

Minimal Critical Sets of Refined Inertias for Irreducible Sign Patterns of Order 3

Yajing WANG¹, Yubin GAO^{2,*}, Yanling SHAO²

1. Department of Data Science and Technology, North University of China, Shanxi 030051, P. R. China;

2. Department of Mathematics, North University of China, Shanxi 030051, P. R. China

Abstract Let S be a nonempty, proper subset of all possible refined inertias of real matrices of order n . The set S is a critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order n , if for each $n \times n$ irreducible sign pattern \mathcal{A} , the condition $S \subseteq ri(\mathcal{A})$ is sufficient for \mathcal{A} to be refined inertially arbitrary. If no proper subset of S is a critical set of refined inertias, then S is a minimal critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order n .

All minimal critical sets of refined inertias for full sign patterns of order 3 have been identified in [Wei GAO, Zhongshan LI, Lihua ZHANG, The minimal critical sets of refined inertias for 3×3 full sign patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 458(2014), 183–196]. In this paper, the minimal critical sets of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3 are identified.

Keywords sign pattern; refined inertia; refined inertially arbitrary sign pattern; critical set of refined inertias

MR(2010) Subject Classification 15B35; 15A18

1. Introduction

An $n \times n$ matrix \mathcal{A} is called a sign pattern if its entries are from the set $\{+, -, 0\}$. For a real matrix B , $\text{sgn}(B)$ is the sign pattern matrix obtained by replacing each positive (resp., negative, zero) entry of B by $+$ (resp., $-$, 0). The set of all real matrices with the same sign pattern as the $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} is the qualitative class

$$Q(\mathcal{A}) = \{B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{sgn}(B) = \mathcal{A}\}.$$

A subpattern of an $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} is a sign pattern \mathcal{B} obtained by replacing some (possible empty) subset of the nonzero entries of \mathcal{A} with zero. If \mathcal{B} is a subpattern of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{A} is a superpattern of \mathcal{B} .

Let A be a real matrix of order n . The inertia of A is the ordered triple $i(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_0)$, where n_+ , n_- and n_0 are the numbers of its eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) with positive, negative and zero real parts, respectively. The refined inertia of A is the ordered quadruple $ri(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p)$ of nonnegative integers that sum to n , in which $(n_+, n_-, n_z + 2n_p)$ is

Received January 25, 2019; Accepted December 8, 2019

Supported by Shanxi Province Science Foundation for Youths (Grant No. 201901D211227).

* Corresponding author

E-mail address: s1408028@st.nuc.edu.cn (Yajing WANG); ybgao@nuc.edu.cn (Yubin GAO)

the inertia of A while n_z is the number of 0 as an eigenvalue of A and $2n_p$ is the number of nonzero pure imaginary eigenvalues of A .

For an $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} , the inertia of \mathcal{A} is $i(\mathcal{A}) = \{i(B) | B \in Q(\mathcal{A})\}$, and the refined inertia of \mathcal{A} is $ri(\mathcal{A}) = \{ri(B) | B \in Q(\mathcal{A})\}$.

The reversal of an inertia (resp., refined inertia) is obtained by exchanging the first two entries in the ordered triple (resp., quadruple), i.e., the reversal of (n_+, n_-, n_0) (resp., $(n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p)$) is (n_-, n_+, n_0) (resp., $(n_-, n_+, n_z, 2n_p)$). The reversal of a set of inertias (resp., refined inertias) is the set of reversals of the inertias (resp., refined inertias) in the set. Clearly, for an $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} , $i(-\mathcal{A})$ is the reversal of $i(\mathcal{A})$ and $ri(-\mathcal{A})$ is the reversal of $ri(\mathcal{A})$.

An $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} is called a spectrally arbitrary pattern (SAP) if for each real monic polynomial $r(x)$ of degree n , there exists some $A \in Q(\mathcal{A})$ with characteristic polynomial $p_A(x) = r(x)$. Thus, \mathcal{A} is spectrally arbitrary, if given any self-conjugate spectrum, there exists $A \in Q(\mathcal{A})$ with that spectrum [1].

An $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} is called an inertially arbitrary pattern (IAP) if given any ordered triple (n_+, n_-, n_0) of nonnegative integers with $n_+ + n_- + n_0 = n$, there exists a real matrix $A \in Q(\mathcal{A})$ such that $i(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_0)$. Similarly, \mathcal{A} is a refined inertially arbitrary pattern (rIAP) if given any ordered quadruple $(n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p)$ of nonnegative integers that sum to n , there exists a real matrix $A \in Q(\mathcal{A})$ such that $ri(A) = (n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p)$ (see [2, 3]).

