D, dA)$  has the polar decomposition

$$f(re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ik\theta} f_k(r),$$

where  $f_k$  are radial functions in  $L^2([0, 1], rdr)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $p \geq 0$  and  $\varphi$  be a bounded radial function. Then for each  $k \in N$ ,

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(z^k) = 2(p+k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)z^{p+k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

$$T_{e^{-ip\theta}\varphi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)z^{k-p}, & k \geq p, \\ 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\bar{z}^{p-k}, & p-n \leq k < p, \\ 0, & k > p-n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \geq n$ ,

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p-k}, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n$$

$$T_{e^{-ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $p < n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) &= \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p-k}, & k \leq p, \\ 2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\bar{z}^{k-p}, & p < k \leq n. \end{cases} \\ T_{e^{-ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) &= \begin{cases} 2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\bar{z}^{k+p}, & 1 \leq k < n-p, \\ 0, & n-p < k \leq n. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Finite rank product of $n$ Toeplitz operators

We will discuss the finite rank product of Toeplitz operators with quasihomogeneous symbols in this section.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  be bounded radial functions. If  $T_{e^{ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}$  is of finite rank  $M$ , then  $\varphi_i = 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

**Proof** We denote by  $S$  the product of Toeplitz operators  $T_{e^{ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}$ .

For any  $\bar{z}^k \in b_n^2$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), it is clear that  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) | 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  has finite rank, and its rank is less than  $n$ .

On the other hand,  $\{S(z^k) | k \geq 0\}$  must have finite rank, which, by [5, Theorem 2], implies that  $\varphi_i = 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . The proof of this Theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  be bounded radial functions. If  $T_{e^{-ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{-ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}$  has finite rank, then  $\varphi_i = 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote the product of Toeplitz operators  $T_{e^{-ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{-ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}$ . Since  $S$  has finite rank on  $b_n^2$ , it follows  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  and  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$  have finite rank.

For  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , it is clear that it has finite rank for any  $\varphi_i$ .

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.4 for  $k \geq \sum_{j=1}^m p_j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(z^k) &= T_{e^{-ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{-ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}(z^k) \\ &= 2(k-p_1+1)\widehat{\varphi}_1(2k-p_1+2)2(k-p_1-p_2+1)\widehat{\varphi}_2(2k-2p_1-p_2+2) \cdots \\ &\quad 2(k-p_1-\cdots-p_m+1)\widehat{\varphi}_m(2k-2p_1+\cdots-2p_{m-1}-p_m+2)z^{k-p_1-\cdots-p_m}. \end{aligned}$$

By [6, Theorem 3.3], we can get  $\varphi_i = 0$  from the fact that  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$  have finite rank. The proof of this Theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $p_1, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  be bounded radial functions. If  $T_{e^{ip_m\theta}\varphi_m} \cdots T_{e^{ip_1\theta}\varphi_1}$  is of finite rank  $M$ , then  $\varphi_i = 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

### 4. Finite rank commutator

In this section, we investigate the commutator  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}]$  and  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}]$ ,  $p, s \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $p, s$  be non-negative integers and at least one of them is nonzero. Let  $\varphi$  and

$\psi$  be two integrable radial functions on  $D$  such that  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}$  and  $T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}$  are bounded operators. If the commutators  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}]$  have finite ranks  $M$  and  $p+s < n$  respectively, then  $M$  is at most equal to  $s+p$ , otherwise if  $p+s \geq n$ ,  $M$  is at most equal to  $n$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote the commutator  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}]$ . Since  $S$  has finite rank on  $b_n^2(D)$ , we know that  $\{S(z^k)\}_{k \geq 0}$  and  $\{S(\bar{z}^k)\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  must have finite rank.

Firstly, if  $\{S(z^k)\}_{k \geq 0}$  has the finite rank  $N$ , we have

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad \forall k \geq N_1 \geq N. \quad (4.1)$$

By Lemma 2.4, Eq. (4.1) is equivalent to

$$2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) = 2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p+s+2),$$

by the proof of Theorem 6 in [5], we known that the rank of  $\{S(z^k)\}_{k \geq 0}$  is equal to zero, i.e.,  $N = 0$ .

On the other hand, we suppose the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k)\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}$  is equal to  $M$ , by Lemma 2.4, we can obtain the following results.

If  $p > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{e^{is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) \\ &= 2(p-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2pp-2k+s+2)z^{p+s-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

If  $p \leq n$ ,  $p+s \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k+s+2)z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ 2(k-p+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)z^{p+k-s}, & p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \leq n$ ,  $p+s < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k+s+2)z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ 2(k-p+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)z^{p+k-s}, & p < k \leq p+s, \\ 2(k-p+1)2(k-p-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p-s+2)\bar{z}^{k-s-p}, & p+s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s > n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = 2(s-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s-2k+p+2)z^{p+s-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p+s \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(s-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s-2k+p+2)z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq s, \\ 2(k-s+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p+s-k}, & s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p+s < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(s-k+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s-2k+p+2)z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq s, \\ 2(k-s+1)2(p+s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p+s-k}, & s < k \leq p+s, \\ 2(k-s+1)2(k-s-p+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s-p+2)\bar{z}^{k-s-p}, & p+s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From above calculation, we can express  $S(\bar{z}^k)$  as follows.

Case 1.  $p+s < n$

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} \lambda(k, s, p)z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq p+s, \\ \beta(k, s, p)\bar{z}^{k-s-p}, & p+s < k \leq n, \end{cases}$$

in which  $\lambda(k, s, p)$  and  $\beta(k, s, p)$  are the functions with respect to  $s, k, p$ . By [6, Theorem 4.1], we know that

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad k > p + s.$$

So in this case,  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \{\lambda(k, s, p)z^{p+s-k} : 1 \leq k \leq p + s\}$ , the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  is at most equal to  $p + s$ .

Case 2.  $p + s \geq n$

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \alpha(s, k, p)z^{p+s-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

in which  $\alpha(s, k, p)$  is the function for  $s, k, p$ . In this case  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subset \{\alpha(s, k, p)z^{p+s-k} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , so the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  is at most equal to  $n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $p, s \geq 0$  and at least one of them is nonzero. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two integrable radial functions on  $D$  such that  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}$  and  $T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}$  are bounded operators. If the commutator  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}]$  has finite rank and  $p \geq s$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $n + s$ . If the commutator  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}]$  has finite rank and  $p < s$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $n + p$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote the commutator  $[T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}]$ . We will prove the case of  $p \geq s$  in details.

Since  $p \geq s$ , by direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(z^k) \\ &= T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}[2(p+k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)z^{p+k}] \\ &= 2(p+k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)z^{k+p-s}, \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k)$  we will discuss it from different cases.

Case 1.  $s \geq n$ ,

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k-s+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2)z^{k+p-s}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(s-k+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{k+p-s}, & s-n \leq k < s, \\ 0, & 0 \leq k < s-n. \end{cases}$$

Case 2.  $s < n$ ,

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi} T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k-s+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2)z^{k+p-s}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(s-k+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{k+p-s}, & 0 \leq k < s. \end{cases}$$

From the above discussion, we know that if  $s \geq n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2) \\ -2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(k+p-s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ -2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)]z^{k+p-s}, & s-n \leq k < s, \\ -2(k+p-s+1)2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)]z^{k+p-s}, & 0 \leq k < s-n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s < n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2) \\ -2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(k+p-s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ -2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)]z^{k+p-s}, & 0 \leq k < s. \end{cases}$$

By [6, Theorem 4.3], we know that

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad k \geq s,$$

then we can get that

$$\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \subset \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \subset \text{span}\{z^l : p-s \leq l < p\}.$$

Next, we discuss  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

Firstly, we give the expression of  $T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ). If  $p > n$ ,  $p-s \geq n$ ,

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = 2(p-k+1)2(p-k-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $p > n$ ,  $p-s < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(p-k-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ 2(p-k+1)2(s-p+k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \leq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(p-k-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ 2(p-k+1)2(s-p+k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq p, \\ 2(k-p+1)2(k-p+s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Secondly, we give the expression of  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k)$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ).