Let S be a nonempty, proper subset of all possible refined inertias of real matrices of order n . Then, S is a critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order n , if for each $n \times n$ irreducible sign pattern \mathcal{A} , the condition $S \subseteq ri(\mathcal{A})$ is sufficient for \mathcal{A} to be refined inertially arbitrary.

If no proper subset of S is a critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order n , then S is a minimal critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order n .

A permutation sign pattern is a square sign pattern with entries 0 and +, where the entry + occurs precisely once in each row and in each column. A signature sign pattern is a square diagonal sign pattern each of whose diagonal entries is nonzero. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two square sign patterns of the same order. We say that \mathcal{A} is permutationally similar to \mathcal{B} if there exists a permutation sign pattern \mathcal{P} such that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{A} \mathcal{P}$, and that \mathcal{A} is signature similar to \mathcal{B} if there exists a signature sign pattern \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{D} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}$.

Two square sign patterns \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of the same order are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by any combination of negation, transposition, permutation similarity and signature similarity. Clearly, if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are equivalent, then \mathcal{A} is an rIAP (resp., IAP) if and only if \mathcal{B} is an rIAP (resp., IAP).

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ be an $n \times n$ sign pattern. We say that \mathcal{A} contains a negative 2-cycle (resp., positive 2-cycle) if $a_{ij}a_{ji} = -$ (resp., $a_{ij}a_{ji} = +$) for some $i \neq j$.

Recently, Kim et al. [4] have obtained the minimal critical sets of inertias for irreducible zero-nonzero patterns of order $n = 2, 3, 4$ and for irreducible sign patterns of orders $n = 2, 3$. Yu et al. [5] have given all the minimal critical sets of refined inertias and inertias for irreducible

zero-nonzero patterns of order 2 and 3. Also, Yu [6] has identified all the minimal critical sets of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of orders 2. All minimal critical sets of refined inertias for full sign patterns of order 3 have been identified [7]. Identifying all minimal critical sets of refined inertias and inertias for irreducible sign patterns that have at least one zero entry has been posed as an open question in [7]. The minimum cardinality of such a set is also open. In this paper, the minimal critical sets of refined inertias and the minimal critical sets of inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3 with at least one zero entry are identified.

The main results are the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *The only minimal critical sets of refined inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns with at least one zero entry are the following sets and their reversals.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \\ & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \\ & \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \\ & \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \\ & \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 *The only minimal critical sets of inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns with at least one zero entry are the following sets and their reversals.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1)\}, \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2)\}, \\ & \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3)\}, \{(2, 0, 1), (0, 2, 1)\}, \{(2, 0, 1), (0, 1, 2)\}, \\ & \{(2, 0, 1), (0, 0, 3)\}, \{(1, 0, 2), (0, 1, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The followings are immediate from Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and results of the reference [7].

Theorem 1.3 *The only minimal critical sets of refined inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns are the following sets and their reversals.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \\ & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \\ & \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.4 *The only minimal critical sets of inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns are the following sets and their reversals.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1)\}, \{(3, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2)\}, \\ & \{(2, 0, 1), (0, 2, 1)\}, \{(2, 0, 1), (0, 1, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 2), (0, 1, 2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We will give the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we outline some results which are well known for the characterization of 3×3

sign pattern.

Lemma 2.1 ([8]) *If \mathcal{A} is a sign pattern of order 3, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is spectrally arbitrary.
- (2) \mathcal{A} is inertially arbitrary.
- (3) \mathcal{A} is refined inertially arbitrary.
- (4) Up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} is a superpattern of one of the following sign pattern:

$$\mathcal{D}_{3,3} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{D}_{3,2} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & + & - \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([8,9]) *Let*

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ - & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then \mathcal{G} requires a positive eigenvalue.

Lemma 2.3 ([8]) *If φ is a subpattern of \mathcal{G} , then φ requires a nonnegative eigenvalue.*

Lemma 2.4 ([3]) *Let m be the maximum number of distinct refined inertias allowed by any sign pattern of order 3. Then $m = 13$.*

3. The minimal critical sets of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3

In this section, we identify the minimal critical sets of refined inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns with at least one zero entry.