If  $s > n$ , then  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p > n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s+1)2(p-k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-s, \\ 0, & n-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p \leq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s+1)2(p-k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ 2(k+s+1)2(s-p+k+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq n-s, \\ 0, & n-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From the above formula, we have

If  $p \leq n$ ,  $p \geq n-s$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k-s+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ - 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)]z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ 2(k+s-p+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) \\ - 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)]\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq n-s, \\ 2(k+s-p+1)2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(p+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & n-s < k \leq p, \\ -2(k+s-p+1)2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \leq n$ ,  $p < n-s$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k-s+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ - 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)]z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ 2(k+s-p+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) \\ - 2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)]\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq p, \\ 2(k+s-p+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) \\ - 2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & p < k \leq n-s, \\ -2(k+s-p+1)2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & n-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p > n$ ,  $s \geq n$ ,  $p-s \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = -2(p-kps+1)2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-s-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $p > n$ ,  $s \geq n$ ,  $p-s < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} -2(p-k-s+1)2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq p-s, \\ -2(k+s-p+1)2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p > n$ ,  $s < n$ ,  $p - s \geq n$ ,

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k-s+1)[2(k+s+1)\hat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)] \\ -2(p-k+1)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)\hat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)]z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-s, \\ 2(p-k-s+1)2(p-k+1)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)\hat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, & n-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p > n$ ,  $s < n$ ,  $p - s < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k-s+1)[2(k+s+1)\hat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)] \\ -2(p-k+1)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)\hat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)]z^{p-k-s}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-s, \\ -2(p-k-s+1)2(p-k+1)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)\hat{\psi}(2p-2k-s+2)z^{p-k-s}, & n-s < k \leq p-s, \\ -2(s-p+k+1)2(p-k+1)\hat{\varphi}(p+2)\hat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{s+k-p}, & p-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

(1)  $p \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} &\subseteq \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k < p\} \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l \leq p-s-1\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n+s-p\}. \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $p > n$ ,  $s \geq n$ , either

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : p-s-n \leq l \leq p-s-1\},$$

or

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l \leq p-s-1\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n+s-p\}.$$

(3)  $p > n$ ,  $s < n$ , either

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : p-s-n \leq l \leq p-s-1\},$$

or

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l \leq p-s-1\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n+s-p\}.$$

Combining with  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$  and  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \geq n\}$ , we have

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : p-s-n \leq l < p\},$$

or

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l < s+n-p\},$$

we can get that the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $s+n$ .

Similarly, for  $p < s$  by direct calculation and [6, Theorem 4.3], we can obtain

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad k \geq s.$$

Furthermore, we can obtain that

If  $s \geq n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} &\subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n\}, \\ \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} &\subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : s-p < l \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s < n$ , then

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq s\},$$

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n\},$$

or

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n\}.$$

So we know that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ & \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

then the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $n + p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. Finite rank semi-commutators

In this section, we will study the semi-commutators of tow Toeplitz operators with quasihomogeneous symbols.

**Theorem 5.1** Let  $p, s \geq 0$  and at least one of them be nonzero. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be integrable radial functions on  $D$  such that  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}$  and  $T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}$  are bounded operators. If  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi})$  has finite rank and  $p + s < n$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $p + s - 1$ . If the commutator  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi})$  has finite rank and  $p + s \geq n$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $n$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{is\theta}\psi})$ . By Lemma 2.4, we can obtain that

$$T_{e^{i(p+s)\theta}\varphi\psi}(z^k) = 2(p+k+s+1)\widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k+p+s+2)z^{k+s+p}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = 2(k+s+1)2(k+p+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2)z^{k+p+s}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(z^k) = & 2(p+k+s+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) - \\ & \widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k+p+s+2)]z^{k+p+s}, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By [5, Theorem 4], we know that

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 0,$$

so the rank of  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$  is equal to zero.

Next, we discuss the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ . By direct calculation,

if  $s \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 2(p+s-k+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s-2k+p+2) - \widehat{\varphi\psi}(p+s+2)]z^{p+s-k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

if  $s < n$ ,  $p + s < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s-2k+p+2) - \widehat{\varphi\psi}(p+s+2)]z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq s, \\ 2(p-k+s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) - \widehat{\varphi\psi}(p+s+2)]z^{p+s-k}, & s < k \leq p+s, \\ 2(k-s-p+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s-p+2) - \widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k-p-s+2)]\bar{z}^{k-p-s}, & p+s < k \leq n, \end{cases}$$

if  $s < n$ ,  $p + s \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p - k + s + 1)[2(s - k + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s - 2k + p + 2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(p + s + 2)]z^{p+s-k}, & 1 \leq k \leq s, \\ 2(p - k + s + 1)[2(k - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(2k - s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(p + 2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(p + s + 2)]z^{p+s-k}, & s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From the above equation, we have

If  $p + s \geq n$ , then

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : p + s - n \leq l \leq p + s - 1\},$$

then the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k)\}$  is at most equal to  $n$ .

If  $p + s < n$ , then by [6, Theorem 5.1], we know that

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad k \geq p + s,$$

then

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} = \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k < p + s\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 < l \leq p + s - 1\},$$

the rank of  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$  is at most equal to  $p + s - 1$ , the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2** Let  $p, s \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq p$  and at least one of them be nonzero. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be integrable radial functions on  $D$  such that  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}$  and  $T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}$  are bounded operators. If  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi})$  has finite rank and  $s \leq n + p$ , then the rank of it is at most equal to  $n + p$ . If the commutator  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi})$  has finite rank and  $s > n + p$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $s$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote  $(T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi})$ . We will discuss the rank of  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$  and  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

Firstly, we characterize  $\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\}$ . By Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following results directly

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k - s + p + 1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k - s + p + 2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s - p, \\ 2(s - p - k + 1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(s - p + 2)\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & 0 \leq k < s - p. \end{cases}$$

If  $p \geq n$ ,  $s \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k - s + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(2k - s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k - 2s + p + 2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(s - k + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(p + 2)z^{k+p-s}, & s - n \leq k < s, \\ 0, & 0 \leq k < s - n. \end{cases}$$

If  $p < n$ ,  $s < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k - s + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(2k - s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k - 2s + p + 2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(s - k + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(p + 2)z^{k+p-s}, & s - p \leq k < s, \\ 2(s - k + 1)2(s - k - p + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s - 2k - p + 2)\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & 0 \leq k < s - p. \end{cases}$$

If  $p < n$ ,  $s \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k - s + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(2k - s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k - 2s + p + 2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(s - k + 1)2(k + p - s + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(p + 2)z^{k+p-s}, & s - p \leq k < s, \\ 2(s - k + 1)2(s - k - p + 1)\widehat{\psi}(s + 2)\widehat{\varphi}(2s - 2k - p + 2)\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & s - n - p \leq k < s - p, \\ 0, & 0 \leq k < s - n - p. \end{cases}$$

From above formula, we have

If  $p \geq n$ ,  $s \geq n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+p-s+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(k+p-s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+p-2)]z^{k+p-s}, & s-n \leq k < s, \\ -2(k-s+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+p+2)z^{k+p-s}, & s-p \leq k < s-n, \\ -2(s-p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(s-p+2)\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & 0 \leq k < s-p. \end{cases}$$

If  $p < n$ ,  $s < n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+p-s+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(k+p-s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+p-2)]z^{k+p-s}, & s-p \leq k < s, \\ 2(s-p-k+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(s+2)\widehat{\psi}(2s-2k-p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & 0 \leq k < s-p. \end{cases}$$

If  $p < n$ ,  $s \geq n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k-s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-2s+p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+p-s+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s, \\ 2(k+p-s+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k-s+p-2)]z^{k+p-s}, & s-p \leq k < s, \\ 2(s-p-k+1)[2(s-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(s+2)\widehat{\psi}(2s-2k-p+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & s-n-p \leq k < s-p, \\ -2(s-p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(s-p+2)\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & 0 \leq k < s-n-p, \end{cases}$$