By Lemma 2.4, there are 13 possible distinct refined inertias for a sign pattern of order 3. We use R to denote the set of these 13 possible distinct refined inertias, that is,

$$R = \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 0, 0), (2, 0, 1, 0), (1, 2, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be an $n \times n$ sign pattern which is not an rIAP. We use $R(\mathcal{A})$ to denote the set of all possible refined inertias that are not in $ri(\mathcal{A})$, that is,

$$R(\mathcal{A}) = R \setminus ri(\mathcal{A}) = \{(n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p) \in Z_+^4 | n_+ + n_- + n_z + 2n_p = n, (n_+, n_-, n_z, 2n_p) \notin ri(\mathcal{A})\},$$

where Z_+ is the set of all nonnegative integers.

For convenience, write

$$R_0 = \{(0, 0, 3, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$$

$$R_1 = \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 2, 0), (1, 0, 0, 2)\},$$

$$R'_1 = \{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\},$$

where R'_1 is the reversal of R_1 . Let

$$\mathcal{P}_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{P}_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} + & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{P}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & + \end{bmatrix},$$

and $\mathcal{D}_1 = \text{diag}(-, +, +)$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \text{diag}(+, -, +)$, $\mathcal{D}_3 = \text{diag}(+, +, -)$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern with at least one zero entry. Suppose all diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonzero, and the diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} have different signs. If \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, then one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$;
- (2) $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof Up to equivalence, we can assume that $a_{11} = -$, $a_{22} = +$ and $a_{33} = +$. Note that \mathcal{A} is irreducible (this means \mathcal{A} has at most three zero entries), and has at least one zero entry. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. Exactly one off-diagonal entry of \mathcal{A} is zero.

Up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following forms.

$$(1.1) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & + & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (1.2) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & + & * \\ * & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, (1.1)' \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ 0 & + & * \\ * & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$. Noting that $\mathcal{P}_{23}(1.1)'\mathcal{P}_{23} = (1.1)$, so (1.1)' and (1.1) are equivalent.

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ have form (1.1). If $a_{12} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_2$, then \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the (1, 2) entry of \mathcal{A}' is positive. If $a_{13} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_3$, then \mathcal{A}'' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the (1, 3) entry of \mathcal{A}'' is positive. So, without loss of generality, we can take $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$.

According to the number of negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & - \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & - \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ have form (1.2). Without loss of generality, we can take $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_9 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & - \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & - \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{16} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Firstly, let us notice the following facts.

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_{15}, \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_6)\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{A}_{13}^T\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{D}_1$ are superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,3}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}_8\mathcal{D}_3$ are superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,2}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{A}_3^T\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{D}_1, -\mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{A}_{11}^T\mathcal{P}_{23}\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_{14})\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{D}_2$ are superpatterns of \mathcal{V} .

Then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_6, \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{A}_8, \mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{A}_{12}, \mathcal{A}_{13}, \mathcal{A}_{14}$ and \mathcal{A}_{15} are rIAPs.

Thus, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to one of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_5, \mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{A}_{10}$ and \mathcal{A}_{16} .

Now, we consider \mathcal{A}_1 . For any $A \in Q(\mathcal{A}_1)$, we may assume A has been scaled so that $a_{33} = 1$. We may also assume that $a_{12} = a_{23} = 1$ (otherwise they can be 1 by suitable similarities). Thus, assume

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ c & d & 1 \\ 0 & e & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in Q(\mathcal{A}_1),$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p_A(x) = x^3 + (a - d - 1)x^2 + (-ad - a - c + d - e)x + ad - ae + c - bce.$$

By the relationship between the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial and eigenvalues, if $ri(A) \in R_0 \cup R'_1 = \{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$, then

$$\begin{cases} a - d - 1 \geq 0, \\ -ad - a - c + d - e \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Adding both sides of above two inequalities, respectively, we have $-ad - c - e - 1 \geq 0$. It is a contradiction. Hence $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_1)$.

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 , we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 2, 9, 10$.

For \mathcal{A}_4 , without loss of generality, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ -c & d & -1 \\ 0 & -e & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in Q(\mathcal{A}_4),$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p_A(x) = x^3 + (a - d - 1)x^2 + (-ad - a + c + d - e)x + ad - ae - c - bce.$$

If $ri(A) \in R_0 \cup R'_1$, then

$$\begin{cases} a - d - 1 \geq 0, \\ -ad - a + c + d - e \geq 0, \\ ad - ae - c - bce \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Adding both sides of above three inequalities, respectively, we have $-1 - e - ae - bce \geq 0$. It is a contradiction. Hence, $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_4)$.

Noting that \mathcal{A}_5 requires negative determinant, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_5)$.

For \mathcal{A}_{16} , noting that \mathcal{A}_{16} is a subpattern of \mathcal{G} , by Lemma 2.3, we know that $(0, 3, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0, 2)$ are not the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{16} . In the following, we show that $(0, 2, 1, 0)$, $(0, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 0, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1, 2)$ are not the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{16} .

For any $A \in Q(\mathcal{A}_{16})$, without loss of generality, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ -c & d & -1 \\ -e & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A is $p_A(x) = x^3 + p_1x^2 + p_2x + p_3$, where

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = -1 + a - d, \\ p_2 = -a + c + d - ad + be, \\ p_3 = -c + ad - e - bde. \end{cases}$$

If $ri(A) \in \{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$, then $p_3 = 0$, $p_1 \geq 0$ and $p_2 \geq 0$. By $p_3 = 0$, we have $a = \frac{c+e+bde}{d}$. Then

$$\begin{cases} dp_1 = -d + c + e + bde - d^2 \geq 0, \\ dp_2 = -(c + e + bde) + cd + d^2 - (c + e + bde)d + bed \\ \quad = -c - e + d^2 - ed - bed^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $be \geq 1$, then $dp_2 = -c - e + d^2 - ed - bed^2 \leq -c - e - ed < 0$.

If $c + e > d^2$, then $dp_2 = -c - e + d^2 - ed - bed^2 < -ed - bed^2 < 0$.

If $be < 1$ and $c + e \leq d^2$, then $dp_1 = -d + c + e + bde - d^2 < 0$.

All of above are contradictions. Hence, $(0, 2, 1, 0)$, $(0, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 0, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1, 2)$ are not the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{16} and so $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{16})$.

Case 2. Exactly two off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are zero.

According to whether the two zero entries are in one 2-cycle or not, up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following forms

$$(2.1) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & 0 \\ * & + & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (2.2) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & + & 0 \\ * & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, (2.1)' \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ 0 & + & * \\ * & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(2.3) \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ * & + & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (2.4) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & + & 0 \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (2.4)' \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ * & + & 0 \\ * & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$. Noting that $\mathcal{P}_{23}(2.1)'\mathcal{P}_{23} = (2.1)$, $\mathcal{P}_{23}((2.4)')^T\mathcal{P}_{23} = (2.4)$, so $(2.1)'$ and (2.1) , $(2.4)'$ and (2.4) are equivalent, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ have form (2.1). If $a_{12} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_1$, then \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(1, 2)$ entry of \mathcal{A}' is positive. If $a_{23} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_3$, then \mathcal{A}'' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(2, 3)$ entry of \mathcal{A}'' is positive. So, without loss of generality, we can take $a_{12} = a_{23} = +$.

According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{17} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{18} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{19} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{20} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} have form (2.2). Without loss of generality, we can let $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ have form (2.3). If $a_{13} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}_1$, then \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(1, 3)$ entry of \mathcal{A}' is positive. If $a_{23} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{D}_2$, then \mathcal{A}'' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(2, 3)$ entry of \mathcal{A}'' is positive. So, without loss of generality, we can take $a_{13} = a_{23} = +$.

According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{25} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{26} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{27} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{28} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume that \mathcal{A} has form (2.4). Without loss of generality, we can let $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{30} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & 0 \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Firstly, let us notice the following facts.

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_{28})\mathcal{P}_{13}, \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{A}_{31}^T \mathcal{D}_1$ are superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,3}$.
- (2) \mathcal{A}_{20} is a superpattern of $\mathcal{D}_{3,2}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{D}_3 \mathcal{P}_{12}(-\mathcal{A}_{22})\mathcal{P}_{12} \mathcal{D}_3 = \mathcal{U}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{P}_{23} \mathcal{A}_{23} \mathcal{P}_{23} = \mathcal{A}_{22}$.

Then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{A}_{20}, \mathcal{A}_{22}, \mathcal{A}_{23}, \mathcal{A}_{28}$ and \mathcal{A}_{31} are rIAPs.

Thus, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to one of patterns in Case 2 except for $\mathcal{A}_{20}, \mathcal{A}_{22}, \mathcal{A}_{23}, \mathcal{A}_{28}$ and \mathcal{A}_{31} .

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 in Case 1, we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 17, 21, 25, 26, 29, 30$.

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_4 in Case 1, we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 18, 32$.

Noting that \mathcal{A}_{19} and \mathcal{A}_{27} require negative determinants, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 19, 27$.

For \mathcal{A}_{24} , noting that it is a subpattern of \mathcal{G} , by Lemma 2.3, we know that $(0, 3, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 1, 0, 2)$ do not belong to the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{24} . In the following, we prove that $(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1, 2)$ do not belong to the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{24} as well.