By [5, Theorem 5], we know that

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad \forall k \geq s,$$

then clearly,

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq s-p\}.$$

Next, we discuss  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

If  $s-p \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s-p < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+s-p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p} & 1 \leq k \leq n-(s-p), \\ 0, & n-(s-p) < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s > n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s+1)2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p} & 1 \leq k \leq n-s, \\ 0, & n-s < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we can obtain

If  $s > n$ ,  $s-p \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s > n$ ,  $s - p < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s+1)2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k+s-p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-(s-p), \\ 0, & n-(s-p) < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s \leq n$ ,  $p < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s-p+1)[2(k+s+1)\widehat{\psi}(2k+s+2)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+2s+p+2) \\ \quad - 2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k+s-p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}], & 1 \leq k \leq n-s, \\ 0, & n-s < k \leq n-s+p, \\ 0, & n-s+p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From above discuss, we have

If  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s - p < n$ , then

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : s - p + 1 \leq l \leq n\}.$$

Combining the above with

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq s-p\},$$

we obtain that

If  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} &\subseteq \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \\ &\subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq s-p\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $s$ .

If  $s - p < n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ \subseteq \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ \subseteq \text{span}\{z^l : 0 \leq l < p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $n + p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3** Let  $p, s \geq 0$ ,  $s \geq p$  and at least one of them be nonzero. Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be integrable radial functions on  $D$  such that  $T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}$  and  $T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}$  are bounded operators. If  $(T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}, T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi})$  has finite rank and  $s - p \leq n$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $n$ . If the semi-commutator  $(T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}, T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi})$  has finite rank and  $s - p > n$ , then its rank is at most equal to  $s - p$ .

**Proof** Let  $S$  denote the semi-commutator  $(T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi}, T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}]$ . From direct calculation by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k-s+p+1)\widehat{\varphi\psi}(2k-s+p+2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s-p, \\ 2(s-p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi\psi}(s-p+2)\bar{z}^{s-p-k}, & 0 \leq k < s-p. \end{cases}$$

If  $s - p > n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s-p, \\ 2(k+p+1)2(s-k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & s-p-n \leq k < s-p, \\ 0, & 0 \leq k < s-p-n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s - p \leq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p+1)2(k+p-s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2)z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s-p, \\ 2(k+p+1)2(s-k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & 0 \leq k < s-p. \end{cases}$$

From the above results, we can obtain that

If  $s - p > n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\psi(2k-s+p+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s-p, \\ 2(s-k-p+1)[2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\psi(s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & s-p-n \leq k < s-p, \\ -2(s-k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}\psi(s-p+2)\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & 0 \leq k < s-p-n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s - p \leq n$ , then

$$S(z^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+p-s+1)[2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k+2p-s+2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\psi(2k-s+p+2)]z^{k-s+p}, & k \geq s-p, \\ 2(s-k-p+1)[2(k+p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k+p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2) \\ - \widehat{\varphi}\psi(s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{s-k-p}, & 0 \leq k < s-p. \end{cases}$$

By [6, Theorem 5.4], we know that

$$S(z^k) = 0, \quad k \geq s-p,$$

furthermore, we derive that

$$\{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s-p\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 < l \leq s-p\}.$$

Next, we characterize  $\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

For  $T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(\bar{z}^k)$ , we have

If  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s - p < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-i(s-p)\theta}\varphi\psi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}\psi(2k+s-p+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-(s-p), \\ 0, & n-(s-p) < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

For  $T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k)$ , we obtain that

If  $s \geq n$ ,  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s \geq n$ ,  $s - p < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-(s-p), \\ 0, & n-(s-p) < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s < n$ ,  $p < n$ , then

$$T_{e^{-is\theta}\psi} T_{e^{ip\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(p-k+1)2(k+s-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2)\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ 2(k-p+1)2(k-p+s+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2)\bar{z}^{s-p+k}, & p < k \leq n-s+p, \\ 0, & n-s+p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