For any $A \in Q(\mathcal{A}_{24})$, without loss of generality, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ -c & d & 0 \\ -e & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A is $p_A(x) = x^3 + p_1x^2 + p_2x + p_3$, where

$$\begin{cases} p_1 = -1 + a - d, \\ p_2 = -a + c + d - ad + be, \\ p_3 = -c + ad - bde. \end{cases}$$

If $ri(A) \in \{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$, then $p_3 = 0$, $p_1 \geq 0$ and $p_2 \geq 0$. By $p_3 = 0$, we have $a = \frac{c+bde}{d}$. Then

$$\begin{cases} dp_1 = -d + c + bde - d^2 \geq 0, \\ dp_2 = -(c + bde) + cd + d^2 - (c + bde)d + bed = -c + d^2 - bed^2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $be \geq 1$, then $dp_2 = -c + d^2 - bed^2 \leq -c < 0$.

If $c > d^2$, then $dp_2 = -c + d^2 - bed^2 < -bed^2 < 0$.

If $be < 1$ and $c \leq d^2$, then $dp_1 = -d + c + bde - d^2 < 0$.

All of above are contradictions. Hence, $(0, 2, 1, 0)$, $(0, 1, 2, 0)$, $(0, 0, 3, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1, 2)$ do not belong to the refined inertias of \mathcal{A}_{24} . Thus $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{24})$.

Case 3. Exactly three off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are zero.

Up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following unique form

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ * & + & 0 \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]$ have form (3.1). If $a_{13} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_1$, then \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(1, 3)$ entry of \mathcal{A}' is positive. If $a_{32} < 0$, taking $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}_2$, then \mathcal{A}'' and \mathcal{A} are equivalent and the $(3, 2)$ entry of \mathcal{A}'' is positive. So, without loss of generality, we can take $a_{13} = a_{32} = +$.

Then \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & + & 0 \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 in Case 1, we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{33})$.

Noting that \mathcal{A}_{34} requires negative determinant, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{34})$. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let \mathcal{A} be a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern with at least one zero entry. Suppose \mathcal{A} has one zero diagonal entry, and two nonzero diagonal entries have different signs. If \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, then one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$;
- (2) $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof Up to equivalence, we can assume $a_{11} = -, a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{33} = +$. Note that \mathcal{A} is irreducible and has at least one zero entry. We consider the following three cases.

Case 1. All off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are nonzero.

Without loss of generality, we can take $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}_5 &= \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_6 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ - & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}_9 &= \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ - & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ + & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{13} &= \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{14} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{15} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ - & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{16} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ - & + & + \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, let us notice the following facts.

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{A}_{15}, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}_{16}\mathcal{D}_3$ are superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,2}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{A}_4\mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{A}_3^T\mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{D}_3$ are superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,3}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{A}_5^T\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{A}_6\mathcal{D}_2, \mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_{14})\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{D}_2$ are superpatterns of \mathcal{V} .
- (4) $\mathcal{A}_8^T = \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{D}_3\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_7)\mathcal{P}_{13}\mathcal{D}_3 = \mathcal{A}_3$.

Then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{A}_5, \mathcal{A}_6, \mathcal{A}_7, \mathcal{A}_8, \mathcal{A}_9, \mathcal{A}_{11}, \mathcal{A}_{12}, \mathcal{A}_{14}, \mathcal{A}_{15}$ and \mathcal{A}_{16} are rIAPs.

Thus, in this case, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to one of $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_{10}$ and \mathcal{A}_{13} .

For \mathcal{A}_1 , without loss of generality, let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ c & 0 & 1 \\ d & e & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in Q(\mathcal{A}_1),$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. Then the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p_A(x) = x^3 + (a - 1)x^2 + (-c - bd - e - a)x + c - d - ae - bce.$$

Since $-c - bd - e - a < 0$, we have $n_+(A) \geq 1$ and $n_-(A) \geq 1$. Then $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_1)$.

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 , we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Noting that \mathcal{A}_{10} requires positive determinant, we get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{10})$.

Noting that \mathcal{A}_{13} requires negative determinant, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_{13})$.

Case 2. Exactly one off-diagonal entry of \mathcal{A} is zero.

Up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following forms

$$(2.1) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.2) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.2)' \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$. Noting that $\mathcal{P}_{13}(-(2.2)')^T \mathcal{P}_{13} = (2.2)$, so $(2.2)'$ and (2.2) are equivalent.

Let \mathcal{A} have form (2.1). Without loss of generality, let $a_{12} = a_{23} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{17} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{18} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{19} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{20} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{24} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & - \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} have form (2.2). Without loss of generality, let $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{25} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{26} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{27} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{28} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{29} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{30} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & - \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & - \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Firstly, let us notice the following facts.

- (1) $\mathcal{A}_{31}, \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{A}_{19}^T \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_3 \mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_{22}) \mathcal{P}_{13} \mathcal{D}_3, \mathcal{D}_3 \mathcal{A}_{28} \mathcal{D}_3$ are the superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,3}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}_{23}, \mathcal{A}_{24}$ are the superpatterns of $\mathcal{D}_{3,2}$.
- (3) $\mathcal{P}_{13} \mathcal{D}_2(-\mathcal{A}_{30}) \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{P}_{13} = \mathcal{V}$.

Then by Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{A}_{19}, \mathcal{A}_{22}, \mathcal{A}_{23}, \mathcal{A}_{24}, \mathcal{A}_{28}, \mathcal{A}_{30}$ and \mathcal{A}_{31} are rIAPs.

Thus, in this case, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to one of patterns in Case 2 except for $\mathcal{A}_{19}, \mathcal{A}_{22}, \mathcal{A}_{23}, \mathcal{A}_{24}, \mathcal{A}_{28}, \mathcal{A}_{30}$ and \mathcal{A}_{31} .

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 in Case 1, we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 17, 18, 25$.

Noting that $\mathcal{A}_{20}, \mathcal{A}_{27}$ and \mathcal{A}_{32} require positive determinants, we get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 20, 27, 32$.

Noting that $\mathcal{A}_{21}, \mathcal{A}_{26}$ and \mathcal{A}_{29} require negative determinants, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 21, 26, 29$.

Case 3. Exactly two off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are zero.

According to whether the two zero entries are in one 2-cycle or not, up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following forms

$$(3.1) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & 0 \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (3.2) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, (3.2)' \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(3.3) \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (3.3)' \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix}, (3.4) \begin{bmatrix} - & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$. Noting that $\mathcal{P}_{13}(-(3.2)')\mathcal{P}_{13} = (3.2)$, $\mathcal{P}_{13}(-(3.3)')^T\mathcal{P}_{13} = (3.3)$, so $(3.2)'$ and (3.2) , $(3.3)'$ and (3.3) are equivalent, respectively.

Let \mathcal{A} have form (3.1). Without loss of generality, let $a_{12} = a_{23} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{34} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{35} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{36} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} have form (3.2). Without loss of generality, let $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{37} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{38} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & 0 \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{39} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ + & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{40} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & 0 & 0 \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} have form (3.3). Without loss of generality, let $a_{13} = a_{23} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{41} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{42} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & + & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{43} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{44} = \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} have form (3.4). Without loss of generality, let $a_{12} = a_{13} = +$. According to the number of the negative 2-cycles, \mathcal{A} is possibly one of the following sign patterns.

$$\mathcal{A}_{45} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{46} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ 0 & 0 & - \\ + & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{47} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ 0 & 0 & + \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{A}_{48} = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ 0 & 0 & - \\ - & 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Firstly, let us notice the following facts.

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{13}(-\mathcal{A}_{44})\mathcal{P}_{13}$ is a superpattern of $\mathcal{D}_{3,3}$.
- (2) \mathcal{A}_{36} is $\mathcal{D}_{3,2}$.

Then by Lemma 2.1, \mathcal{A}_{36} and \mathcal{A}_{44} are rIAPs.

Thus in this case, \mathcal{A} is equivalent to one pattern in Case 3 except for \mathcal{A}_{36} and \mathcal{A}_{44} .

By similar argument to \mathcal{A}_1 in Case 1, we can get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 33, 42$.

Noting that $\mathcal{A}_{34}, \mathcal{A}_{37}, \mathcal{A}_{39}, \mathcal{A}_{43}, \mathcal{A}_{46}$ and \mathcal{A}_{47} require negative determinants, we get $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 34, 37, 39, 43, 46, 47$.

Noting that $\mathcal{A}_{35}, \mathcal{A}_{38}, \mathcal{A}_{40}, \mathcal{A}_{41}, \mathcal{A}_{45}$ and \mathcal{A}_{48} require positive determinants, we get $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $i = 35, 38, 40, 41, 45, 48$.

Case 4. Exactly three off-diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are zero.

Up to equivalence, \mathcal{A} has the following unique form

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{bmatrix} - & 0 & * \\ * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & + \end{bmatrix},$$

where $* \in \{+, -\}$.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{A} is sign nonsingular. If \mathcal{A} requires positive determinant, then $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} requires negative determinant, then $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Let \mathcal{A} be a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern with at least one zero entry. If \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$;
- (2) $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$;
- (3) $R_1 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof Let \mathcal{A} be a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern with at least one zero entry. Suppose \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. All diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are zero.