From above results, we have

If  $s \geq n$ ,  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

If  $s \geq n$ ,  $s - p < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s-p+1)[2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-(s-p), \\ 0, & n-(s-p) < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $s < n$ ,  $p < n$ ,  $s - p < n$ , then

$$S(\bar{z}^k) = \begin{cases} 2(k+s-p+1)[2(p-k+1)\widehat{\varphi}(p+2)\widehat{\psi}(s+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & 1 \leq k \leq p, \\ 2(k+s-p+1)[2(k-p+1)\widehat{\varphi}(2k-p+2)\widehat{\psi}(2k-2p+s+2) \\ -\widehat{\varphi}\widehat{\psi}(2k+s-p+2)]\bar{z}^{k+s-p}, & p < k \leq n-s+p, \\ 0, & n-s+p < k \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then we can get

If  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} = \emptyset.$$

If  $s - p < n$ , then

$$\{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : s - p < l \leq n\}.$$

Combining the above with

$$\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \subseteq \{S(z^k) : 0 \leq k < s - p\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 \leq l < s - p\},$$

we have if  $s - p \geq n$ , then

$$\{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 \leq l < s - p\},$$

which means that the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $s - p$ .

If  $s - p < n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \{S(z^k) : k \geq 0\} \bigcup \{S(\bar{z}^k) : 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ & \subseteq \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 \leq l < s - p\} \bigcup \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : s - p < l \leq n\} \\ & = \text{span}\{\bar{z}^l : 0 \leq l \leq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the rank of  $S$  is at most equal to  $n$ .

## 6. Examples

In this section, we give some examples with respect to the above conclusions.

**Example 6.1** We give an example about Theorem 4.1. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we know that

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}r^m} T_{e^{is\theta}f} (z^k) = T_{e^{is\theta}f} T_{e^{ip\theta}r^m} (z^k), \quad k \geq 0.$$

Next we will construct a radial function  $f$ , such that

$$T_{e^{ip\theta}r^m} T_{e^{is\theta}f} (\bar{z}^k) = T_{e^{is\theta}f} T_{e^{ip\theta}r^m} (\bar{z}^k), \quad k \geq p + s, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $p > 0$ ,  $s > 0$  and  $m \geq 0$ .

Eq. (6.1) implies that for  $k \geq p + s$ ,

$$\frac{k-p+1}{2k-p+m+2} \widehat{f}(2k-2p-s+2) = \frac{k-s+1}{2k-2s-p+m+2} \widehat{f}(2k-s+2).$$

Thus for  $k \geq p + s$ ,

$$\frac{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(2k+2+2p)}{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(2k+2)} = \frac{(2k+2-2p)(2k+2-p+m-2s)}{(2k+2-2s)(2k+2-p+m)}.$$

Now, using Remark 2.3, we obtain that

$$\frac{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(z+2p)}{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(z)} = \frac{(z-2p)(z-p+m-2s)}{(z-2s)(z-p+m)}, \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 2p + 2s + 2$ .

Let  $F$  be the analytic function defined for  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 2p + 2s$  by

$$F(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{z-2p}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{z-p-2s+m}{2p})}{\Gamma(\frac{z-2s}{2p})\Gamma(\frac{z-p+m}{2p})},$$

where  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function. Then using the well-known identity  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ , (6.2) implies that

$$\frac{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(z+2p)}{\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(z)} = \frac{F(z+2p)}{F(z)}, \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 2p + 2s. \quad (6.3)$$

Eq. (6.3) combined with [13, Lemma 6] gives us that there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\widehat{r^{-s-2p}f}(z) = cF(z), \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 2p + 2s. \quad (6.4)$$

For a choice of  $p = 2$ ,  $s = 1$  and  $m = 6$ , and again using the identity  $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$ , one can see that

$$F(z) = \frac{4(z-2)}{z(z-4)} = 2\left[\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z-4}\right].$$

Since  $\widehat{1}(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ ,  $\widehat{r^{-4}}(z) = \frac{1}{z-4}$ , we have

$$\widehat{r^{-5}f}(z) = c[\widehat{1}(z) + \widehat{r^{-4}}(z)], \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 6.$$

Now the preceding equation and Remark 2.3 imply that  $f(r) = c(r^5 + r)$ , where  $c$  is a constant. It is clear that the function  $f$  is bounded, so Toeplitz operator  $T_{e^{i\theta}f}$  is bounded.