Since \mathcal{A} requires the zero trace, $(3, 0, 0, 0), (2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)$, and $(0, 1, 0, 2)$ do not belong to the refined inertias of \mathcal{A} , and so $R_1 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$.

Case 2. Sign pattern \mathcal{A} has at least one nonzero diagonal entry, and all nonzero diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} have the same sign.

If all nonzero diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are negative, then \mathcal{A} requires the negative trace, and so $R_0 \cup R_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$. If all nonzero diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} are positive, then \mathcal{A} requires the positive trace, and so $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{A})$.

Case 3. Sign pattern \mathcal{A} has at least two nonzero diagonal entries, and the nonzero diagonal entries of \mathcal{A} have different signs.

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we know the result holds. \square

Theorem 3.4 *There exists a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern \mathcal{A} with at least one zero entry such that \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, and $R(\mathcal{A}) = R_1 \cup R'_1$.*

Proof Let

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & + & + \\ - & 0 & + \\ + & + & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{S}_1 is not an rIAP. Since \mathcal{S}_1 requires the zero trace, so $R_1 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{S}_1)$.

On the other hand, for any $A \in Q(\mathcal{S}_1)$, we may assume that $a_{12} = a_{13} = 1$ (otherwise they can be 1 by suitable similarities). Thus, without loss of generality, assume

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -a & 0 & b \\ c & d & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d > 0$.

By taking suitable values of a, b, c, d shown in Table 1, we can find real matrices in $Q(\mathcal{S}_1)$ with each refined inertia in $R \setminus (R_1 \cup R'_1)$.

refined inertia	a	b	c	d
(2, 1, 0, 0)	2	1	1	1
(1, 2, 0, 0)	1	2	1	1
(1, 1, 1, 0)	1	1	1	1
(0, 0, 3, 0)	1	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
(0, 0, 1, 2)	4	2	1	$\frac{1}{2}$

Table 1 Realization of each refined inertia in $R \setminus (R_1 \cup R'_1)$

Theorem 3.5 *There exists a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern \mathcal{A} with at least one zero entry such that \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, and $R(\mathcal{A}) = R_0 \cup R'_1$.*

Proof Let

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} - & + & + \\ - & + & - \\ 0 & - & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting that \mathcal{S}_2 is the sign pattern \mathcal{A}_4 in the proof of Lemma 3.1, by Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{S}_2 is not an rIAP and $R_0 \cup R'_1 \subseteq R(\mathcal{S}_2)$.

On the other hand, take

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & 1 & b \\ -c & d & -1 \\ 0 & -e & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in Q(\mathcal{S}_2),$$

where $a, b, c, d, e > 0$. By taking suitable values of a, b, c, d, e shown in Table 2, we can find real matrices in $Q(\mathcal{S}_2)$ with each refined inertia in $R \setminus (R_0 \cup R'_1)$. \square

Theorem 3.6 *There exists a 3×3 irreducible sign pattern \mathcal{A} with at least one zero entry such that \mathcal{A} is not an rIAP, and $R(\mathcal{A}) = R_0 \cup R_1$.*

Proof Let $\mathcal{S}_3 = -\mathcal{S}_2$. By Theorem 3.5, the result follows. \square

Lemma 3.7 ([7]) *Let H be a proper subset of set of all possible refined inertias of real matrices of order n . Then H is a critical set of refined inertias for a family \mathcal{F} of sign pattern of order n if and only if every $n \times n$ sign pattern \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{F} that is not an rIAP, $H \cap R(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$.*

refined inertia	a	b	c	d	e
$(3, 0, 0, 0)$	1	1	5	3	1
$(2, 0, 1, 0)$	1	1	2	$\frac{7}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(1, 0, 2, 0)$	2	$\frac{1}{5}$	20	16	2
$(1, 0, 0, 2)$	1	1	2	$\frac{7}{3}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(2, 1, 0, 0)$	1	1	2	11	$\frac{1}{2}$
$(1, 2, 0, 0)$	1	1	1	1	1
$(1, 1, 1, 0)$	3	2	1	2	1

Table 2 Realization of each refined inertia in $R \setminus (R_0 \cup R'_1)$

Theorem 3.8 Let H be a proper subset of R . Then H is a critical set of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3 with at least one zero entry if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$;
- (2) $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Proof Let \mathcal{F} be the set of all irreducible sign patterns of order 3 with at least one zero entry that are not rIAPs. By Lemma 3.7, we only need to prove $H \cap R(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$ for every \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{F} if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$;
- (2) $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$.

By Theorem 3.3, the sufficiency is clear.

For the necessity, let $H \cap R(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$ for every \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{F} . Then by Theorems 3.4–3.6, $H \cap (R_1 \cup R'_1) \neq \emptyset$, $H \cap (R_0 \cup R_1) \neq \emptyset$, and $H \cap (R_0 \cup R'_1) \neq \emptyset$. So the necessity holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let H be a proper subsets of the set of all possible refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3 with at least one zero entry. By Theorem 3.8, H is critical set of refined inertias if and only if $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$, or $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$, or $H \cap R_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $H \cap R'_1 \neq \emptyset$.

To make H a minimal critical set, then one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) $|H \cap R_1| = 1$ and $|H \cap R'_1| = 1$;
- (2) $|H \cap R_0| = 1$ and $|H \cap R_1| = 1$;
- (3) $|H \cap R_0| = 1$ and $|H \cap R'_1| = 1$.

We pick up exactly one refined inertia from R_1 and one refined inertia from $R_0 \cup R'_1$, or one refined inertia from R'_1 and one refined inertia from R_0 , and let them form new sets as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \\ & \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \\ & \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 2, 1, 0)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0, 2)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}, \{(0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}, \{(0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}.$

Note that

$\{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 2, 0)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 3, 0, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 2, 1, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\},$
 $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 2, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 1, 0, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\},$
 $\{(0, 3, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(3, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\},$
 $\{(0, 2, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(2, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 3, 0)\},$
 $\{(0, 1, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(1, 0, 2, 0), (0, 0, 1, 2)\},$
 $\{(0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 3, 0)\},$
 $\{(0, 1, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}$ is the reversal of $\{(1, 0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 1, 2)\}.$

So we drop them out.

Theorem 1.1 now follows. \square

By Theorem 1.1, it is clear that the maximum cardinality of a minimum critical set of refined inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns with at least one zero entry is 2.

4. The minimal critical sets of inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 3

Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in [7], we can get the result of Theorem 1.2.

5. Summary and conclusions

In this paper, we obtained all the minimum critical sets of refined inertias and inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns with at least one zero entry. Based on these conclusions and results from the reference [7], we identified all the minimum critical sets of refined inertias and inertias for 3×3 irreducible sign patterns.

Further topics of interest for future research include the investigation of all the minimal critical sets of refined inertias and inertias for sign patterns of order n ($n \geq 4$) and other parameters for sign patterns (see for instance the recent results in [10]).

Acknowledgements We thank the referees for their time and comments.

References

- [1] J. H. DREW, C. R. JOHNSON, D. D. OLESKY, et al. *Spectrally arbitrary patterns*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2000, **308**(1-3): 121–137.
- [2] Yubin GAO, Yanling SHAO. *Inertially arbitrary patterns*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2001, **49**(2): 161–168.
- [3] L. DEAETT, D. D. OLESKY, P. DRIESSCHE. *Refined inertially and spectrally arbitrary zero-nonzero patterns*. Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 2010, **20**: 449–467.
- [4] I. J. KIM, D. D. OLESKY, P. DRIESSCHE. *Critical sets of inertias for matrix patterns*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 2009, **57**(3): 293–306.
- [5] B. YU, Tingzhu HUANG, Hongbo HUA. *Critical sets of refined inertias for irreducible zero–nonzero patterns of orders 2 and 3*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2012, **437**(2): 490–498.
- [6] B. YU. *Minimal critical sets of refined inertias for irreducible sign patterns of order 2*. Adv. Linear Algebra Matrix Theory, 2013, **3**(2): 7–10.
- [7] Wei GAO, Zhongshan LI, Lihua ZHANG. *The minimal critical sets of refined inertias for 3×3 full sign patterns*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2014, **458**: 183–196.
- [8] M. S. CAVERS, K. N. V. MEULEN. *Spectrally and inertially arbitrary sign patterns*. Linear Algebra Appl., 2005, **394**: 53–72.
- [9] S. J. KIRKLAND, J. J. MCDONALD, M. J. TSATSOMEROS. *Sign patterns which require a positive eigenvalue*. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1996, **41**(3): 199–210.
- [10] Wei FANG, Wei GAO, Yubin GAO. *Rational realization of the minimum ranks of nonnegative sign pattern matrices*. Czechoslovak Math. J., 2016, **66**(3): 895–911.