By taking the constant  $c$  to be equal to 1, the radial function  $f(r) = r^5 + r$  satisfies

$$T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(z^k) = T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(z^k), \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

For  $n = 2$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $p + s = 3 > n = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}) &= \frac{9}{20}z^2, \quad T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) = \frac{16}{25}z^2, \\ T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}^2) &= \frac{32}{75}z, \quad T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2) = \frac{3}{10}z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the rank of  $[T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}, T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}]$  is equal to two on  $b_2^2$ . For  $n = 4$ ,  $p = 2$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $p + s = 3 < n = 4$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}) &= \frac{9}{20}z^2, \quad T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) = \frac{16}{25}z^2, \\ T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}^2) &= \frac{32}{75}z, \quad T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2) = \frac{3}{10}z, \\ T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}^3) &= \frac{16}{77}, \quad T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^3) = \frac{16}{195}, \\ T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}(\bar{z}^4) &= \frac{16}{35}\bar{z} = T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2), \end{aligned}$$

so the rank of  $[T_{e^{2i\theta}r^6}, T_{e^{i\theta}(r^5+r)}]$  is equal to three on  $b_4^2$ .

**Example 6.2** We give an example of Theorem 4.2.

Similarly to Example 6.1, there exist  $\varphi = \frac{63}{4}r^{-2} - \frac{35}{2} + \frac{15}{4}r^2$ ,  $\psi = r^6$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $s = 2$  such that

$$T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(z^k) = T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(z^k), \quad k \geq 2.$$

For  $n = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(1) &= \frac{192}{35}\bar{z}, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(1) = \frac{256}{15}\bar{z}, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(z) &= \frac{128}{15}, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(z) = \frac{96}{35}, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) &= 0, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}) = \frac{512}{15}\bar{z}^2, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2) &= 0 = T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^2), \end{aligned}$$

then the rank of  $[T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(z^k)]$  is equal to  $3 = n + p$  on  $b_2^2$ .

For  $n = 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(1) &= \frac{192}{35}\bar{z}, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(1) = \frac{256}{15}\bar{z}, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(z) &= \frac{128}{15}, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(z) = \frac{96}{35}, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) &= 0, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}) = \frac{512}{15}\bar{z}^2, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2) &= 0, \quad T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^2) = \frac{16}{7}\bar{z}^3, \\ T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^3) &= 0 = T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}(\bar{z}^3), \end{aligned}$$

so the rank of  $[T_{e^{i\theta}\varphi}, T_{e^{-2i\theta}r^6}(z^k)]$  is equal to  $4 = n + p$  on  $b_3^2$ .

**Example 6.3** We give an example about Theorem 5.1.

As we construct Example 6.1, let  $\varphi = r$ ,  $\psi = r^6$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $s = 1$ . By the proof the Theorem 5.1, we know that

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r}T_{e^{i\theta}r^6}(z^k) = T_{e^{2i\theta}r^7}(z^k), \quad k \geq 0.$$

For  $n = 1$ ,  $p + s = 2 > n = 1$ , we have

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r} T_{e^{i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) = \frac{2}{9}z, T_{e^{2i\theta}r^7}(\bar{z}) = \frac{4}{11}z,$$

which means that the rank of  $(T_{e^{i\theta}r}, T_{e^{i\theta}r^6})$  is equal to  $1 = n$  on  $b_1^2$ .

For  $n = 3$ ,  $p + s = 2 < n = 3$ , we know that

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r} T_{e^{i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}) = \frac{2}{9}z, T_{e^{2i\theta}r^7}(\bar{z}) = \frac{4}{11}z,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r} T_{e^{i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^2) = \frac{2}{11} = T_{e^{2i\theta}r^7}(\bar{z}^2),$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r} T_{e^{i\theta}r^6}(\bar{z}^3) = \frac{8}{13}\bar{z} = T_{e^{2i\theta}r^7}(\bar{z}^3),$$

so the rank of  $(T_{e^{i\theta}r}, T_{e^{i\theta}r^6})$  is equal to  $1 = p + s - 1$ .

**Example 6.4** We give an example about Theorem 5.2. As we construct Example 6.1, let  $\varphi = r^5$ ,  $\psi = r^{12}$ ,  $p = 1$ ,  $s = 4$ . By the proof of Theorem 5.2, we know that

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z^k) = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z^k), \quad k \geq 4.$$

For  $n = 2$ ,  $s - p = 3 > n = 2$ , by direct calculation, we have

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(1) = 0, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(1) = \frac{4}{11}\bar{z}^3,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z) = \frac{2}{9}\bar{z}^2, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z) = \frac{3}{10}\bar{z}^2,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z^2) = \frac{2}{15}\bar{z}, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z^2) = \frac{1}{5}\bar{z},$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z^3) = \frac{1}{18}, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z^3) = \frac{1}{11},$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}) = 0 = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}),$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}^2) = 0 = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}^2),$$

so the rank of  $(T_{e^{i\theta}r^5}, T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}})$  is equal to  $4 = s$  at  $b_2^2$ .

For  $n = 4$ ,  $s - p = 3 < n = 4$ , we have

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(1) = 0, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(1) = \frac{4}{11}\bar{z}^3,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z) = \frac{2}{9}\bar{z}^2, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z) = \frac{3}{10}\bar{z}^2,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z^2) = \frac{2}{15}\bar{z}, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z^2) = \frac{1}{5}\bar{z},$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(z^3) = \frac{1}{18}, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(z^3) = \frac{1}{11},$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}) = 0, T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}) = \frac{5}{12}\bar{z}^4,$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}^2) = 0 = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}^2),$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5} T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}^3) = 0 = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}^3),$$

$$T_{e^{i\theta}r^5}T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}}(\bar{z}^4) = 0 = T_{e^{-3i\theta}r^{17}}(\bar{z}^4),$$

then the rank of  $(T_{e^{i\theta}r^5}, T_{e^{-4i\theta}r^{12}})$  is equal to  $5 = p + n$  at  $b_4^2$ .

**Acknowledgements** We thank the referees for their time and comments.

## References

- [1] A. BROWN, P. R. HALMOS. *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 1963/1964, **213**: 89–102.
- [2] P. AHERN, Ž. ČUČKOVIĆ. *A theorem of Brown-Halmos type for Bergman space Toeplitz operators*. J. Funct. Anal., 2001, **187**(1): 200–210.
- [3] P. AHERN, Ž. ČUČKOVIĆ. *Some examples related to Brown-Halmos theorem for the Bergman space*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 2004, **70**(1-2): 373–378.
- [4] B. R. CHOE, H. KOO, Y. J. LEE. *Finite rank Toeplitz products with harmonic symbols*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008, **343**(1): 81–98.
- [5] Ž. ČUČKOVIĆ, I. LOUHICHI. *Finite rank commutators and semicommutators of quasihomogeneous Toeplitz operators*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 2008, **2**(3): 429–439.
- [6] Jingyu YANG, Yufeng LU, Xiaoying WANG. *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space*. J. Math. Res. Appl., 2016, **36**(4): 495–503.
- [7] S. AXLER, S.-Y. A. CHANG, D. SARASON. *Product of Toeplitz operators*. Integral Equations Operator Theory, 1978, **1**(3): 285–309.
- [8] Xuanhao DING, Dechao ZHENG. *Finite rank commutator of Toeplitz operators or Hankel operators*. Houston J. Math., 2008, **34**(4): 1099–1119.
- [9] Kunyu GUO, Shunhua SUN, Dechao ZHENG. *Finite rank commutators and semicommutators of Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols*. Illionis J. Math., 2007, **51**(2): 583–596.
- [10] Xuanhao DING. *Toeplitz operators on the cutoff harmonic Bergman space*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A, 2013, **34**(1): 81–86. (in Chinese)
- [11] B. R. CHOE, Y. J. LEE. *Commuting Toeplitz operators on the harmonic Bergman space*. Michigan. Math. J., 1999, **46**(1): 163–174.
- [12] R. REMMERT. *Classical Topics in Complex Function Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [13] I. LOUHICHI. *Powers and roots of Toeplitz operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2007, **135**(5): 1465–1475.